## Compass Academy - Board Meeting Minutes

Date: November 29, 2018
Location: Phone Call
In attendance: Marcia Fulton, Jim Balfanz, Jessica Roberts, Bob Balfanz, Jerry Torrez, Christine Morin, Morris Price, Pami Perea, Dexter Corto
Absent: Annie Proietti, Mary Seawell, Ana Soler
Guest and Support: Jason Guerrero, Christ Scott, Jeff Jablow, Ryan Mick, Denise Thorne, Mike Davis, Emily Ward, Nate Kerr, Claire Rostov, Alexis Urquhart
Jim called meeting to order at 4:10pm

## Ripples and Joys

- Successful and impactful City Year Denver Business Luncheon
- L\&L design team got to spend the day together
- Exciting conceptual math ideas and themes happening in math classes

October Minutes
Morris motions to approve October minutes. Christine seconds the motion. October minutes approved.

## Financial Update

Working Budget

- Review of high level shifts to the working budget


## Internal Review

Data Cycles and Coaching

- Working to utilize all the data in the right ways

Literacy

- Focus on literacy in non-core content spaces
- Working to improve consistency of implementation
- STAR reading performance growth review
- Literacy ANet Cycle 1 Performance
- Review of lesson plans

Math

- Working to bridge Spanish and English languages in math instruction
- Math ANet Cycle 1 Performance

Attendance

- $8^{\text {th }}$ grade attendance is a primary challenge
- Number of students who joined in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade were chronically absent before arriving at Compass
- Recent adjustments to attendance support: attendance task force, daily morning home visits, attendance recovery through after school program, attendance meetings with grade leads/compass admin to create comprehensive improvement plan


## ED/Director Update

SPC Update

- Preparing DPS presentation for December $10^{\text {th }}$
- Public comment on the $20^{\text {th }}$
- Plan to schedule executive committee call for next week

Board Meeting ends at 5:53pm.

# COMPASS ACADEMY <br> BUDGET BOARD REPORT <br> DECEMBER 2018 <br> Compiled 1/17/19 

Overview - Attachments included in this report:

- General Fund Summary
- Balance Sheet compared to previous year as of December 31, 2018
- Profit \& Loss Budget vs. Actual through December 31, 2018
- Bank Transaction Detail for December 2018
- Profit \& Loss Budget vs. Actual Expanded


## Highlights and Exceptions to the Report -

## Balance Sheet

Assets - Cash in the bank accounts as of December 31st was $\$ 299,899$ down from $\$ 551,968$ in December 2018. Total accounts receivable was $\$ 59,489$ compared to $\$ 147,808$ in the previous year. Total assets were $\$ 359,389$ on December 31st, down from $\$ 699,756$ at the same time last year.

Liabilities - Accounts payable as of December 31st was $\$ 16,247$ compared to $\$ 11,011$ last year. Other current liabilities were $\$ 137,047$ versus last year's $\$ 64,215$. Total liabilities were $\$ 153,294$ versus $\$ 75,226$ at the same time last year.

Equity - As of December 31st, net income was negative ( $\$ 270,522$ ) compared to $\$ 411,511$ at the same time last year. Total modified accrual equity is $\$ 206,094$ compared to $\$ 624,550$ the previous year. Of this amount TABOR is $\$ 123,000, \$ 5,897$ is reserved, and the unassigned fund balance is $\$ 77,197$.

## Income Statement Compared to Budget

Income - Total income to date is $\$ 1,953,858$ or $39 \%$ of the $\$ 4,975,700$ budgeted.
Expense - Expenses incurred to date are $\$ 2,224,380$ or $45 \%$ of the $\$ 4,966,543$ budgeted. We are $50 \%$ of the way through the year.

Line items to note that are currently greater than $10 \%$ or $\$ 10 \mathrm{~K}$ over budget are:

- Repairs and Maintenance (0430) - 78\% of budget spent
- Other Purchased Services (0500) - 89\% of budget spent
- To and From School Transportation (0511) - $\$ 3,220$ spent with $\$ 0$ budgeted
- Property (0700) - 67\% of budget spent
- Dues and Fees (0800) - 125\% of budget spent - Over by $\$ 598$


## COMPASS ACADEMY <br> GENERAL FUND SUMMARY <br> Quarter Ended December 31, 2018

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr} & & & \begin{array}{r}\text { Percentage }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { Projected }\end{array} \\ \text { Pudget }\end{array}\right)$

# Compass Academy <br> Balance Sheet Prev Year Comparison 

As of December 31, 2018

## ASSETS

Current Assets
Checking/Savings
8101 $\cdot$ First Bank
Total Checking/Savings
Accounts Receivable
$8142 \cdot$ Grants Receivable
8153 $\cdot$ Accounts Receivable

Total Accounts Receivable
Total Current Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
Liabilities
Current Liabilities
Accounts Payable
7421 • Accounts Payable
Total Accounts Payable
Other Current Liabilities
$7461 \cdot$ YE Payroll Liabilities
$7471 \cdot$ Payroll Liabilities

Total Other Current Liabilities
Total Current Liabilities
Total Liabilities
Equity
6710 • Non-Spendable Fund Balance
$6721 \cdot$ TABOR 3\% Emergency Reserve
6770 - Unassigned Fund Balance
Net Income
Total Equity
TOTAL LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
Dec 31, 18 Dec 31, $17 \quad$ \$ Change $\%$ Change

| $299,899.31$ | $551,968.07$ |  | $-252,068.76$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $551,968.07$ |  | $-45.67 \%$ |
| $7,189.36$ | $147,807.67$ | $-140,618.31$ |  | $-95.14 \%$ |
| $52,300.00$ | 0.00 | $52,300.00$ |  | $100.0 \%$ |
| $59,489.36$ | $147,807.67$ |  | $-88,318.31$ | $-59.75 \%$ |
| $359,388.67$ | $699,775.74$ | $-340,387.07$ |  | $-48.64 \%$ |
| $359,388.67$ | $699,775.74$ | $-\mathbf{- 3 4 0 , 3 8 7 . 0 7}$ |  | $-48.64 \%$ |



| Type | Date | Num | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1072 －Bill．com Money Out Clearing |  |  |  |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／07／2018 | Bill．com | G\＆G Consulting Group |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／07／2018 | Bill．com | Ruby Lopez（v） |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／07／2018 | Bill．com | Eldorado Artesian Springs，Inc |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／07／2018 | Bill．com | T－Mobile |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／07／2018 | Bill．com | Kickboard |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／07／2018 | Bill．com | City Year，Inc |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／07／2018 | Bill．com | Sharon Newman |
| General Journal | 12／07／2018 | bill．com |  |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Sjoukje Graillot |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Denver Public Schools－Transportation |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Martin Zaborac（v） |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Pinnacol Assurance |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Rocky Mountain Officials |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Alerio Technology Group |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Metropolitan Shuttle，Inc |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Wells Fargo Vendor Financial Services LLC |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／12／2018 | Bill．com | Jessica Proett |
| General Journal | 12／12／2018 | kickboard |  |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／14／2018 | Bill．com | William Wallace（v） |
| General Journal | 12／14／2018 | kickboard |  |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／17／2018 | Bill．com | Denver Test Prep |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／17／2018 | Bill．com | Paula Chaparro |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／17／2018 | Bill．com | Michael Guida |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／17／2018 | Bill．com | Alexandra Walch（v） |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／17／2018 | Bill．com | Alexandra Walch（v） |
| General Journal | 12／17／2018 | EARRS |  |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Public Education \＆Business Coalition |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Colorado Bureau of Investigation |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Creative Strategies for Change |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Hinkle \＆Company |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Henrietta Sandoval－v |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Henrietta Sandoval－v |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Paulina Molina |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Katiria Rivera（v） |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Kaiser Permanente |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Delta Dental of Colorado |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Paulina Molina |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | T－Mobile |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | William Wallace（v） |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | William Wallace（v） |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Cathleen O＇Quinn（v） |
| Bill Pmt－Check | 12／21／2018 | Bill．com | Unum Life Insurance Company |
| General Journal | 12／21／2018 | 11.30 .21 P |  |
| Total 1072 －Bill．com Money Out Clearing |  |  |  |
| 8101 －First Bank |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Memo \& Split \& Amount \& Balance <br>
\hline \& \& \& 0.00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01FYUWZVCVP＇ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －6，657．50 \& －6，657．50 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01LATZXNGMT3．7421． \& Accounts Payable \& －150．00 \& －6，807．50 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01GJXCIMNAV3： 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －595．48 \& －7，402．98 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01RTARJTFQC3； 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －175．40 \& －7，578．38 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01XVDTGHKIF3¢ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －3，060．00 \& －10，638．38 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01VLBFDRNEO3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －40，625．00 \& －51，263．38 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01RNCXCWHQZ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －6，093．75 \& －57，357．13 <br>
\hline Bill．com 12／07／18 Payments 8101. \& First Bank \& 57，357．13 \& 0.00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01RKKQZQPXI3： 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －140．22 \& －140．22 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01BXJNTDHXA3； 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －3，219．84 \& －3，360．06 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01JNNYXNMEQ 7421． \& Accounts Payable \& －104．96 \& －3，465．02 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01NOJFELTGZ3： 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －1，182．00 \& －4，647．02 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01MQTEBTATD3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －600．00 \& －5，247．02 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01ZOWGWRCA17421． \& Accounts Payable \& －3，645．00 \& －8，892．02 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01NVIEIOPDC3a 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －1，322．50 \& －10，214．52 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01ZXLNSBZTF3̨ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －2，962．72 \& －13，177．24 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01GTNMUFEOXミ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －26．67 \& －13，203．91 <br>
\hline Bill．com 12／12／18 Payments 8101. \& First Bank \& 13，203．91 \& 0.00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01TBCFNLPLG31 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －15．76 \& －15．76 <br>
\hline Bill．com 12／14／18 Payments 8101. \& First Bank \& 15.76 \& 0.00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01NZHAJPMLH3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －865．00 \& －865．00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01SDUXKODNJ3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －48．44 \& －913．44 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01SAABDZCCC 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －45．00 \& －958．44 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01VCRADADGU： 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －107．34 \& －1，065．78 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01LVBKQPPKM3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －49．98 \& －1，115．76 <br>
\hline Bill．com 12／17／18 Payments 8101. \& First Bank \& 1，115．76 \& 0.00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01YDIGWNEFO3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －52，000．00 \& －52，000．00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01KGKVIXPNM317421． \& Accounts Payable \& －79．00 \& －52，079．00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01KOKNBNVDKミ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －1，150．00 \& －53，229．00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01BIXAMEAEW3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －2，500．00 \& －55，729．00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01CGFMVHXLDミ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －75．21 \& －55，804．21 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01ADBBBAXWP： 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －14．58 \& －55，818．79 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01ZJEMWIQJZ3t 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －32．72 \& －55，851．51 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01FACDVJHXL31 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －161．49 \& －56，013．00 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01PAGGFMSGW 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －14，211．57 \& －70，224．57 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01SRKGKJVVJ31 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －1，344．95 \& －71，569．52 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01XVFPTVKUH3 7421. \& Accounts Payable \& －27．00 \& －71，596．52 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01GODKJUITY3k 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －79．73 \& －71，676．25 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp010UCEQSEUJミ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －8．92 \& －71，685．17 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp011EBBUBOAW3 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －40．97 \& －71，726．14 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01DJXIKJMNL3b： 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －78．23 \& －71，804．37 <br>
\hline https：／／app．bill．com／BillPay？id＝blp01NRHBTSVAMミ 7421 ． \& Accounts Payable \& －94．50 \& －71，898．87 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Bill．com 12／21／18 Payments

Staff R} \& First Bank \& 71，898．87 \& 0.00 <br>
\hline \& \& 0.00 \& 0.00 <br>
\hline \& \& \& 389，046．32 <br>
\hline \& Recruitment \& －61．68 \& 388，984．64 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Type | Date | Num | Name | Memo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 12/03/2018 | 2358 | Print and Copy Centers | Inv \#74113 |
| Check | 12/03/2018 | DBT | IKEA |  |
| Check | 12/03/2018 | DBT | IKEA |  |
| Check | 12/03/2018 | DBT | Eon |  |
| Deposit | 12/03/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Deposit | 12/03/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Check | 12/04/2018 | DBT | Ashley Furniture | Wellbeing Furniture |
| Check | 12/04/2018 | DBT | Colorado Secretary of State |  |
| Check | 12/04/2018 | DBT | Microcenter |  |
| Check | 12/04/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/04/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/04/2018 | DBT | Print and Copy Centers |  |
| Check | 12/04/2018 | DBT | Print and Copy Centers |  |
| Deposit | 12/04/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | Walmart |  |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | SERENITY HEALTH |  |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/05/2018 | DBT | Little Caesars | Pizza for student dance |
| Deposit | 12/06/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Check | 12/06/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/06/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/07/2018 | 2359 | Nathan Lazickas |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 12/07/2018 | 2360 | Chelsea Tossing |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 12/07/2018 | 2361 | Laura Van Horn |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/07/2018 | 2362 | Nathaniel Kerr |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 12/07/2018 | 2363 | Ryan Mick |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/07/2018 | 2364 | Sarah Gibson |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/07/2018 | 2365 | Emily Ward |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 12/07/2018 | 2366 | Janice Cannon |  |
| General Journal | 12/07/2018 | bill.com |  | Bill.com 12/07/18 Payments |
| General Journal | 12/07/2018 | 12.7.18 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2800-Support Ser. 1 | IFirst Bank |
| General Journal | 12/07/2018 | 12.7.18 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2800-Support Ser. 1 | IFirst Bank |
| Check | 12/07/2018 | DBT | Public School on Tap |  |
| Check | 12/07/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/07/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/08/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/10/2018 | DBT | Crown Lanes |  |
| Check | 12/10/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/10/2018 | DBT | Ashley Home |  |
| Check | 12/10/2018 | DBT | Wayfair |  |
| Check | 12/11/2018 | DBT | King soopers | Bus passes |
| Check | 12/11/2018 | DBT | Walmart | TEACHER'S SUPPLIES |
| Check | 12/11/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |


| Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -2,808.93 | 386,175.71 |
| 0733 - Furnitures and Fixtures | -499.96 | 385,675.75 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -98.90 | 385,576.85 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -483.75 | 385,093.10 |
| Staff Recruitment | 199.00 | 385,292.10 |
| 1740a - Uniforms/Other | 24.31 | 385,316.41 |
| 0733 - Furnitures and Fixtures | -215.28 | 385,101.13 |
| 0810 Dues and Fees | -10.00 | 385,091.13 |
| 0734 - Technology Equipment | -2,399.97 | 382,691.16 |
| Office Supplies | -15.53 | 382,675.63 |
| 0650 - Software | -38.47 | 382,637.16 |
| Student Recruitment | -288.00 | 382,349.16 |
| Student Recruitment | -2,000.00 | 380,349.16 |
| 1740a - Uniforms/Other | 34.04 | 380,383.20 |
| 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -17.10 | 380,366.10 |
| 0610 General Supplies | -53.77 | 380,312.33 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -17.29 | 380,295.04 |
| Office Supplies | -34.71 | 380,260.33 |
| Office Supplies | -34.88 | 380,225.45 |
| 0733 - Furnitures and Fixtures | -54.28 | 380,171.17 |
| 0610 - General Supplies | -221.05 | 379,950.12 |
| 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -36.04 | 379,914.08 |
| -SPLIT- | 79,851.83 | 459,765.91 |
| Office Supplies | -13.99 | 459,751.92 |
| 0610 - General Supplies | -29.95 | 459,721.97 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 459,621.97 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 459,521.97 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 459,421.97 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 459,321.97 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 459,221.97 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 459,121.97 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 459,021.97 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 458,921.97 |
| 1072 - Bill.com Money Out Clearing | -57,357.13 | 401,564.84 |
| 0525 - Unemployment Insurance | -11,007.65 | 390,557.19 |
| 0525 - Unemployment Insurance | -3,174.01 | 387,383.18 |
| 0584 - Staff Appreciation | -1,210.00 | 386,173.18 |
| Office Supplies | -29.97 | 386,143.21 |
| $0640 \cdot$ Books and Materials | -44.85 | 386,098.36 |
| 0733 - Furnitures and Fixtures | -54.27 | 386,044.09 |
| 0584 - Staff Appreciation | -543.26 | 385,500.83 |
| 0610 - General Supplies | -19.49 | 385,481.34 |
| 0733 - Furnitures and Fixtures | -215.28 | 385,266.06 |
| 0733 - Furnitures and Fixtures | -167.92 | 385,098.14 |
| 0515 - Shutle Fees | -35.25 | 385,062.89 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -72.74 | 384,990.15 |
| 0610 - General Supplies | -27.70 | 384,962.45 |


| Type | Date | Num | Name | Memo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Journal | 12/12/2018 | kickboard |  | Bill.com 12/12/18 Payments |
| Check | 12/12/2018 | DBT | Walmart |  |
| Deposit | 12/12/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/13/2018 | ACH | United Healthcare | Inv \#692980047184 |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/13/2018 | 2367 | Celeste Rodriguez |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/13/2018 | 2368 | Jessica Proett |  |
| Deposit | 12/13/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Check | 12/13/2018 | DBT | St Jude |  |
| Deposit | 12/13/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Check | 12/13/2018 | DBT | Blick |  |
| Check | 12/13/2018 | DBT | Olive Garden |  |
| Check | 12/13/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/13/2018 | 2370 | Christopher Scott |  |
| Check | 12/14/2018 | ACH | PERA |  |
| Check | 12/14/2018 | ACH | Voya Financial |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 12/14/2018 | 2371 | Carolina Martinez Gomez |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/14/2018 | 2372 | Bernabe Valdivia-Ramos |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/14/2018 | 2373 | Eva Hernandez |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 12/14/2018 | 2374 | Raquel Zapata |  |
| General Journal | 12/14/2018 | kickboard |  | Bill.com 12/14/18 Payments |
| Check | 12/14/2018 | DBT | Microsoft |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 12/14/2018 | 2375 | Denver Public Schools |  |
| General Journal | 12/14/2018 | 12.14.18 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2500-Business Ser | First Bank |
| General Journal | 12/14/2018 | 12.14.18 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2500-Business Ser | First Bank |
| General Journal | 12/14/2018 | 12.14.18 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2500-Business Ser | First Bank |
| General Journal | 12/14/2018 | 12.14.18 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2500-Business Ser | First Bank |
| Check | 12/14/2018 | DBT | TFD SUPPLIES |  |
| Check | 12/14/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/14/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/14/2018 | DBT | Sam's Club |  |
| General Journal | 12/17/2018 | EARRS |  | Bill.com 12/17/18 Payments |
| Check | 12/17/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/17/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/17/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/17/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | Target |  |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | King soopers | PARENT MEETING |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | Westwood | recruitment |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | Save-a-lot | parent meeting |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | Walmart | Student dance |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 12/18/2018 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Deposit | 12/18/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Deposit | 12/18/2018 |  |  | Deposit |
| Check | 12/19/2018 | DBT | Target | GIFT CARDS |
| Deposit | 12/20/2018 |  |  | Deposit |


| Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1072 - Bill.com Money Out Clearing | -13,203.91 | 371,758.54 |
| 0630 Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -14.26 | 371,744.28 |
| 0890 - Bad Debt | 18.51 | 371,762.79 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -142.95 | 371,619.84 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 371,519.84 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -100.00 | 371,419.84 |
| 1990 - Other Revenue | 211.00 | 371,630.84 |
| 1990 - Other Revenue | -211.00 | 371,419.84 |
| 1740a - Uniforms/Other | 730.00 | 372,149.84 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -11.74 | 372,138.10 |
| 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -224.13 | 371,913.97 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -32.89 | 371,881.08 |
| 0320a - Contracted services | -50.00 | 371,831.08 |
| -SPLIT- | -26,244.33 | 345,586.75 |
| 401(k) Liability | -2,393.86 | 343,192.89 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -86.25 | 343,106.64 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -309.58 | 342,797.06 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -344.10 | 342,452.96 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -125.40 | 342,327.56 |
| 1072 - Bill.com Money Out Clearing | -15.76 | 342,311.80 |
| 0534 - Online Services | -26.95 | 342,284.85 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -17,922.04 | 324,362.81 |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | -135,529.12 | 188,833.69 |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | -10,134.10 | 178,699.59 |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | -27,413.37 | 151,286.22 |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | -311.50 | 150,974.72 |
| 0650 - Software | -40.00 | 150,934.72 |
| Office Supplies | -6.89 | 150,927.83 |
| $0640 \cdot$ Books and Materials | -438.72 | 150,489.11 |
| 0630 Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -1,347.00 | 149,142.11 |
| 1072 - Bill.com Money Out Clearing | -1,115.76 | 148,026.35 |
| 0610 General Supplies | -20.99 | 148,005.36 |
| 0610 General Supplies | -124.95 | 147,880.41 |
| 0610 General Supplies | -143.84 | 147,736.57 |
| $0640 \cdot$ Books and Materials | -261.70 | 147,474.87 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -220.00 | 147,254.87 |
| 0630 Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -13.37 | 147,241.50 |
| 0533 - Postage | -700.00 | 146,541.50 |
| 0630 Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -35.67 | 146,505.83 |
| 0612 - Student Incentives | -79.31 | 146,426.52 |
| 0610 General Supplies | -10.44 | 146,416.08 |
| 0610 General Supplies | -83.96 | 146,332.12 |
| $0640 \cdot$ Books and Materials | -145.18 | 146,186.94 |
| 0650 - Software | 129.87 | 146,316.81 |
| 0650 - Software | 129.87 | 146,446.68 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -60.00 | 146,386.68 |
| -SPLIT- | 10,450.00 | 156,836.68 |

Compass Academy
Bank Transaction Detail
As of December 31, 2018

As

| Memo | Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -95.99 | 156,740.69 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -80.40 | 156,660.29 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -183.75 | 156,476.54 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -41.25 | 156,435.29 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -70.00 | 156,365.29 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -90.00 | 156,275.29 |
|  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -326.25 | 155,949.04 |
|  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -45.00 | 155,904.04 |
|  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -212.28 | 155,691.76 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -90.00 | 155,601.76 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -180.00 | 155,421.76 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -45.06 | 155,376.70 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -162.99 | 155,213.71 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -582.18 | 154,631.53 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -161.25 | 154,470.28 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -146.25 | 154,324.03 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -575.40 | 153,748.63 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -575.10 | 153,173.53 |
|  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -91.65 | 153,081.88 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -157.68 | 152,924.20 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -45.00 | 152,879.20 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -140.40 | 152,738.80 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -50.94 | 152,687.86 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -113.10 | 152,574.76 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -50.94 | 152,523.82 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -116.25 | 152,407.57 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -49.86 | 152,357.71 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -53.10 | 152,304.61 |
|  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -64.58 | 152,240.03 |
|  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -150.00 | 152,090.03 |
|  | 0610 - General Supplies | -84.49 | 152,005.54 |
| Pizza for 7th grade field trip | 0630 •ood -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -216.19 | 151,789.35 |
|  | Office Supplies | -39.99 | 151,749.36 |
|  | Office Supplies | -61.30 | 151,688.06 |
|  | 0610 - General Supplies | -94.65 | 151,593.41 |
| 7th grade field trip | 0513 . Contracted Field Trips | -1,200.00 | 150,393.41 |
| Pizza for 7th grade dance | 0513 . Contracted Field Trips | -55.00 | 150,338.41 |
|  | Student Recruitment | -410.03 | 149,928.38 |
| Inv \#12242018 | 7421 Accounts Payable | -292.50 | 149,635.88 |
| Bill.com 12/21/18 Payments | 1072 - Bill.com Money Out Clearing | -71,898.87 | 77,737.01 |
|  | $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -19.94 | 77,717.07 |
| Pizza for student dance | $0630 \cdot$ Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -110.00 | 77,607.07 |
| Deposit | -SPLIT- | 222,425.88 | 300,032.95 |
|  | Office Supplies | -22.89 | 300,010.06 |
|  | Student Recruitment | -30.00 | 299,980.06 |
| Monthly charges | 0313a - Bank Fees | -128.58 | 299,851.48 |
| Service Charge | 0313a - Bank Fees | -4.00 | 299,847.48 |


|  | Type | Date | Num | Name | Memo | Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deposit | 12/31/2018 |  |  | Interest | 1500 - Interest Income | 51.83 | 299,899.31 |
| Total 8101 | First Bank |  |  |  |  |  | -89,147.01 | 299,899.31 |
| total |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xlongequal{-89,147.01}$ | $\underline{\underline{299,899.31}}$ |

Jul - Dec 18
JuI-Dec 18
1000 - Local Revenue Source
1500 - Interest Income

1700 - Pupil Activities 1760 - Gifts/Contributions
Total $1700 \cdot$ Pupil Activities 1740 - Fees

1740a - Uniforms/Other 1740 - Fees - Other
Total $1740 \cdot$ Fees
1900 - Other Revenue from Local Source
1990 - Other Revenue
Total 1900 - Other Revenue from Local Source 1920-Grant income
A to $\mathbf{Z}$
Denver Fou

El Pomar
1920 - Grant income - Other
Total 1920 - Grant income
1954 - Mill Levy Funding
1998 MLO Literacy
1998 MLO Technology
2003 MLO Academic Achievement
2003 MLO Textbooks
2012 MLO Enrichment and Support
2012 MLO Secondary Arts
2012 MLO Technology
2012 MLO Textbooks
2012 MLO Tutoring
2016 MLO Classroom Technology
2016 MLO Equalization
2016 MLO Great Teachers
2016 MLO Support for WholeChild 2017-19 MLO Tech Bond
Total 1954 • Mill Levy Funding
Total 1000 - Local Revenue Source
$3000 \cdot$ State Revenue
3113 - Capital Construction Fund
$3139 \cdot$ ELPA PD
$3140 \cdot$ ELPA Special Ed
3150 - Gifted and Talented
3183 • EARSS
3192a • School Counselor Corps
Total 3000 - State Revenue
4000 - Federal Revenue
4954 - Federal Revenue - passthrough
Parent Involvement
$4010 a \cdot$ Title I
$4365 a \cdot$ Title III
$4367 a \cdot$ Title II
7365a $\cdot$ Title III Set Aside
9206a $\cdot$ Charter Credit

Total 4954 • Federal Revenue - passthrough Total $4000 \cdot$ Federal Revenue 5700 - PPR
Total Income
Gross Profit
Expense
0100 - Salaries
$100 \cdot$ Administration
$200 \cdot$ Professional Staff Salaries
$300 \cdot$ Other Professional
$400 \cdot$ Paraprofessional
$500 \cdot$ Clerical
$0100 \cdot$ Salaries - Other

