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## Board Meeting <br> <br> AGENDA

 <br> 789 Sherman St \#400, Denver, CO 80203 <br> April 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2017$ 4:30-6:30 PM MT}

## Conference call information

## CLICK HERE TO JOIN THE WEBEX

617-927-2600 Access Code: 994979333
Board Members Attending: Marcia Fulton (Ex-officio), Dexter Korto, John Kechriotis, Annie Proietti (Secretary), Jessica Roberts (Treasurer), Mary Seawell (Chair), Ana C. Soler, Pami Perea

By Phone: Morris W. Price, Jim Balfanz (Vice-Chair), Bob Balfanz
Absent Board Members: Stephanie Wu
Guest: Jason Guerrero (G\&G Consulting), Johana Muriel Grajales, Jeff Park
TBD: Mike Johnston

| Public Compass Academy Board Meeting |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Min | Title and Description | Action |
| 4:30-4:35 PM | 5 | Ripples and Joys | Participate |
| 4:35-4:40 PM | 5 | March Minutes Mary Seawell | Approve |
| 4:40-5:40 PM | 60 | CSSI Visit Report | Inform and Discuss |
| 5:40-6:05 PM | 25 | Budget Revision and Financial Report -March 2017 financials <br> Jason Guerrero and Jessica Roberts | Approve |
|  |  | -FY18 Draft budget Jason Guerrero and Jessica Roberts | Inform |
| 6:05-6:10 PM | 5 | ED Update <br> Memo Q\&A: <br> - Action Plan update <br> - Hiring <br> - Org. Chart <br> - Student recruitment <br> - Budget priorities <br> - Calendar <br> Marcia Fulton | Inform and Discuss |
|  |  | -SY 2017-18 Calendar Marcia Fulton | Approve |
| 6:10-6:30 PM | 20 | High School Scenarios | Inform and Discuss |
| 6:30 PM |  | Closing and Adjourn |  |

# Compass Academy - Board Minutes 

Date: March 16, 2017
Location: City Year Denver
In attendance: Marcia Fulton, Annie Proietti, Dexter Korto, Morris Price, Jessica
Roberts
Others in attendance: Jason Gurerro, Jeff Park, Ana Soler
On the phone: Pami Perea, Bob Balfanz, Jim Balfanz, Stephanie Wu
Absent: Mary Seawell, John Kechriotis
Jim called meeting to order 4:30 pm
Ripples and Joys

## Minutes

- Dexter makes a motion to approve February minutes. Annie seconds. Minutes Approved.
Board Member Candidate:
- Introductions and Q\&A with Ana Soler

FY17 Budget and Financial Review:

- Jason reviews P\&L and balance sheet. Jim makes a motion to approve December and February financials. Annie seconds. Motion passed.
December and February financials approved.
- Note: we reviewed December financials in January but forgot to formally approve them.
Monitoring Recommendations from Blueprint:
- Mary created a detailed chart so that the board can monitor the progress and leverage the insights from Blueprint
- Useful document with clear recommendations and a timeline

Academic Committee Report:

- Reviewed Path to Green report. All core teachers have detailed student level data and are able to group students for individualized instruction.
- Best indicator we have of PARCC is MAP data
- Strong progress in ELA and Growth MGP Rates and challenge in Math and students who need to "keep up" their levels
- Most likely will not be green this year, but strong progress and focus has been made
Ed Update:
- Hiring update- 9 positions, weakest pool is ELA and strongest is Math
- Recruitment- $1046^{\text {th }}$ graders, $37^{\text {th }}$ graders, and 10 on the waitlist for $8^{\text {th }}$
- 2017-2018 Calendar- This calendar almost exactly matches DPS calendar. CA student still receive more instruction because of the longer school day.
- CSSI visit- formal update next month, overall a positive visit


## Candidate Approval:

- Motion made to make Ana Soler and official board member of Compass Academy. Motion seconded and passed.

I am looking forward to attending your board meeting this Thursday to share the findings from our CSSI visit from March 13-15, 2017. Attached to this email you will find our report that we will spend time discussing on Thursday. We will spend the first few minutes of our time together reviewing the purpose of the visit and a general overview of the report format and then we will dig into the details of the report.

If you are able to read the report ahead of time that would be great and then we can spend the majority of our time together discussing the report. I know not everyone may be able to read the report ahead of time so we will give part of the time while I am there to allow people to read/review the report before we dive into the heart of the discussion.

Just for some orientation of the report format:
Pages 3 and 4 of the report gives a basic overview of the 10 standards we used as our primary assessment instrument during the visit.

Page 5 explains the color coding and scoring of the rubric and an reminder that the rubric is based on levels of implementation, not proficiency. The remainder of page 5 and page 6 list the high level strong foundations we wanted to recognized we observed from our visit. This is not meant to be an exhaustive list but rather unique attributes that stood out to us, at Compass, in relation to the 10 standards we were assessing.

Page 7 is what we refer to as the landscape report. This is what I refer to as the ' 30,000 ' foot view of the school, across ALL 10 standards and connects to the colors explained on page 5. We will discuss this in more detail at the meeting. From this landscape, the team determines a couple of high leverage areas to provide detailed feedback on in regards to next steps. These next steps that we detail out are to be considered within a body a of evidence alongside other data points that you are also collecting as a school. There is a section that focuses on standards $1-8$ and a section that focuses on standards 9 and 10.

Pages 8-17 focus on two high leverage areas for consideration from the landscape report from Standards $1-8$ and Pages $18-23$ focus on high leverage areas for Standards $9-10$. Pages $8-23$ is where we will spend the majority of our discussion on Thursday.

While you are reading pages $8-23$, if you could text code for:
*What strong foundations resonated with you that were recognized by the CSSI team?
*What next steps were identified by the CSSI team that align with other data points you have/focus areas you have identified?
*What clarifying questions do you have?
On Thursday we will spend the first 10 minutes or so reviewing the overall CSSI process and discussing pages 5-7. Then we will move on to focusing on pages 8-17 and using our text coding to guide us through the 3 questions. We will then repeat this process with pages 18-23.

You will also see that there are some resources referenced and I will share those with Marcia in a separate email to be shared following our time together on Thursday.

Thanks in advance for your time and I look forward to our discussion on Thursday,

## Ami

"Love the Life You Live. Live the Life You Love."


## CSSI Report Summary <br> Compass Academy Grades 6-7

Site Visit Date: March 13-15, 2017

CSSI Team Lead: Ami Desai

CSSI Team Members: Sabrina Hodges, Jim Stephens, Katie Symons, and Cameron Mascoll
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## Part I: CSSI Overview

## Overview \& Process

The school support team activities included a review of the school's essential documents, classroom observations ( $n=15$ ), formal interviews with the Executive Director (1), Middle School Director (1), Chief Academic Officer (1), Instructional Coach (1), Director of Learning Sciences (1), Dean of Culture and Community (1), School Social Worker (1), School Counselor (1), School Psychologist (1), English Language Development Director (1), Special Education Teachers (1), General Education Teachers (12), Financial Partners (1), Office Staff (2 ), City Year Staff (6), Board Members (8), and a variety of parents and students.

The Standards and Indicators for School Improvement rubric was the primary assessment instrument used during the visit. Prior to the site visit, the team engaged in a review of the school Model and considered best practices when observing in classrooms and interviewing all stakeholders. The team also compiled results from surveys, written documents, and other artifacts. All of these data points were triangulated as we considered the themes that emerged for this report.

The school support team's review report was based upon examination of the documents provided in the school portfolio, team experiences, and observations. The specific findings and recommendations are organized under the headings of Teaching for Learning, Organizing for Results, and Organizational Effectiveness. Each of the 10 standards for success is addressed in the following pages.