Total 0100 - Salaries
0200 - Employee Benefits
Clerical Benefits

|  | Jul - Dec 18 | Budget | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.0211 - L\&STD Insurance | 2.70 |  |  |  |
| 5.0221 - Medicare | 241.74 | 1.00 | 240.74 | 24,174.0\% |
| 5.0230 P PRA | 1,548.20 | 6.00 | 1,542.20 | 25,803.33\% |
| 5.0251 - Health Benefits | 1,887.99 |  |  |  |
| 5.0290 - PCOPS | 2,473.99 | 10.00 | 2,463.99 | 24,739.9\% |
| Total Clerical Benefits | 6,154.62 | 17.00 | 6,137.62 | 36,203.65\% |
| Other Professional Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0211 - L\&STD Insurance | 5.40 |  |  |  |
| 3.0221 - Medicare | 1,332.64 | 3,470.00 | -2,137.36 | 38.41\% |
| 3.0230 - PERA | 8,111.72 | 14,947.00 | -6,835.28 | 54.27\% |
| 3.0251 - Health Benefits | 5,936.41 | 15,120.00 | -9,183.59 | 39.26\% |
| 3.0290 - PCOPS | 12,962.35 | 22,833.00 | -9,870.65 | 56.77\% |
| Total Other Professional Benefits | 28,348.52 | 56,370.00 | -28,021.48 | 50.29\% |
| Paraprofessional Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0221 - Medicare | 144.59 |  |  |  |
| 4.0230 - PERA | 589.21 |  |  |  |
| 4.0290 - PCOPS | 941.56 |  |  |  |
| Total Paraprofessional Benefits | 1,675.36 |  |  |  |
| Principal / Director Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0211-L\&STD Insurance | 5.40 |  |  |  |
| 1.0221 - Medicare | 1,322.01 | 5,903.00 | -4,580.99 | 22.4\% |
| 1.0230 - PERA | 7,225.92 | 25,424.00 | -18,198.08 | 28.42\% |
| 1.0251 - Health Benefits | 4,745.24 | 15,120.00 | -10,374.76 | 31.38\% |
| 1.0290 PCOPS | 11,546.94 | 38,838.00 | -27,291.06 | 29.73\% |
| Total Principal / Director Benefits | 24,845.51 | 85,285.00 | -60,439.49 | 29.13\% |
| Teachers/Certified Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0211 - L\&STD Insurance | 360.45 | 1.35 | 359.10 | 26,700.0\% |
| 2.0221 - Medicare | 12,302.47 | 23,508.33 | -11,205.86 | 52.33\% |
| 2.0230 P PERA | 43,634.68 | 101,534.54 | -57,899.86 | 42.98\% |
| 2.0251 - Health Benefits | 58,510.03 | 104,557.79 | -46,047.76 | 55.96\% |
| 2.0252 - Dental Benefits | 73.23 |  |  |  |
| 2.0253 - Vision Benefits | 120.01 |  |  |  |
| 2.0290 - PCOPS | 71,692.87 | 148,474.99 | -76,782.12 | 48.29\% |
| Total Teachers/Certified Benefits | 186,693.74 | 378,077.00 | -191,383.26 | 49.38\% |
| Total 0200 - Employee Benefits | 247,717.75 | 519,749.00 | -272,031.25 | 47.66\% |
| $0300 \cdot$ Purchased Profess and Tech Serv |  |  |  |  |
| 0313a - Bank Fees | 312.59 | 2,424.00 | -2,111.41 | 12.9\% |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | 2,535.18 |  |  |  |
| 0320 - Educational Prof Services |  |  |  |  |
| 0320a - Contracted services | 184,845.02 | 341,607.00 | -156,761.98 | 54.11\% |
| 0320b Substitutes | 17,760.00 | 43,750.00 | -25,990.00 | 40.59\% |
| 0320c - Whole Child Enrichment | 24,700.00 | 30,150.00 | -5,450.00 | 81.92\% |
| 0320 - Educational Prof Services - Other | 24,012.50 | 241,495.00 | -217,482.50 | 9.94\% |
| Total 0320 - Educational Prof Services | 251,317.52 | 657,002.00 | -405,684.48 | 38.25\% |
| 0330 - Other Professional Services | 112.50 |  |  |  |
| 0331 - Legal | 0.00 | 2,332.00 | -2,332.00 | 0.0\% |
| 0332 - Audit | 7,500.00 | 7,538.00 | -38.00 | 99.5\% |
| 0339 - Business Services | 39,734.00 | 76,350.00 | -36,616.00 | 52.04\% |
| 0340 - Technical Services | 11,785.00 | 80,000.00 | -68,215.00 | 14.73\% |
| Total 0300 - Purchased Profess and Tech Serv | 313,296.79 | 825,646.00 | -512,349.21 | 37.95\% |
| 0400 - Purchased Prop. Services |  |  |  |  |
| 0442 - Rental of Equipment | 7,258.95 | 16,000.00 | -8,741.05 | 45.37\% |
| Total 0400 - Purchased Prop. Services | 7,258.95 | 16,000.00 | $-8,741.05$ | 45.37\% |
| 0430 - Repairs and Maint | 1,560.00 | 2,010.00 | -450.00 | 77.61\% |
| 0500 - Other Purchased Services |  |  |  |  |
| 0531 - Phone/Office | 1,470.69 | 5,400.00 | -3,929.31 | 27.24\% |
| 0533 . Postage | 939.95 | 2,010.00 | -1,070.05 | 46.76\% |
| 0534 - Online Services | 76,229.77 | 77,756.00 | -1,526.23 | 98.04\% |
| 0540 - Advertising |  |  |  |  |
| Staff Recruitment | 1,695.25 | 7,035.00 | -5,339.75 | 24.1\% |
| Student Recruitment | 8,934.97 | 14,070.00 | -5,135.03 | 63.5\% |
| 0540 - Advertising - Other | 5,260.97 |  |  |  |
| Total $0540 \cdot$ Advertising | 15,891.19 | 21,105.00 | -5,213.81 | 75.3\% |
| Total 0500 - Other Purchased Services | 94,531.60 | 106,271.00 | -11,739.40 | 88.95\% |
| 0511 - To \& From School Transportation | 3,219.84 |  |  |  |
| 0513 . Contracted Field Trips | 5,523.96 | 34,783.00 | -29,259.04 | 15.88\% |
| 0515 - Shuttle Fees | 94.00 | 1,000.00 | -906.00 | 9.4\% |
| 0520 - Insurance Premiums |  |  |  |  |


| Jul - Dec 18 | Budget | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12,024.10 |  |  |  |
| 1,661.84 | 5,629.00 | -3,967.16 | 29.52\% |
| 8,706.00 | 14,636.00 | -5,930.00 | 59.48\% |
| 0.00 | 22,848.00 | -22,848.00 | 0.0\% |
| 22,391.94 | 43,113.00 | -20,721.06 | 51.94\% |
| 5,719.40 | 6,030.00 | -310.60 | 94.85\% |
| 4,888.77 | 25,000.00 | -20,111.23 | 19.56\% |
| 10,608.17 | 31,030.00 | -20,421.83 | 34.19\% |
| 52,411.21 |  |  |  |
| 79,683.73 |  |  |  |
| 122,591.82 |  |  |  |
| 23,299.50 |  |  |  |
| 0.00 | 578,136.00 | -578,136.00 | 0.0\% |
| 277,986.26 | 578,136.00 | -300,149.74 | 48.08\% |
| 56,587.68 | 123,604.00 | -67,016.32 | 45.78\% |
| 1,084.88 |  |  |  |
| 4,130.58 | 15,000.00 | -10,869.42 | 27.54\% |
| 23,765.45 | 75,000.00 | -51,234.55 | 31.69\% |
| 27,896.03 | 90,000.00 | -62,103.97 | 31.0\% |
| 369.01 | 3,198.00 | -2,828.99 | 11.54\% |
| 7,844.32 | 2,512.00 | 5,332.32 | 312.27\% |
| 2,289.90 | 1,508.00 | 781.90 | 151.85\% |
| 12,857.42 | 10,000.00 | 2,857.42 | 128.57\% |
| 51,256.68 | 107,218.00 | -55,961.32 | 47.81\% |
| 3,732.88 | 15,000.00 | -11,267.12 | 24.89\% |
| 7,684.65 | 6,030.00 | 1,654.65 | 127.44\% |
| 23,397.32 | 40,050.00 | -16,652.68 | 58.42\% |
| 31,081.97 | 46,080.00 | -14,998.03 | 67.45\% |
| 0.00 | 143,685.00 | -143,685.00 | 0.0\% |
| 90.33 | 1,005.00 | -914.67 | 8.99\% |
| 90.33 | 144,690.00 | -144,599.67 | 0.06\% |
| 2,984.05 | 2,386.00 | 598.05 | 125.07\% |
| 450.00 | 42,149.00 | -41,699.00 | 1.07\% |
| 2,224,380.03 | 4,966,543.00 | -2,742,162.97 | 44.79\% |
| $\xrightarrow{-270,521.78}$ | $9,157.00$ | $\xrightarrow{-279,678.78}$ | $\underline{-2,954.26 \%}$ |

COMPASS ACADEMY
Denver Public School District
Denver County
2018-2019
Proposed Revised Budget
November 29, 2018

## APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION

Be it resolved by the Board of Education of COMPASS ACADEMY
located in Denver Public School District in Denver County
that the amounts shown in the following schedule be appropriated to each fund as specified in the Proposed Revised Budget for the ensuing fiscal year beginning July 1, 2018 and ending June 30, 2019

|  | APPROPRIATION |  | EXPENDITURES + APPROPRIATED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUND |  | AMOUNT | RESERVES |
| 1. General Fund | 1 | 4,627,297 | 4,627,297 |
| 1a. Charter Schools | 1a. | - | - |
| 1b. Insurance Reserve Fund | 1b. | - | - |
| 1c. Pre-School Fund | 1c. | - | - |
| Special Revenue Funds: |  |  |  |
| 2. Capital Reserve Special Revenue Fund | 2 | - | - |
| 3. Governmental Designated-Purpose Grants Fund | 3 | - | - |
| 4. Pupil Activity Special Revenue Fund | 4 | - | - |
| 5. Full Day Kindergarten Mill Levy Override Fund | 5 | - | - |
| 6. Transportation Fund | 6 | - | - |
| 7. Other Special Revenue Funds | 7 | - | - |
| 7. Bond Redemption Fund 8 |  |  | - |
| Capital Projects Funds: |  |  |  |
| 9. Building Fund | 9 | - | - |
| 10. Special Building and Technology Fund | 10 | - | - |
| 11. Capital Reserve Capital Projects Fund | 11 | - | - |
| Enterprise Funds: |  |  |  |
| 12. Food Service Fund | 12 | - | - |
| 13. Other Enterprise Funds | 13 | - | - |
| Internal Service Funds: |  |  |  |
| 14. Risk-Related Activity Fund | 14 | - | - |
| 15. Other Internal Service Funds | 15 | - | - |
| Trust/Agency Funds: |  |  |  |
| 16. Fiduciary Fund | 16 | - | - |
| 17. Private Purpose Trust Funds | 17 | - | - |
| 18. Agency Fund | 18 | - | - |
| 19. Pupil Activity Agency Fund | 19 | - | - |
| 20. Foundations | 20 | - | - |
| 21. Component Units | 21 | - | - |
| TOTAL APPROPRIATION | 22 | 4,627,297 | 4,627,297 |

## COMPASS ACADEMY

## 2018-2019 Proposed Revised Budget

|  | FY18 Revised Adopted Budget | FY18 Actuals | FY19 Adopted Budget | General FY19 Revised Budget | Grants FY19 Revised Budget | FY19 <br> Proposed <br> Revised <br> Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ 213,039 | \$ 213,039 | \$ 346,239 | \$ 346,239 | \$ | \$ 346,239 |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Revenue | 1,097,554 | 1,030,169 | 1,510,086 | 96,000 | 1,177,580 | 1,273,580 |
| State Revenue | 337,255 | 353,920 | 334,442 |  | 346,898 | 346,898 |
| Federal Revenue | 224,702 | 222,712 | 193,950 |  | 229,531 | 229,531 |
| Per Pupil Funding | 2,690,402 | 2,706,406 | 2,937,221 | 2,779,870 | - | 2,779,870 |
| Total Revenue | 4,349,913 | 4,313,207 | 4,975,700 | 2,875,870 | 1,754,009 | 4,629,879 |
| Transfers | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 1,830,825 | 1,837,740 | 2,312,829 | 1,254,373 | 910,638 | 2,165,011 |
| Employee Benefits | 412,361 | 397,717 | 534,598 | 407,175 | 113,384 | 520,560 |
| Purchased Professional and Technical Services | 787,888 | 764,676 | 825,646 | 385,120 | 469,774 | 854,894 |
| Purchased Property Services | 16,000 | 16,038 | 16,000 | 16,000 | - | 16,000 |
| Repairs and Maintenance Services | 2,000 | 1,634 | 2,010 | 2,010 | - | 2,010 |
| Student Transportation | - | 4,369 |  |  |  |  |
| Contracted Field Trips | 33,475 | 22,866 | 34,783 | 12,000 | - | 12,000 |
| Student Transportation Purchased from Contractol | 8,000 | 259 | 1,000 | 1,000 | - | 1,000 |
| Insurance Premiums | 42,264 | 33,857 | 43,113 | 41,889 |  | 41,889 |
| Other Purchased Services | 85,200 | 64,804 | 106,271 | 56,753 | 48,962 | 105,715 |
| Tuition - Other | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Travel, Registration, and Entrance | 71,100 | 43,659 | 31,030 | 6,030 | 14,211 | 20,241 |
| District Purchased Services | 512,021 | 534,795 | 578,135 | 512,717 | 41,941 | 554,658 |
| District Admin Overhead | 99,775 | 101,630 | 123,604 | 116,983 |  | 116,983 |
| SFA Purchased Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplies | 133,567 | 130,794 | 104,706 | 85,194 | 19,512 | 104,706 |
| Food | 22,400 | 14,720 | 2,512 | 15,000 | - | 15,000 |
| Books and Periodicals | 20,000 | 18,846 | 15,000 | $(7,373)$ | 13,373 | 6,000 |
| Land and Improvements | 8,000 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Buildings | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| New Construction | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| Equipment | 61,100 | 50,626 | 40,050 | 50 | 40,000 | 40,050 |
| Property | 6,000 | 6,901 | 6,030 | 6,030 | - | 6,030 |
| Non-Capital Equipment | - | 140 | - |  | - |  |
| Other Objects | 3,374 | 3,560 | 3,391 | 3,391 | - | 3,391 |
| Interest | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Contingency | 40,468 | - | 143,685 | 10,000 | - | 10,000 |
| Indirect Costs | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Other Uses of Funds | 20,895 | - | 42,149 | - | 31,159 | 31,159 |
| Redemption of Principal | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total Expenditures | 4,216,713 | 4,049,631 | 4,966,543 | 2,924,342 | 1,702,955 | 4,627,297 |
| Net Income | 133,200 | 263,576 | 9,157 | $(48,472)$ | 51,054 | 2,582 |
| TABOR Reserve | 123,756 | 123,000 | 143,452 | - | - | 132,010 |
| Appropriated Fund Balance | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unassigned Fund Balance | 222,482 | 353,615 | 211,943 | 297,767 | 51,054 | 216,810 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ 346,239 | \$ 476,615 | \$ 355,396 | \$ 297,767 | \$ 51,054 | \$ 348,821 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| 2003 MLO HS Graduation | - | - | 78.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 MLO Elementary Arts | - | - | - |
| 2003 MLO Textbooks | 40.47 | 39.80 | 39.80 |
| 2003 MLO Kindergarten | - | - | - |
| 2012 MLO Secondary Arts | 143.27 | 143.73 | 143.73 |
| 2012 MLO Enrichment and Student Support | 124.65 | 123.87 | 123.87 |
| 2012 MLO Tutoring - FRL | 282.43 | 285.51 | 285.51 |
| 2012 MLO Technology | 64.25 | 64.56 | 64.56 |
| 2012 MLO Textbooks | 64.25 | 64.56 | 64.56 |
| 2012 MLO Kindergarten | - | - | - |
| 2016 MLO Early Literacy | - | - | - |
| 2016 MLO College and Career Readiness | - | - | - |
| 2016 MLO Technology | - | - | 94.07 |
| 2016 MLO Great Teachers | - | - | 206.61 |
| 2016 MLO Whole Child | - | - | 213.76 |
| FY17-19 Tech Bond | - | 28,728.00 | 49,809.00 |
| MLO Equalization | 174.82 | 64.69 | 64.69 |
| State Revenue |  |  |  |
| Change in State Revenue |  |  | -1.00\% |
| Capital Construction | 154.86 | 131.89 | 131.89 |
| Supplemental At-Risk Aid | - | - | - |
| ELPA PD | 6,321.00 | 5,814.40 | 13,550.75 |
| ECEA - SPED | - | - | - |
| ELPA | 4,688.00 | 4,312.96 | 11,405.80 |
| ECARE/CPP | - | - | - |
| Gifted and Talented | 161.72 | - | - |
| State Transportation | - | - | - |
| Start Smart Nutrition | - | - | - |
| Child Nutrition | - | - | - |
| Expelled and At Risk Students | 203,842 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| School Counselor Grant | 81,400 | 80,000 | 80,000 |
| READ Act: Formula Distribution | - | - | - |
| State Grants to Libraries | - | - | - |
| Stipends for National Board Certified Educators | - | - | - |
| Additional At-Risk Aid | 14.50 | - | - |
| Federal Revenue |  |  |  |
| Change in Federal Revenue |  |  | -1.00\% |
| Title I | 163,350.00 | 133,630.00 | 164,558.00 |
| Title I | - | - | - |
| Parent Involvement | 829.00 | 1,816.00 | 1,816.00 |
| IDEA Part B | - | - | - |
| IDEA Part B - Preschool | - | - | - |
| Title II | 11,156.00 | 10,976.00 | 10,976.00 |
| Title III | 9,098 | 11,005 | 11,005 |
| Title III Set Aside | - | 433 | 433 |
| Title IV | - | - | 4,653 |


| School Breakfast Program | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National School Lunch Program | - | - | - |
| Federal Fresh Fruit \& Vegetable Program | - | - | - |
| Charter Credit | 38,279 | 36,090 | 36,090 |
| CCSP Start-Up | - | - | - |
| Transfers |  |  |  |
| Fund Transfers |  |  | - |
| Expense Assumptions |  |  |  |
| Salaries and Benefits |  |  |  |
| Annual Salary Increase |  | 0.03 | 3\% |
| Medicare | 1.45\% | 1.45\% | 1.45\% |
| PERA | 5.59\% | 6.25\% | 6.25\% |
| PCOPs | 9.60\% | 9.54\% | 9.54\% |
| Health Benefit Rate Increase | 0.05 | 0.05 | 5\% |
| Benefit Participation Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 100\% |
| Health | 3,264 | 3,780 | 3,780 |
| Dental |  | - | - |
| Vision |  | - | - |
| Other Benefits |  | - | - |
| Other Expense Assumptions |  |  |  |
| Average Expense Increase |  |  | 0.50\% |
| Purchased Professional and Technical Services |  |  |  |
| Banking Service Fees | 1,533 | 2,424 | 2,424 |
| Professional-Educational Services | 605,918 | - | - |
| Contracted Services | - | 580,750 | 656,999 |
| Substitutes | - | 43,750 | 37,000 |
| Whole Child Enrichment | - | 30,150 | 30,150 |
| Student Incentives/Activities | - | 7 | 7 |
| Legal Services | - | 7 | 7 |
| Audit Services | 7,500 | 7,538 | 7,538 |
| Negotiations Services | - | - | - |
| Consultant Services - Support Services for Instructional Staff | - | - | - |
| Consultant Services - Support Services for Administration | 14,363 | - | - |
| Consultant Services - Business | - | - | - |
| Medical Services | - | - | - |
| Other Professional Services - SPED | - | - | - |
| Other Professional Services - Support Services for Students | - | - | - |
| Other Professional Services - Business | 74,311 | 76,350 | 76,350 |
| Technical Services - Central | 61,051 | 80,000 | 40,000 |
| Technical Services - Facilities Acq and Construction | - | - | - |
| Other Purchased Professional and Technical Services | - | - | - |
| Purchased Property Services |  |  |  |
| Utility Services | - | - | - |
| Water/Sewage | - | - | - |
| Disposal Services | - | - | - |
| Snow Removal Services | - | - | - |
| Custodial Services | - | - | - |

Repairs and Maintenance Services - Operations and Maintenance
Repairs and Maintenance Services - Facilities Acq and Construction
Rentals
Rental of Land and Buildings
Copier Rental
Contractor Services
Other Purchased Services
Student Transportation
Contracted Field Trips
Contracted Field Trips
Bus Passes
Liability Insurance
Unemployment Compensation Insurance
Workers' Compensation Insurance
District Multiple-Coverage Insurance
District Student Insurance
Phone
Postage Machine Rental
Postage
Online Services
Online Services
Advertising
Student Recruitment
Staff Recruitment
Printing and Binding
Concurrent Enrollment
Travel, Registration, and Entrance
Staff Activities
Student Travel
District Purchased Service: Nurse/Psych/Social Worker/CSO
Authorizer Admin Fee
Authorizer SpEd Fee
District Shuttle Fee
Shared Campus Fee (CSO, DPD)
District Facility Use
DPS Purchased Food Services
SFA Purchased Services
Supplies
Instructional Supplies
Office Supplies
Student Incentives/Activities
Natural Gas
Electricity
Motor Vehicle Fuels
Food
Books and Periodicals

| Electronic Media Materials |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,238 | 1,508 | 1,508 |
| Other Supplies | 28,589 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Property |  |  |  |
| Land and Improvements | - | - | - |
| Buildings | - | - | - |
| Leasehold Improvements | - | - | - |
| New Construction | - | - | - |
| Equipment | - | - | - |
| Vehicles | - | - | - |
| Furniture and Fixtures - Instructional | 2,376 | - | - |
| Furniture and Fixtures - Non-Instructional | 4,525 | 6,030 | 6,030 |
| Technology Equipment - Instructional | 20,854 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Technology Equipment - Non-Instructional | 29,772 | 10,050 | 10,050 |
| Non-Capital Equipment - Instructional | - | - | - |
| Non-Capital Equipment - Non-Instructional | 140 | - | - |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Dues and Fees | 2,855 | 2,386 | 2,386 |
| Interest | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | 143,685 | 10,000 |
| Indirect Costs | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | 705 | 1,005 | 1,005 |
| Other Uses of Funds | - | - | 31,159 |
| Redemption of Principal | - | - | - |

FY19 SUMMARY BUDGET


FY19 SUMMARY BUDGET


FY19 SUMMARY BUDGET

| COMPASS ACADEMY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Revised Budget |  |  |
| Adopted November 29, 2018 | Ohiect | 10 |
| Total School Administration |  | 583,491 |
| Business Services - Program 2500, including Program 2501 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 |  |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |
| Purchased Services |  |  |
|  | ,0400, 0500 | 195,757 |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property | 0700 |  |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total Business Services |  | 195,757 |
| Operations and Maintenance - Program 2600 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | 60,206 |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | 14,157 |
| Purchased Services |  |  |
|  | ,0400, 0500 | 18,788 |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property | 0700 | - |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total Operations and Maintenance |  | 93,150 |
| Student Transportation - Program 2700 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | - |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |
| Purchased Services |  |  |
|  | ,0400, 0500 | 47,746 |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property | 0700 | - |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total Student Transportation |  | 47,746 |
| Central Support - Program 2800, including Program 2801 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | 77,250 |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | 20,874 |

FY19 SUMMARY BUDGET


FY19 SUMMARY BUDGET

| COMPASS ACADEMY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Revised Budget |  |  |
| Adopted November 29, 2018 | Obiect | 10 |
| Community Services - Program 3300 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | - |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |
| Purchased Services |  |  |
|  | 0300,0400, 0500 | - |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 |  |
| Property | 0700 |  |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total Community Services |  | - |
| Education for Adults - Program 3400 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | - |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |
| Purchased Services |  |  |
|  | 0300,0400, 0500 | - |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property | 0700 | - |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total Education for Adults Services |  | - |
| Total Supporting Services |  | 1,721,080 |
| Property - Program 4000 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | - |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |
| Purchased Services |  |  |
|  | 0300,0400, 0500 | - |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property | 0700 | - |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total Property |  | - |
| Other Uses - Program 5000s - including Transfers Out and/or Allocations Out as an expenditure Salaries |  |  |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |

FY19 SUMMARY BUDGET

| COMPASS ACADEMY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Revised Budget |  |  |
| Adopted November 29, 2018 <br> Purchased Services | Obiect | 10 |
|  | 0300,0400, 0500 | - |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 |  |
| Property | 0700 |  |
| Other | 0800, 0900 |  |
| Total Other Uses |  |  |
| Total Expenditures |  | 4,627,297 |
| APPROPRIATED RESERVES |  |  |
| Other Reserved Fund Balance (9900) | 0840 | - |
| Other Restricted Reserves (932X) | 0840 | - |
| Reserved Fund Balance (9100) | 0840 | - |
| District Emergency Reserve (9315) | 0840 | - |
| Reserve for TABOR 3\% (9321) | 0840 |  |
| Reserve for TABOR - Multi-Year Obligations (9322) | 0840 |  |
| Total Reserves |  |  |
| Total Expenditures and Reserves |  |  |
|  |  | 4,627,297 |
| BUDGETED ENDING FUND BALANCE |  |  |
| Non-spendable fund balance (9900) 6710 |  |  |
| Restricted fund balance (9990) | 6720 | - |
| TABOR 3\% emergency reserve (9321) | 6721 | 132,010 |
| TABOR multi year obligations (9322) | 6722 |  |
| District emergency reserve (letter of credit or real estate)(9323) |  |  |
| Colorado Preschool Program (CPP) (9324) | 6724 |  |
| Full day kindergarten reserve (9325) | 6725 |  |
| Risk-related / restricted capital reserve (9326) | 6726 | - |
| BEST capital renewal reserve (9327) | 6727 |  |
| Committed fund balance (9900) | 6750 | - |
| Committed fund balance (15\% limit) (9200) | 6750 | - |
| Assigned fund balance (9900) | 6760 | - |

FY19 SUMMARY BUDGET

| COMPASS ACADEMY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Revised Budget |  |  |
| Adopted November 29, 2018 | Obiect |  |
| Unassigned fund balance (9900) | 6770 | 216,810 |
| Net investment in capital assets (9900) | 6790 |  |
| Restricted net position (9900) | 6791 |  |
| Unrestricted net position (9900) | 6792 |  |
| Total Ending Fund Balance |  | 348,821 |
|  |  |  |
| Total Available Beginning Fund Balance \& Revenues |  |  |
| Less Total Expenditures \& Reserves Less Ending Fund Balance (Shall Equal Zero (0)) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Use of a portion of beginning fund balance resolution required? |  | No |

## Compass Academy Board January Internal Review and Data Report

## Short-Term Headlines

| Strategic <br> Priority | Status | Key Progress | Current Needs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Data Cycles |  | Data cycles and aligned coaching being <br> utilized consistently in ELA and Math | Follow-through on the Action Items <br> determined during December's internal <br> review should improve PLT spaces. |
| Literacy |  | Identified key actions to improve PLT <br> spaces through CY support, technology <br> usage, and additional literacy-based <br> resources for teachers. | Implementation of CY supports during <br> PLT (within focus lists) needs finalized, <br> and resources to be gathered and <br> provided to teachers prior to January <br> Internal Review meeting. |
| Math |  | Math teacher team is committed to ANet <br> coaching and curriculum improvement and <br> is improving. | More focus is needed in constructed <br> response (writing) for math. |
| Attendance |  | Teachers perform a deep dive with <br> teachers around attendance data monthly. <br> Large grade level and whole-school <br> campaigns indicate slowing of attendance <br> declines and improvement in 80-89\% Tier. | Tier 2 and 3 supports for chronic <br> attendance students remain a challenge, <br> but recent shifts in the Diverse <br> Learner/Wellbeing team will allow for <br> greater bandwidth for these students <br> starting in January. |
| Culture |  | Reorganization of Diverse Learner and <br> Wellbeing responsibilities and caseloads <br> will permit efficiency and focus. | Additional support for culture/trauma <br> support is needed for both administrators <br> and for teachers. |

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. All prioritized standards and instructional strategies determined through our data cycle are occurring in $80 \%$ of observed spaces.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

1. ANet driven PD and coaching for math/ELA teachers $\bigcirc$
2. Strong data cycles utilizing specific interim data
3. PLT/Intervention spaces support key gaps $\square$
4. School wide instructional approaches that support literacy
5. Daily expectations of PARCC assessment exposure through Do Now and Exit Ticket utilizing ANet tools for CMAS alignment.

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Do we have shared clarity on the strategic priorities and major goals?
2. Are the coaching and data cycle structures in place to support our content based strategies?

## Overarching Priority 1: Data Cycles and Coaching

| Action Item | Status | Next Steps | Responsible | Other Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Launch monthly <br> internal review <br> process | On Track | Next meeting <br> scheduled for Jan <br> 28 | Laura |  |
| Confirm <br> assessments to <br> use to measure <br> growth and predict <br> performance | On Track | MAP testing <br> shifting to spring <br> (no winter <br> assessment). <br> STAR Reading <br> implemented <br> monthly within <br> Science classes. | Compass <br> Leadership / SDD | ACCESS testing <br> throughout <br> January. HSA <br> administered <br> $1 / 16-1 / 18$ |

## Priority 2: Literacy

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. All students grow 1.5-3.5 years in reading (STAR Reading).
2. $80 \%$ of students hit individually determined ELA PARCC growth goal (as determined by previous performance band).
3. Prioritized reading strategies are being implemented in $80 \%$ of classrooms.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

1. ANet Partnership
2. Implementation of Sheltering Strategies/Close Reading Strategies/Signposts
:3. Incorporate reading standards across content, identify groups for differentiation $\bigcirc$
:4. Lesson plan reviews for implementation of reading strategies
3. Multiple teachers in spaces, Spanish push-in supports, 4 kinds of PLT, ELD push-in strategy

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Are students on track to meet growth targets and show improvement on state assessments?
2. Are coaching and individual support structures working and how do we know? Are we implementing with fidelity?
3. Are PD and group learning structures working and how do we know? Is ANet support working?
4. Who is performing on which standards/focus questions and what are we doing about it? Are we using interim assessment data to drive instruction across all instructional spaces at CA?
5. How well are specific interventions working? (Including push in, PLT, utilization of CY)
6. Are key instructional practices being consistently implemented in support of focus questions and standards aligned grade level appropriate instruction?
7. Is literacy and reading growth being reinforced across all instructional spaces?

Review of lesson plans in Science and Social Studies indicates increased emphasis on literacy, specifically writing. CM usage still needs improvement

## Social Studies Lesson Plan Review of WaaGs since Fall Break

|  | ELA <br> Std <br> Inclu <br> ded | ELA <br> Std <br> Taug <br> ht | Lit <br> Strat. <br> Nam <br> ed | Text Enga geme nt | 2nd <br> Adult <br> Lit <br> Supp <br> ort <br> Pres <br> ent | Writi <br> ng Com pone nt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6th |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Science Lesson Plan Review of WaaGs since Fall Break

|  | ELA <br> Std <br> Inclu <br> ded | ELA <br> Std <br> Taug <br> ht | Lit <br> Strat. <br> Nam <br> ed | Text <br> Enga <br> gem <br> ent | 2nd <br> Adult <br> Lit <br> Supp <br> ort <br> Pres <br> ent | Writi <br> ng <br> Com <br> nt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6th |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th* | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 8th** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*7th Grade teacher did not provide lesson plans for review. A promising new Science teacher started in Semester 2
**8th Grade used SEPUP provided lesson plans which does not indicate a writing component. However, classroom observations and teacher check-ins indicate writing focus.

## Overall STAR Performance \& Growth Indicators - How much have students grown on average since the beginning of the year?

STAR Student Growth Percentile Change by Grade



STAR Grade Equivalent Change by Grade


## STAR Scale Score Change by Grade



Overall, student growth stalled from November to December - subsequent slides (see also appendix) indicate that a high number of students continue to opt-out, making growth estimates difficult.

## STAR Reading Performance Growth Trends since the beginning of the year

Whole School STAR Growth from Original Assessment


6th Grade STAR Growth from Original

## Takeaway: Opting out is still a challenge for our students and masks the overall growth we know students have experienced.

8th Grade STAR Growth from Original


## Priority 2: Literacy

| Action Item | Status | Next Steps | Responsible | Other <br> Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Determine performance <br> goals with assist from <br> ANet | On Track | Prep for January meeting | Laura |  |
| Identify/improve literacy <br> practices within SS and <br> Sci | On Track | Data review of SS and Sci <br> lesson plans indicate <br> large improvement in <br> literacy practices, <br> especially in SS | Laura/Compass <br> Leadership | Will continue <br> to monitor <br> for Internal <br> Review |
| Review/improve CY <br> literacy strategy and CY <br> supports towards literacy <br> campaign | Making <br> Progress | Needs clearly identified <br> during CY Denver's 11/28 <br> visit. Improvement plan <br> with concrete steps and <br> oversight created. | SDD/CY Impact <br> Mass Leadership |  |
| Improve PLT usage <br> towards reteaching <br> standards identified <br> through ANet | Making <br> Progress | December Internal <br> Review recognized <br> improvement literacy <br> efforts in more PLT <br> spaces. | Laura |  |

## Priority 3: Math

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. $80 \%$ of students hit individually determined Math PARCC growth goal (as determined by previous performance band).
2. Prioritized math strategies are being implemented in $80 \%$ of classrooms. $\bigcirc$

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

:1. Consistent use of data cycle of math performance and needs utilizing ANet. $\square$
:2. Use power standard to create a scope for Math PLT spaces.
:3. Analyze student data to identify groups for differentiation/PLT/ Interventions. $\square$
:4. Purchase of Math Curriculum (Connected Math) to support the implementation of philosophical approach.

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Are students on track to make growth targets and show improvement on state assessments?
2. Are coaching and individual support structures working and how do we know? Are we implementing with fidelity?
3. Are PD and group learning structures working and how do we know? Is ANet support working?
4. Who is performing on which standards and what are we doing about it?
5. How well are specific interventions working? (Including push in, PLT, utilization of the City Year team
6. Are key instructional practices being consistently implemented in support of standards aligned grade level appropriate instruction?

## Math ANet performance indicates the biggest gap is in 7th grade Math. Subpopulation performance is on par with overall student performance.

Cycle 1 ANet Overall Math Performance


ANet Overall Math Performance for ELL and SpEd


Cycle 2 ANet Overall Math Performance


Gender Comparison of ANet Math Performance


## Priority 3: Math

| Action Item | Status | Next Steps | Responsible | Other Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Determine performance goals with assist from ANet | On Track | Prep for January meeting | Laura |  |
| Improve PLT usage towards reteaching standards identified through ANet | Making Progress | December Internal Review recognized weak and bright spots in math reteach in more PLT spaces. | Laura |  |
| Improve ELD push-in support utilization | On Track | Future internal review analysis | Compass Leadership |  |
| PLT focus on writing within math | On Track | Continuing PDs and during Teacher Check-ins | Compass Leadership |  |
| Monitor growth for Spanish Math class and students taking CMAS in Spanish | On Track | Ongoing | Alexis |  |
| 7th Grade Math support | On Track | ANet and coaching support ongoing | Compass Leadership |  |

## Priority 4: Attendance

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. $40-50 \%$ of students achieve $95 \%$ attendance or higher
2. $75 \%$ of students achieve above $90 \%$ attendance.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

:1. Morning Pack contains focus on Compass Points and Competency development, team-building and culture, relationship building, and an Attendance Focus.
2. Compass Attendance campaign $\bigcirc$
:3. City Year focus list support

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Is attendance shifting? Is chronic absenteeism decreasing? Are systems in place working and for whom?
2. Are attendance support strategies (attendance campaign) working with fidelity? How well are specific interventions working?

## Priority 4: Attendance

| Action Item | Status | Next Steps | Responsible | Other Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Create an Attendance Task <br> Force/System to identify and <br> support Tier 2 \& 3 students at <br> multiple levels | Making <br> Progress | Wellbeing working with <br> Tier 3 students to better <br> understand root causes. <br> Shifting in Wellbeing staff <br> will allow increased focus <br> starting in January. | Compass <br> Leadership <br> Team and SDD | Add'I work needed to <br> identify interventions <br> for students. |
| Champions chosen for 15 <br> students per grade in Below <br> 80\%/80-89\%/90-94\% Tiers for <br> intensive supports. | On Track | Analysis of attendance <br> changes in chosen <br> students ongoing. | Alexis | Initial analysis will use <br> December's <br> attendance rates. |
| CY Focus list finalized and rolled <br> out for weekly check-ins and <br> phone call call efforts | Making | Progress | Monitoring data for <br> changing in attendance <br> rates | Alexis/CY |

## Are we Meeting our Goals?

Goal 1: SPF Requirement - 50\% of students at 95\% ADA or above.

| School-level Measures | Current Year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Attendance - Full Year | $88.48 \%$ |
| Attendance - With SPF Exclusions | $89.18 \%$ |
| Total Students | 339 |
| Students Included in SPF Calc. | 332 |
| Students Meeting SPF Threshold | 133 |
| Student \% Meeting SPF Threshold | $40.06 \%$ |

Goal 2:

## Only 67\% of students are above 90\% ADA (was 66\%).

## Results of Home Visits and Tardy Letters Initiatives

| Home Visit Letters Results |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6th grade | 7th grade | 8 th grade | Overall |
| Improved | $56 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Not improved | $44 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ |

Number of Actual Home Visits: 9
Number of Actual Student Pickups: 2

## Morning Home Visit \& Pickup

Description: 106 students with attendance rates between 80-94\% were given letters on $11 / 29$ indicating that subsequent absences would require a home visit on the same day of absence. Additional letters were distributed to the same students as well as 35 additional students (143 students in total) on $12 / 4$ and $12 / 14$.

Despite low number of home visits and student pickups, the increased phone calls and student notification of potential home visits has made a positive impact on student attendance.

| Tardy Letters Results |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6th grade | 7 th grade | 8th grade | Overall |
| Improved | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Not improved | $44 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $53 \%$ |

Tardy Sweep Description: 75
students with excessive tardies were given letters on $11 / 29$ indicating that subsequent tardies would have to be made up after school. Add'I letters were distributed on 12/14.

No students have been held after school to make up the time they were late yet, but simply providing the letter and informing students of this potential practice had a positive impact on attendance rates.

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. $80 \%$ of teachers observed giving instruction on personal and student brain states during the year.
2. Teachers will create grade level goals using HSA data and display them in classrooms/hallways.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

:1. Provide ongoing school-wide learning and support around trauma-informed care with support from Dr. Eldridge Greer. $\square$
:2. Collaborate around meeting unmet needs in EWI and grade level meetings. $\square$
:3. Identify and fund additional staffing/community resources to support the Wellbeing team. $\bigcirc$
:4. Leadership team walkthroughs collecting data on level of implementation of trauma-informed care.

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Is a consistent approach to trauma informed support and discipline (namely conscious discipline) being implemented across instructional spaces?
2. Are students having a positive and supportive experience with our approach to culture and discipline?
3. Are adults in the building supporting culture and discipline in the right ways? Does our approach to culture/discipline allow us to balance this priority with the others?
4. Are we increasing minutes of instruction and regulating spaces through culture and discipline approaches?

## Mid-Year Survey Results indicate positive response improvement from last year in almost all categories overall

- Belonging (5 of 9 responses improved from last year)
- I feel confident I will return to Compass next year.
- I think coming to school is important.
- I get the support I need to learn.
- There is an adult at school who encourages and believes in me.
- I can count on City Year to help me whenever I need it.
- Safety (all responses improved from last year)
- Academic Clarity (1 of 3 improved, 1 remained the same)
- Improved: I believe that doing by best on assessments will help me show how much l've grown as a learner and leader.
- Remained: In my classes, I know what I need to do and learn to be successful.
- Overall Satisfaction (all responses improved)
- Program Evaluation (all responses improved)
- Growth Mindset (3 of 5 improved)
- My intelligence is something that I can't change very much.
- There are some things I am not capable of learning.
- If I am not naturally smart in a subject, I will never do well in it.

Note: Detailed Analysis of the Mid-Year Survey, including averages and a Net Promoter Score for each Category and subpopulations (grades, M/F, New/Continuing Students, ELL, SpEd, etc.) are located in the Appendix under Culture Data.

## Priority 5: Culture

| Action Item | Status | Next Steps | Responsible | Other Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use HSA-R to determine growth/change of SEL status | On Track | Scheduled in May | Alexis |  |
| Wellbeing Team targeting HSA Tier 3 support score students in small groups | On Track |  | Wellbeing | NEW! |
| Address Teacher fatigue and build out recovery strategies to support and retain teachers | Making Progress |  | SDD/Compa ss |  |
| Hire additional special education and well-being supports | On Track |  | Compass leadership | -Hired paraeducator -Hired additional psychologist |
| Adjust special education, SEL, well being systems and decision structures to support capacity | On Track |  |  | -Shifted case management decision structures |
| Find and hire strong leader to support longer term trauma informed strategy | Not Started |  |  | $1$ |

## Appendix A: Additional Data

## Literacy: Additional Data

## Students who engaged during the STAR assessment continue to demonstrate major growth



Our ELLs grew slightly more than the overall school average. Males are much more likely to opt out vs. females.

## STAR Overall Performance for Subpopulations



## STAR Performance Changes from Nov to December

Whole School STAR Growth from Nov Assessment



#### Abstract

To note: In December, STAR assessments were switched to Science classes (previously held in Social Studies classes). The increase in students opting out in 7th 8th grades may be attributed to level of classroom management and/or lack of student buy-in during that block.





3\%

## Students who grew from November to December

Whole School STAR Growth
from Nov. Assessment


Of the $32 \%$ of students that grew from Nov. to Dec.:

- Increased an average of 90 points
- Grew an average of 0.75 Grade levels

Breakdown of Students that Grew by Grade

|  | Pts. Improved | Grade Level <br> Improvement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 84 | 0.7 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 90 | 0.9 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 100 | 1.05 |

## Student Performance in Resident-led ELA Classrooms - is there a difference?

7th Grade ELA Resident-led Class
Performance ANet Comparison

| Resident-Led ELA <br> Class' Perf. | Rest of 7th Grade <br> Avg. Perf. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ |

Takeway: Class performance in Resident-led blocks is not noticeably different than the average grade level performance, or if so, is explained by the student makeup of those classes.

8th Grade ELA Resident-led Class
Performance ANet Comparison

| Resident-Led ELA <br> Class' Perf. | Rest of 8th Grade <br> Avg. Perf. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \%$ and $23 \%^{*}$ | $27 \%$ |
| *These classes includes groupings of <br> SpEd and the lowest Reading <br> Performers, which is reflected in these <br> class' performance vs. overall |  |

Note: No 6th Grade Resident teaches in ELA spaces.

## Math: Additional Data

## Student Performance in Resident-led Math Classrooms - is there a difference?

| 8th Grade Math Resident-led Class Performance ANet Comparison |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interim 1 |  | Interim 2 |  |
| Resident-Led Math <br> Class' Perf. | Rest of 8th Grade <br> Avg. Perf. | Resident-Led Math <br> Class' Perf. | Rest of 8th Grade <br> Avg. Perf. |
| $32 \%$ and 23\% | $26 \%$ | $22 \%$ and 21\% | $21 \%$ |

Takeaway: Class performance in Resident-led blocks is not on par with average grade level performance.

Attendance: Additional Data

## Attendance Data Dive - Where are we right now?

| Avg. Attendance Rates of Compass Academy Over 4 Years |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade Level | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | $2017-2018$ | $2018-2019$ |
| 6 | $93 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ |
| 7 |  | $92.4 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  | $88.5 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ |


| Chronic Absenteeism Rates of Compass Academy Over 4 Years |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade Level | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | $2017-2018$ | $2018-2019$ |
| 6 | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 7 |  | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Total <br> Chronic | $23 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| * Percentages are total \% of total student body population that have <br> 90\% or less attendance rate as of 1/10/2019. |  |  |  |  |

## Attendance Profiles - Where are our kids?

| Total Students <br> in Each Tier as of 1/10/19 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $95 \%+$ | 116 |
| $90-94 \%$ | 93 |
| $80-89 \%$ | 61 |
| Below $80 \%$ | 47 |

Tiers of Students by Attendance Rate


## What the Data says:

- Students in the bottom tiers are slowly improving or remaining.
- After December's vacations, many students in the top tier fell below expectation of 95\% ADA (into 90-94\% tier)


## Attendance Breakdown by Grade \& Gender



## Tier Analysis - Using Attendance Data to Identify Potential Root Cause or Anticipate Future Attendance

|  | Below <br> $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 - 9 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \% +}$ | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% of Students <br> in same Tier <br> last yr | $48.6 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | Many students carry with them the <br> attendance habits created in prior years, but <br> at times over half of students can <br> drop/improve tiers. |
| \% of Students <br> who have <br> improved <br> from last <br> year's rate | $28.8 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |  |
| \% of Students <br> who had a <br> Student <br> Conference in <br> October | $35.6 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ | *Did not count due to volume. |

Our attendance efforts are making a difference to students' attendance and tardy rates.

| Friday Fun Day |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | \% of students who <br> earned Friday Fun <br> Day |
| Bers of Students $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $80-89 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| $90-94 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| $95 \%+$ | $94 \%$ |

What does this mean?
With the right messaging, emphasis and incentives in place, even students that significantly struggle with attendance can make improvements.

## Common Attendance Touchpoints for Students Where/when are students reminded about Attendance?

| Tier focus | Timing | Location | Details |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| all tiers |  <br> Tues | Opening Pack | Slides with Attendance <br> incentives/reminders |
| all above 80\% | Daily | Opening Pack | Texts/calls to absent/tardy students <br> (from friends and/or teachers) |
| all attending | Weekly/Monthly | Opening Pack | Attendance lessons/reflections |
| 95\%+ although <br> opportunity for <br> all Tiers |  <br> Mon | 5th Block and all <br> day Mon | Free dress letters handed out Fri., <br> wristbands given to students Monday <br> morning |
| all tiers | Monthly | Opening Pack | Grade Level Village Meeting, at which <br> Attendance call-outs happen |
| 80-89\% and <br> 90-94\% | Monthly | Opening Pack | CY initiatives (usually growth-based) |
| all tiers | Daily | all day | Hallway visuals with Grade level and <br> school data |
| all tiers | Daily | varies by class | Attendance trackers (visual) in <br> classrooms |

## Current Grade Level Initiatives/ Touchpoints for Attendance

| Grade | Timing | Location | Details |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6th Grade | Weekly \& Monthly | Opening Pack | Challenges <br> towards classroom <br> growth (e.g. from <br> $94 \%$ to 97\% avg.) |
| 7th Grade/8th <br> Grade | Weekly \& Monthly | All classes | Behavior Point <br> system tied to <br> attendance (no <br> attendance = not <br> earning points for <br> weekly/monthly <br> incentives) |
| 7th Grade/8th <br> Grade | Weekly | Opening Pack | Classroom <br> incentives/parties <br> for improvement or |
| "Best pack" |  |  |  |

## Less Frequent Attendance Touchpoints for Students

| General Target | Primary Actor | Details | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Below 80\% | Wellbeing | Check-ins (daily as time <br> allows), contracts, calls <br> home/home visits | as needed |
| $80-94 \%$ | Morning Pickup/Call if <br> absent | Call home \& home visit if <br> unable to make contact |  |
| Below 50\% | Wellbeing | Truancy filings | students were <br> notified week of <br> $11 / 26$ |
| Chronically Tardy | Opening Pack \& CY | After-school recovery | each Semester |
| All Students | Data \& Dessert Nights | Attendance review with <br> Families and Compass Admin |  |

Behind the Scenes:

- EWI meetings (sliding students and those below 80\% and 80-89\%)
- Wellbeing referrals, home visits, attendance contracts, check-ins
- Teacher calls and home visits
- Admin discussions with families and students about attendance
- Robo-calls, texts and letters to families about student's attendance


# Teachers claimed 15 students per grade level to closely monitor, support and champion for attendance purposes. 

## Teachers use a tracker to easily identify their students' progress, and teachers check-in on that progress as a group within EWI meetings.



## Culture: Additional Data

## ISS/OSS Trends in Q1 and Q2



Of the major behaviors reported to DPS,

- $14 \%$ in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade
- $24 \%$ in $7^{\text {th }}$ grade
- $62 \%$ in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade

48\% of major behaviors reported were from new students.

## Student responses to Belonging Questions

|  | Belonging (9 Qs) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population | $18-19$ <br> Score Avg. | Net Promoter <br> Score |
| All Students | 3.93 | 55.89 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 4.05 | 57.64 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.85 | 54.52 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.90 | 55.54 |
| Males | 3.90 | 52.68 |
| Females | 3.96 | 59.23 |
| New <br> Students | 3.90 | 57.88 |
| Continuing <br> Students | 3.96 | 53.85 |
| ELL | 4.00 | 59.02 |
| SpEd | 3.76 | 47.43 |
| Variance | .15 | 11.8 |

Highlights:

- Students across grades had little variation in NPS.
- Females reported much higher Belonging
- Continuing students reported challenges with Belonging (in general and in comparison to New students)

Note: Survey is a 5-pt Likert scale, where 1=Strongly Disagee, and 5=Strongly Agree. Desired score is between 4-5.

## Student Responses to Safety Questions

| Safety (6 Qs) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population | $18-19$ <br> Score Avg. | Net Promoter <br> Score |
| All Students | 3.59 | 37.76 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.63 | 40.63 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.51 | 33.91 |
| $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.64 | 45.95 |
| Males | 3.59 | 40.42 |
| Females | 3.59 | 39.08 |
| New <br> Students | 3.60 | 42.29 |
| Continuing <br> Students | 3.58 | 37.10 |
| ELL | 3.62 | 42.44 |
| SpEd | 3.33 | 30.65 |
| Variance | .31 | 15.3 |

Highlights:

- Large difference in $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade scores
- Continuing students reported challenges with Safety in comparison to New students

Note: Survey is a 5-pt Likert scale, where $1=$ Strongly Disagee, and 5=Strongly Agree. Desired score is between 4-5.

## Growth Mindset Questions do not appear to correlate to STAR Engagement

| Growth Mindset (5 Qs) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population | $18-19$ <br> Score Avg. | Net Promoter <br> Score |
| All Students | 2.86 | 5.42 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 2.76 | 10.00 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 2.88 | 4.88 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 2.95 | 0.86 |
| Males | 2.98 | -2.83 |
| Females | 2.74 | 13.97 |
| New Students | 2.91 | 2.98 |
| Cont. Students | 2.81 | 8.00 |
| ELL | 3.00 | -3.70 |
| SpEd | 3.26 | -18.71 |
| "Engaged" <br> Students - STAR | 2.88 | 2.02 |
| "Opted Out" |  |  |
| Students- STAR | 2.78 | 13.33 |

Highlights:

- $6^{\text {th }}$ Graders, Females, and students that "Opted Out" of the STAR Reading Test had a more positive NPS than their counterparts.
- $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, Males, ELLs and SpEd students had a lower NPS.

Please Note: Growth Mindset Questions were inversely worded (a positive score is between 1-2 and not 4-5).

## Additional Survey Responses

| Academic Clarity (6 Qs) |  |  | Overall Satisfaction (2 Qs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | 18-19 Score Avg. | Net Promoter Score | Population | 18-19 <br> Score <br> Avg. | Net <br> Promoter <br> Score |
| All Students | 3.81 | 51.91 | All Students | 3.87 | 56.14 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.96 | 58.54 | $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 4.01 | 60.00 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.73 | 48.45 | $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.74 | 54.07 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.73 | 48.57 | $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.87 | 54.29 |
| Males | 3.77 | 49.31 | Males | 3.88 | 54.58 |
| Females | 3.85 | 54.60 | Females | 3.87 | 57.76 |
| New Students | 3.77 | 52.75 | New Students | 3.80 | 57.02 |
| Cont. Students | 3.85 | 51.01 | Cont. Students | 3.94 | 55.22 |
| ELL | 3.90 | 57.70 | ELL | 3.96 | 64.29 |
| SpEd | 3.58 | 40.86 | SpEd | 3.61 | 41.94 |
| Variance | . 38 | 17.68 | Variance | . 35 | 22.35 |


| Program Evaluation (4 Qs) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population | $18-19$ <br> Score <br> Avg. | Net <br> Promoter <br> Score |
| All Students | 3.77 | 50.53 |
| 6 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.88 | 53.44 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.75 | 51.45 |
| 8 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.66 | 46.07 |
| Males | 3.75 | 50.63 |
| Females | 3.79 | 50.43 |
| New <br> Students | 3.71 | 51.65 |
| Cont. <br> Students | 3.83 | 49.35 |
| ELL | 3.88 | 57.77 |
| SpEd | 3.44 | 32.26 |
| Variance | $\mathbf{. 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 1}$ |

# Attendance responses have little variation based on actual student attendance except for those with exemplary attendance 

| Attendance (5 Qs) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population | $18-19$ <br> Score Avg. | Net Promoter <br> Score |
| All Students | 3.81 | 48.81 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 4.01 | 57.00 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.68 | 43.26 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 3.72 | 46.29 |
| Males | 3.82 | 49.50 |
| Females | 3.79 | 48.10 |
| New Students | 3.71 | 46.78 |
| Cont. Students | 3.90 | 50.96 |
| ELL | 3.93 | 55.97 |
| SpEd | 3.54 | 32.90 |


| Attendance Tier | $18-19$ <br> Score <br> Avg. | Net Promoter <br> Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Below 80\% | 3.75 | 43.08 |
| Between 80-89\% | 3.67 | 40.44 |
| Between 90-94\% | 3.70 | 42.22 |
| $95 \%$ and Above | 3.90 | 54.55 |

Highlights:

- Though $7^{\text {th }}$ grade has the highest avg. attendance, they earned the lowest NPS as a grade level.
- The NPS of Continuing students may indicate that attendance campaigns over time have greater effect (vs. new students).
- Students actual attendance rates do not greatly affect responses except for students with rates above $95 \%$.


## DPS Student Satisfaction Preview

We also included 4 questions from the DPS EOY Student Satisfaction that were our worst decliners to estimate whether our scores might improve this year.

| DPS Question in EOY Student <br> Satisfaction Survey | DPS Avg. School <br> \% Positive <br> Response <br> (4 pt scale) | CA Mid-Year Survey \% Positive Response (5 pt scale) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Results: Estimates indicate that 2 of 4 of questions Compass declined most on in the 2017-18 survey are on target to improve this year. Discipline remains a challenge.
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## Appendix B: Internal Review Deck, December 17, 2018

## Internal Review Overview and Progress

## Purpose of Internal Review Process:

To track progress on Compass Academy Strategic Priorities and identify critical adjustments to our approach in rapid response to emerging needs. Our process follows these high level steps:

1. Gather key data that helps answer a set of guiding questions on each of our strategic priorities to assess the degree to which we are on track. Synthesize the most critical data that highlights new learning.
2. Facilitate data discussions among school leadership and determine key adjustments to strategy supports.
3. Facilitate data discussions with teachers utilizing new data.

## Key questions discussed throughout this process:

- Is this work being consistently and faithfully implemented across classrooms/grade levels?
- How do we know we are making progress?
- Are we adjusting based upon monitoring and data?
- Is this work leading to increased student achievement?


## Focus Questions

## For This Meeting

5. How well are specific interventions working? (Including push in, PLT, utilization of the City Year team)
6. Are key instructional practices being consistently implemented in support of standards aligned grade level appropriate instruction?
7. Is literacy and reading growth being reinforced across all instructional spaces?
8. Is PLT reinforcing key literacy standard gaps? How can it better do so?
9. How well are specific interventions working in PLT spaces? (Including push in, PLT, utilization of the City Year team)

## Protocol for Data Discussion and Reflection

Next


Does this feel like an accurate picture of what I see as a school leader?
Are there any surprises in the data?Unknowns? Are there bright spots in the data that provide opportunities to learn about what works?

Given what we know is happening, what should we do next to get us closer to our goals in this area?

What do the data tell us about what our next steps should be?

Exactly what will be done by whom and when
Is there other information/data I would like to see or that would be more helpful?
What resources, tools, supports or plans do we need to put in place to see improvements in our work (and therefore our data)?

Is there additional guidance or training needed?

## Strategic Priorities for 2018/19

Our Must-Win Strategies
Our Must-Win Goal


## Action Items \& Status from Prior Meeting

| Action Item | Owner | Due Date | Notes | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consider communication about Star test with kids and the importance of the assessment | Denise and Brandon | Before 2/15 next Star test | Denise is putting student test incentives in place. | New STAR results to be reviewed by teachers during PD 12/17. Test incentives (growth) crafted by ELA teachers. |
| Identify kids who dropped significantly in Star results and discuss in Pack | Teachers | 11/12/18 | Denise in PD today (11/12) | STAR results reviewed with teachers during PD. Transferring future reviews of student growth to ELA classes (with student reflection immediately after test) |
| Look for other ways of measuring/collecting literacy integration in science classrooms. | Brandon will talk to Alex | 11/30/18 | Lesson plans are not the right place to look—Ask Alex, focus on writing for mastery - highlight in green? | Brandon talked with Sci lead about writing push and availability of Sci lesson plans for review. Following slides detail lesson plan review results. |
| Meet with sci and ss leads about implementing writing to demonstrate mastery of content | Brandon | 12/7/18 | This is another way to integrate the literacy push into science and social studies. | Brandon met with leads individually and all Sci/SS were included in Writing-focused PD. |
| Work with science and ss teachers - need to re-circle on summer PD strategies | Denise and Brandon | 12/7/18 | Close reading and text choices/use of text. | Done? |
| Norming around writing conventions/strategies | Brandon | 12/7/18 |  | Writing-focused PD on 11/26 |
| December focus on science and social studies improvements | All | Week of December 17 | Nate will follow up with Denise about topics. | PLT focus with data updates and follow-up on committed action |

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. All prioritized standards and instructional strategies determined through our data cycle are occurring in $80 \%$ of observed spaces.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

1. ANet driven PD and coaching for math/ELA teachers $\bigcirc$
2. Strong data cycles utilizing specific interim data
3. PLT/Intervention spaces support key gaps
4. School wide instructional approaches that support literacy $\square$
5. Daily expectations of PARCC assessment exposure through Do Now and Exit Ticket utilizing ANet tools for CMAS alignment.

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Do we have shared clarity on the strategic priorities and major goals?
2. Are the coaching and data cycle structures in place to support our content based strategies?

## Priority 2: Literacy

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. All students grow 1.5-3.5 years in reading (STAR Reading).
2. $80 \%$ of students hit individually determined ELA PARCC growth goal (as determined by previous performance band).
3. Prioritized reading strategies are being implemented in $80 \%$ of classrooms.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

:1. ANet Partnership
:2. Implementation of Sheltering Strategies/Close Reading Strategies/Signposts
:3. Incorporate readi standards across content, identify groups for differentiation
:4. Lesson plan reviews for implementation of reading strategies
:5. Multiple teachers in spaces, Spanish push-in supports, 4 kinds_of PLT, ELD pusMn strategy

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Are students on track to meet growth targets and show improvement on state assessments?
2. Are coaching and individual support structures working and how do we know? Are we implementing with fidelity?
3. Are PD and group learning structures working and how do we know? Is ANet support working?
4. Who is performing on which standards/focus questions and what are we doing about it? Are we using interim assessment data to drive instruction across all instructional spaces at CA?
5. How well are specific interventions working? (Including push in, PLT, utilization of the City Year team)
6. Are key instructional practices being consistently implemented in support of focus questions and. standards aligned grade level appropriate instruction?
7. Is literacy and reading growth being reinforced across all instructional spaces?

## Priority 3: Math

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. $80 \%$ of students hit individually determined Math PARCC growth goal (as determined by previous performance band).
2. Prioritized math strategies are being implemented in $80 \%$ of classrooms. $\bigcirc$

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

:1. Consistent use of data cycle of math performance and needs utilizing ANet. $\square$
:2. Use power standard to create a scope for Math PLT spaces.
:3. Analyze student data to identify groups for differentiation/PLT/ Interventions. $\square$
:4. Purchase of Math Curriculum (Connected Math) to support the implementation of philosophical approach.

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Are students on track to make growth targets and show improvement on state assessments?
2. Are coaching and individual support structures working and how do we know? Are we implementing with fidelity?
3. Are PD and group learning structures working and how do we know? Is ANet support working?
4. Who is performing on which standards and what are we doing about it?
5. How well are specific interventions working? (Including push in, PLT, utilization of the City Year team
6. Are key instructional practices being consistently implemented in support of standards aligned grade level appropriate instruction?

## Priority 4: Attendance

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. $40-50 \%$ of students achieve $95 \%$ attendance or higher
2. $75 \%$ of students achieve above $90 \%$ attendance.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

:1. Morning Pack contains focus on Compass Points and Competency development, team-building and culture, relationship building, and an Attendance Focus.
2. Compass Attendance campaign $\bigcirc$
:3. City Year focus list support

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Is attendance shifting? Is chronic absenteeism decreasing? Are systems in place working and for whom?
2. Are attendance support strategies (attendance campaign) working with fidelity? How well are specific interventions working?

## Metrics for Strategy's Success (with status):

1. $80 \%$ of teachers observed giving instruction on personal and student brain states during the year.
2. Teachers will create grade level goals using HSA data and display them in classrooms/hallways.

## Key Support Strategies (with status):

:1. Provide ongoing school-wide learning and support around trauma-informed care with support from Dr.
: Eldridge Greer. $\square$
-2. Collaborate around meeting unmet needs in EWI and grade level meetings. $\square$
:3. Identify and fund additional staffing/community resources to support the Wellbeing team.
:4. Leadership team walkthroughs collecting data on level of implementation of trauma-informed care.

## Internal Review Questions to Monitor This Strategy:

1. Is a consistent approach to trauma informed support and discipline (namely conscious discipline) being implemented across instructional spaces?
2. Are students having a positive and supportive experience with our approach to culture and discipline?
3. Are adults in the building supporting culture and discipline in the right ways? Does our approach to culture/discipline allow us to balance this priority with the others?
4. Are we increasing minutes of instruction and regulating spaces through culture and discipline approaches?

## The Data

## Coaching \& Data Cycles - PLT Emphasis

Review of lesson plans in Science and Social Studies indicates increased emphasis on literacy, specifically writing.

## Social Studies Lesson Plan Review of WaaGs since Fall Break

| ELA <br> Std <br> Inclu <br> ded | ELA <br> Std <br> Taug <br> ht | Lit <br> Strat. <br> Nam <br> ed | Text <br> Enga <br> geme <br> nt | 2nd <br> Adult <br> Lit <br> Supp <br> ort <br> Pres <br> ent | Writi <br> ng <br> Com <br> none |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6th |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 8th |  |  | NA |  |  |

Detailed description located in this link.

## Science Lesson Plan Review of WaaGs since Fall Break

|  | ELA <br> Std <br> Inclu <br> ded | ELA <br> Std <br> Taug <br> ht | Lit Strat. Nam ed | Text Enga gem ent | 2nd <br> Adult <br> Lit <br> Supp <br> ort <br> Pres <br> ent | Writi <br> ng Com pone nt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6th |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 8th |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PLT teacher and Class Status or Rating with Current Class Focus

| Daylan | ELD | Expository writing/presenting | Lauren | Art |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kenia | ELD |  | French | Intervention Sci |  |
| Kerri | Intervention Math | Misconceptions and major work of the grade | Kyle | Intervention Sci | Read Aloud/Student Silent Reading |
| Sjoukje | Intervention Math | Misconceptions and major work of the grade | Alex | Intervention Sci | Sign Posts Reader's Workshop |
| Rafael | Intervention Math | Misconceptions and major work of the grade | Audrey | Intervention SS | Read Aloud |
| Martin | Intervention Math | Dance Project | Mary Kate | Intervention SS | Narrative Essay |
| Eric | Intervention Reading | The outsiders | Kati | Intervention SS | Argumentative Writing |
| Louise | Intervention Reading | Concussion Unit | DTP | Math Skills Block |  |
| Jessica | Intervention Reading | Stations (Reading/Writing) | Paula | Span | Narrative Writing |
| Jon | Intervention Reading | Freak the Mighty | Sarah C | Span |  |
| Sarah S | Intervention Reading | Silent Reading/Writing Topics/Achieve3000 | Ruby | Span | Narrative Writing |

## City Year in PLT spaces

| Priority Area | Strengths/Needs/Gaps |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scheduling Structure | CMs could be better utilized in PLT |
| Team Culture | Good collaboration and culture building |
| Tier 1 culture/behavioral support | CM support role in classes inconsistent |
| Tier 1 academic support | Little feedback results in lack of clarity on CM <br> academic role in supporting academics |
| Tier 2 academic support (literacy focus) | Lack of alignment b/t CM and teacher, few <br> pull-outs that are academically focused (only <br> assignment completion) |
| Use of academic data \& interventions | Have focus list but have not tracked |
| Use of behavioral data \& interventions | Have focus list but tracking/dosage <br> inconsistent |
| Use of attendance data \& interventions | Tracking improvement needed, final focus list <br> created but weekly check-ins not happening. |

## An Average Week in PLT spaces - Teacher-Informed

| Intervention Reading | $-\quad$ Writing workshop, silent reading |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $-\quad$ Read aloud, activity stations |
|  | $-\quad$ Close reading |
| - | Achieve3000 |

Does this match what you see in PLT spaces? Does this average week represent what happens in PLT? Does it meet the expectations or requirements for PLT as indicated to teachers?

Overall: Is PLT reinforcing key literacy standard gaps? How can it better do

Highlights from PLT:

- Student discussion and engagement with material
- Writing engagement, time to practice more types of writing

Improvement Thoughts:

- Smaller class sizes to allow for more targeted interventions; more opportunities for small groups
- More effective CY support
- More resources for a variety of activities/lessons (but not every PLT be the same).
- A school-wide system to tell me what work students are missing from their classes so they can make it up
- PLTs shouldn't be interventions but should be spaces for makeup work to get students ready for the next day

Literacy

## Overall STAR Performance \& Growth Indicators - How much have students grown on average since the beginning of the year?

STAR Student Growth Percentile Change by Grade



STAR Grade Equivalent Change by Grade


## STAR Scale Score Change by Grade



Overall, student growth stalled from November to December - subsequent slides indicate that a high number of students continue to opt-out, making growth estimates difficult.

## STAR Reading Performance Growth Trends since the beginning of the year

Whole School STAR Growth from Original Assessment


6th Grade STAR Growth from Original

## Takeaway: Opting out is still a challenge for our students and masks the overall growth we know students have experienced.

8th Grade STAR Growth from Original


## Students who engaged during the STAR assessment continue to demonstrate major growth



Our ELLs grew slightly more than the overall school average. Males are much more likely to opt out vs. females.

## STAR Overall Performance for Subpopulations



## STAR Performance Changes from Nov to December

Whole School STAR Growth from Nov Assessment



#### Abstract

To note: In December, STAR assessments were switched to Science classes (previously held in Social Studies classes). The increase in students opting out in 7th 8th grades may be attributed to level of classroom management and/or lack of student buy-in during that block.





3\%

## Students who grew from November to December

Whole School STAR Growth
from Nov. Assessment


Of the $32 \%$ of students that grew from Nov. to Dec.:

- Increased an average of 90 points
- Grew an average of 0.75 Grade levels

Breakdown of Students that Grew by Grade

|  | Pts. Improved | Grade Level <br> Improvement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 84 | 0.7 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 90 | 0.9 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 100 | 1.05 |

Attendance

## Are we Meeting our Goals?

Goal 1:

| School-level Measures | Current Year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Attendance - Full Year | $88.96 \%$ |
| Attendance - With SPF Exclusions | $89.47 \%$ |
| Total Students | 338 |
| Students Included in SPF Calc. | 331 |
| Students Meeting SPF Threshold | 136 |
| Student \% Meeting SPF Threshold | $41.09 \%$ |

Goal 2:

## Only 68\% of students are above 90\% ADA (was 66\%).

*Data retrieved 12/13/2018

## Attendance Data Dive - Where are we right now?

| Avg. Attendance Rates of Compass Academy Over 4 Years |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade Level | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | $2017-2018$ | $2018-2019$ |
| 6 | $93 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ |
| 7 |  | $92.4 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  | $88.5 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ |


| Chronic Absenteeism Rates of Compass Academy Over 4 Years |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade Level | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | $2017-2018$ | $2018-2019$ |
| 6 | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 7 |  | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 8 |  |  | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Total <br> Chronic | $23 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| * Percentages are total \% of total student body population that have <br> 90\% or less attendance rate as of 12/13/2018. |  |  |  |  |

## Opening Pack Avgs. and Ranking

| Overall Average (Minus Students below 80\%) |  |  | Last Week's Average (Minus Students below 80\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pack Leader = | Rate $\quad$ | Rank $\quad \overline{ }$ | Pack Leader | Rate | Rank |
| Louise | 95.8\% | 1 | SarahS | 99.6\% | 1 |
| Ruby | 95.7\% | 2 | Eric | 97.8\% | 2 |
| Audrey | 95.2\% | 3 | Audrey | 93.7\% | 3 |
| Sjoukje | 95.2\% | 4 | Ruby | 93.3\% | 4 |
| Alicia | 94.4\% | 5 | Lauren | 91.7\% | 5 |
| Eric | 94.3\% | 6 | Jessica | 91.7\% | 6 |
| Daylan | 94.3\% | 7 | Jon | 91.0\% | 7 |
| Alex | 94.1\% | 8 | Alex | 90.6\% | 8 |
| SarahS | 94.1\% | 9 | Kerri | 90.5\% | 9 |
| Martin | 93.9\% | 10 | Paula | 90.3\% | 10 |
| Jon | 93.6\% | 11 | Sjoukje | 90.1\% | 11 |
| Paula | 93.4\% | 12 | Kati | 89.5\% | 12 |
| Rafael | 93.4\% | 13 | Rafael | 86.4\% | 13 |
| MaryKate | 93.2\% | 14 | Lee | 86.2\% | 14 |
| SarahW | 93.1\% | 15 | Martin | 85.2\% | 15 |
| Kerri | 93.1\% | 16 | Daylan | 84.7\% | 16 |
| French | 92.7\% | 17 | SarahW | 82.1\% | 17 |
| Kati | 92.7\% | 18 | French | 82.0\% | 18 |
| Lee | 92.4\% | 19 | MaryKate | 80.8\% | 19 |
| Lauren | 92.3\% | 20 | Kyle | 80.3\% | 20 |
| Jessica | 92.2\% | 21 | Louise | 79.7\% | 21 |
| Kyle | 89.8\% | 22 | Alicia |  | \#N/A |

Students below 80\% (not included in Pack calculations) Total: 50

- 6th - 8 ( was 12)
- 7th -11 (was 11)
- 8th-24 (was 27)
*Data retrieved 12/13/2018


## Attendance Profiles - Where are our kids?

## Tiers of Students by Attendance Rate

| Total Students <br> in Each Tier as of $12 / 13$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $95 \%+$ | 128 (was 145) |
| $90-94 \%$ | 84 (was 72) |
| $80-89 \%$ | 59 (was 59) |
| Below $80 \%$ | 43 (was 48) |



## What the Data says:

- Students in the bottom tiers are slowly improving.
- As December progresses, Students in the top tier are falling below expectation of $95 \%$ ADA.


## Attendance Breakdown by Grade \& Gender



## Tier Analysis - Using Attendance Data to Identify Potential Root Cause or Anticipate Future Attendance

|  | Below <br> $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 - 9 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \% +}$ | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% of Students <br> in same Tier <br> last yr | $48.6 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | Many students carry with them the <br> attendance habits created in prior years, but <br> at times over half of students can <br> drop/improve tiers. |
| \% of Students <br> who have <br> improved <br> from last <br> year's rate | $28.8 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |  |
| \% of Students <br> who had a <br> Student <br> Conference in <br> October | $35.6 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ | *Did not count due to volume. |

Our attendance efforts are making a difference to students' attendance and tardy rates.

| Friday Fun Day |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | \% of students who <br> earned Friday Fun <br> Day |
| Bers of Students $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $80-89 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| $90-94 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| $95 \%+$ | $94 \%$ |

What does this mean?
With the right messaging, emphasis and incentives in place, even students that significantly struggle with attendance can make improvements.

## Home Visits and Tardy Letters Impact on Attendance

| Home Visit Letters Results |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6th grade | 7th grade | 8th grade | Overall |
| Improved | $56 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Not improved | $44 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ |

Number of Actual Home Visits: 9
Number of Actual Student Pickups: 2
Despite low number of home visits and student pickups, the increased phone calls and student notification of potential home visits has made a positive impact on student attendance.

| Tardy Letters Results |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6th grade | 7th grade | 8th grade | Overall |
| Improved | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Not improved | $44 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $53 \%$ |

No students have been held after school to make up the time they were late yet, but simply providing the letter and informing students of this potential practice had a positive impact on attendance rates.

Math

## Math ANet performance indicates the biggest gap is in 7th grade Math

Cycle 1 ANet Overall Math Performance


ANet Overall Math Performance for ELL and SpEd


Cycle 2 ANet Overall Math Performance


Gender Comparison of ANet Math Performance


## Culture

## 2019 High Level Compass Strategy

High Level Process for Strategy Work:

1. Get all key stakeholders aligned on our most critical needs.
2. Build out solution models to explore with stakeholders.
3. Decide on key strategy:
a. School leadership/SDD engagement
b. Simultaneous admin and board exec. engagement
c. Compass board engagement
d. Teacher, family, other stakeholder engagement

## Areas of most critical need:

SPF Approach: The SPF may not properly capture our improvement even if growth is significant (matrix approach) and we must have a proactive strategy with the District.

1) Most urgent: Create high level overview of our goals for this priority (i.e. "we are ahead of what information is coming and are making proactive decisions")
a) Needs to include - what is success? What is the threshold for various decisions (including surrendering charter)?
b) Engage board on agreeing to this plan and their role
2) Most urgent: Report that provides clarity around what will bring us to yellow on SPF for 1-year result
a) Determination of next steps with DPS Board (should we request 1-year review only or specific measure review)
b) Determination of additional school-level strategies and internal review efforts to meet school needs.
c) Clarity on what elements of SPF we can push the most urgently (parent surveys etc.)
3) Determine measures we feel confident we are performing in (regardless of SPF weight) that we'll need to advocate for
4) Create list of stakeholders that can advocate for consideration of the measures we believe in
5) Engage with district and other school leaders on likely shifts to SPF (including potential shift to state SPF)
6) Determine key shifts to SPC process we need to advocate for the fall process

## Ownership/Process:

1) This generally looks like our structures for renewal process (i.e. Marcia/Nate lead overall process - engage stakeholders throughout) - need to clarify exact roles
2) Take a moment to capture lessons learned from renewal process
3) Clarify systems (who shares what when etc.) based on learning

Model Clarity: We need to clarify our stance and approach on our updated model/priorities including our culture/trauma response as it relates to the high-level articulation of our true north and vision for the school. Key questions and thoughts for consideration as we engage with the Model Strategy Map:

1) Are we primarily a Tier $1 / 2$ school? Are we primarily a Tier $2 / 3$ school?
2) Do we stay as a stand-alone or join a network/innovation zone?
3) Do we work to be seen as playing a role in the zone with other school leaders?
4) Are there elements of design that need to be revisited based on what we have learned in the last 4 years and our context?
5) What does it look like to become a trauma responsive school and how committed are we to this vision?
6) Partner with District on the role we play in their family of schools.
7) Understand the impact on existing partnerships
8) Vet budget models
9) Use these decisions to drive SPF/SPC conversations
10) Create strategic plan aligned to model decisions

## Ownership/Process:

1) Map of options/opportunities - complete
2) Engagement with slightly larger group on 12.11
3) Convening of Board/Leaders to review options 12.18
4) Project Plan and Staffing for Model Research - SDD/Marcia
5) Budget modeling - Marcia/G\&G/SDD
6) Board decision timeline based on approach

Impact on Staff (Recovery for 2019-20): Our model building/turnaround efforts are extremely taxing on those that work in the school and may threaten long-term retention of staff.

1) What are the pros and cons for shortening the day or hours in a week?
a) What is best for students and how does that impact teacher sustainability?
b) What other structures should we consider regarding our calendar and schedule?
c) Do we have a consistent 5-day week and end earlier?
d) How might we leverage 3:00-4:00 in a sustainable way?
2) What are the pros and cons for becoming smaller over time?
3) Budget 5 -year plan to get to a sustainable financial model based on enrollment decisions
4) What is the impact of the DPS teacher contract on charter salaries?
a) What would it take to become competitive?
b) What are other benefits we need to consider?

## Ownership/Process:

1) Need to craft process and ownership in future meeting
2) Schedule a time for the board to vet and vote on proposed 2019/2020 changes regarding school day, staffing model, and salary schedule

## Strategy Proposal for 2019-2020 School Year

| Proposal Overview |  |  | Implications |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Opportunities | Threats | Enrollment | Programming | Budget | Accountability | High School |
| 2019-2020 School Year Proposed Approach <br> This approach reflects a reduction in 8th grade enrollment to minimize late-transfers to Compass as well as adjustments to programming to best support the enrollment trends that the school serves a disproportionate and growing number of Tier 2/Tier 3 students. | Reducing the number of transfers and having better tailored programming to meet the needs of students will allow the team to focus on supporting students in a long-term and meaningful way. | A reduction in enrollment creates budget challenges for the school that will likely need to be addressed through private funding, reductions in costs, or both. | In this model there would be minor <br> Adjustments to <br> Enrollment (e.g., maintaining grades 6 and 7 while reducing grade 8 to 100 in order to not take on significant additional $8^{\text {th }}$ grade transfer students) | In this model there would be minor shifts to Compass' programming to provide additional supports to students. Additionally, the team would explore other shifts that can be made based on Board approval. | Any reduction in enrollment would create budget gaps that will need to be addressed by the school and the Board through either cost reduction, fundraising, or both. | Given SPF models, it is likely Compass will receive a 2 Year SPF Orange Rating. This is likely reflective of the school being on track for 1-year Yellow performance for the 2018-2019 School Year. | Compass and the SDD would continue HS Design work, but opening remains dependent on SPF performance, an alternative approval from DPS, or an alternative approach for the HS. |
|  | Level of Control Compass has with each Implication |  | High - we can set our enrollment targets, which will limit 8th grade transfers. <br> However, we remain subject to Choice Office enrollment policies and practices along with declining enrollment in SW Denver | High - we control our programming and have autonomy in a number of areas. However, we still must be reflective of DPS policies on transportation, schedules, and our shared space with Lincoln. | High - we control our budget and spending, however, any reduction in enrollment will create a reduction in student dollars. <br> Additionally, pursuit of the HS will greatly affect the budget. | Limited - we are unlikely to break past a 2 Year Orange Rating based on scenario modeling of the SPF. Compass would need to achieve Green status or higher on one-year performance to achieve Yellow Status. | Limited/Unknown - <br> Based on approval from the DPS Board, we must achieve Yellow on the SPF to open a HS within DPS. <br> It's unknown whether an alternative approach would produce different results. |

## Key Board Approvals that are Needed in addition to the Above Proposal

- Trauma-Responsiveness Deep Dive - The Compass Board will need to determine its support for a deeper dive on developing a trauma-responsive model for the school, which would start in 2018-2019 School Year with a proposal for relevant changes to the school model to be brought to the board in the 2019-2020 School Year.
- Middle School Explorations (see Chart Below) - The Compass Board will need to determine whether Compass Administration should explore, in addition to the above proposed shifts, opportunities for partnership at the Middle School level, including partnering with an established Charter Network, within a Zone, or with DPS in some way.
- High School Pathways (see Chart Below) - The Compass Board will need to determine next steps for the High School Pathways work - some which align to Middle School Explorations, as well as other alternative approaches for the future of Compass High School.


## Middle School Explorations

| Overview |  |  | Additional Board Considerations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Opportunities | Threats | Effect on MS | Effect on Governance | Effect on Accountability | Effect on High School |
| Charter Partnership Compass MS partners more deeply with a local charter network | Access to resources and political capital | Compass may lose aspects of its identity/autonomy | Enrollment - Minimal <br> Budget - Potential: partnership support or costs <br> Staffing - Minimal <br> Programming - Potential; partnership shifts | Potential; partnership could affect board structure | Potential; may have potential buffer with charter partner | HS is still possible. Likely would need to pursue similar charter partnership for HS if choosing this approach. |
| Role in SW Zone <br> Compass MS partners with schools in the zone serving Tier $2 / 3$ students | Partner schools support the role we play in the zone and our partnership is transparent to the District | May be a barrier to long-term SPF success unless District is on board with the role we play in the zone | Enrollment - Minimal <br> Budget - Potential; zone support or costs Staffing - Same as Charter Partnership Programming - Same as Charter Partnership | Potential; partnership could affect board structure | Potential; may have potential buffer with zone | HS is still possible. Likely would need to pursue similar zone partnership for HS if choosing this approach. |
| DPS Partnership Compass MS Partners with DPS as a Tier 2/3 Student Demonstration Site | May alleviate accountability challenges with DPS | Compass may lose identity/autonomy | Enrollment - Minimal <br> Budget - Minimal <br> Staffing - Minimal <br> Programming - Potential; DPS shifts possible | Potential; partnership could affect board structure | Potential; DPS may provide buffer for SPF challenges | HS is still possible, but it is unclear how DPS partnership would affect HS Design/implementation. |

## High School Pathways

| Overview |  |  | Additional Board Considerations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Opportunities | Threats | Facility | Other |
| Current Pathway <br> No changes or adjustments to HS Approach | We open on our own terms and on a timeline that's reflective of strong growth in performance | Could lead to a loss of XQ support and the longer timeline and changing conditions in DPS could make waiting a risk | Building challenge remains unless there is significant enrollment shifts and DPS permission to use the Lincoln campus for a 6-12 space | We have already been approved on this pathway for a 6-12 school with performance conditions |
| Charter Partnership Compass HS partners more deeply with a local charter network | Access to resources and political capital | Compass may lose aspects of its identity/autonomy | Building challenge remains unless charter partner has available space. |  |
| Innovation Zone <br> Compass HS joins or starts a new innovation zone | Builds district buy-in and give HS more CMO-like supports for the school | May impact autonomy or current partnerships | Building challenge remains unless Innovation Zone creates new opportunities. |  |
| Lincoln Partnership <br> Compass partners with Lincoln to develop a shared HS program/structure | Alleviates any building challenges and ensures that we meet expectations to complete a $6-12$ pathway for students | Could be a challenging partnership or require significant changes for Compass programming | No building challenge in this partnership. |  |
| Separate Charter <br> Compass HS charter is separated from current 6-12 charter | Potentially removes dependence on MS success in order to open HS | Does not guarantee HS charter approval by DPS or state and could take a long time for approval | Building challenge remains. |  |
| Charter by the State <br> Compass HS pursues a state-based charter. | Changes accountability system for the HS | Is separated from resources and supports from DPS. Many unknowns | Would likely need a private facility for the HS. |  |
| Charter from Neighboring District Compass HS pursues charter from neighboring district. | Changes accountability system for the HS | Could have major costs due to being separated from resources and supports for DPS. Many unknowns | Building challenge remains unless buildings are available in the neighboring district. |  |
| No High School <br> Compass HS is indefinitely put on hold | Allows all teams to focus primarily on MS performance | No pathway for our 8th graders; Major funder and budget implications | No building challenge in this pathway | XQ Grant ends, would impact Compass budget |


[^0]:    *Note: Estimated EOY Score was calculated by totaling \% of scores of 4 and 5, and including $50 \%$ of scores of 3 (or neutral scores).