## Teaching for Learning:

The following Teaching for Learning Standards address standards, planning, instruction, assessment and tiered support:

## Standard 1:

The school implements a curriculum that is aligned to Colorado Academic Standards and ensures rigorous, effective instructional planning.

## Standard 2:

Instructional staff members provide aligned, integrated, and research-based instruction that engages students cognitively and ensures that students learn to mastery.

## Standard 3:

The school uses multiple measures and assessment strategies to continuously inform instruction to meet student needs, measure student progress toward and mastery of grade-level expectations, and improve instruction.

## Standard 4:

The school implements a comprehensive system of tiered academic and behavioral support to enable students to master grade-level expectations.

## Standard 5:

School leadership ensures the school functions as a learning organization focused on shared responsibility for student success and a rigorous cycle of teaching and learning.

## Standard 6:

The school functions as an effective learning community and supports a climate conducive to performance excellence for students and staff.

## Standard 7:

School leadership actively develops a high quality professional staff through professional learning, supervision, evaluation, and commitment to continuous improvement.

## Standard 8:

The school implements a mission-driven cycle of continuous improvement that optimizes learning and ensures organizational effectiveness.

## Organizational Effectiveness:

The following Organizational Effectiveness Standards address board governance and fiscal management

## Standard 9:

The school board demonstrates strong leadership through its procedure to promote the school's mission, strategic planning, current knowledge of legislative issues, policy development, commitment to professional development, provision of resources, oversight/support of administrator, ability to build effective committees, and establishing networked community relationships.

## Standard 10:

The school board demonstrates strong fiscal management and the school's practices demonstrate current and future financial health.

## Rating System:

To provide detailed school level information, the review team evaluates the degree to which conditions and indicators exist and the level of implementation. The rating system is explained below.

| Level 4 | Developed and fully implemented on an ongoing and sustained basis |
| :---: | :--- |
| Level 3 | Developed and generally implemented throughout the school |
| Level 2 | Initial development and/or partially implemented |
| Level 1 | Initial development and/or minimal implementation |

Each indicator is assigned a rating from the scale above. A detailed evidence rubric is provided separately in order to allow school and board leadership to clearly understand what observations, actions, and behaviors led to our ratings.

## Compass Academy's Strong Foundations on Which to Build:

- Compass Academy has established a welcoming environment for students, staff, families, and collaborators (6.b.1).
- Compass Academy is a mission-driven organization- all stakeholders are committed to the academic and social/emotional development of the "whole child," and school programming is designed to support the implementation of this mission (8.a.1).
- Compass Academy leadership has embedded multiple structures for developing lesson planning procedures and accountability loops to effectiveness (1.c.9).
- The Learner and Leader competencies provide a vision for developing $21^{\text {st }}$ century and prepared graduate competencies (1.b.2 and 1.b.3).
- Compass Academy has developed multiple structures for ongoing home school communication (2.b.3).
- Leaders have established a beginning data-dialogue through the Early Warning Indicators (EWI) process that uses common and consistent procedures and protocols for grouping students, making hypotheses of what students need to improve their achievement, and implementing designed support (3.a.3).
- Compass Academy is starting to build out a comprehensive continuum of MTSS supports that include Tier II seminar remediation and advancement interventions, English Language Development practice, and multiple opportunities for social emotional learning (4.a.1).
- Compass Academy is developing an embedded culture of collaboration that includes weekly EWI meetings, common planning time, and networking with outside agencies including City Year and John Hopkins University (5.b.3 and 5.d.6).
- The supervision and evaluation process is clearly defined, consistent, and robust; teachers express that the process is valuable to their practice (7.b. 1 and 7.b.4).
- All stakeholders including parents understand the school's current performance challenges and are working urgently to focus on improving the pathway to growth and proficiency; all improvement efforts are focused on improving academic achievement and social emotional development (8.b.1).
- Coaching systems are consistent and differentiated. Teachers reported multiple examples of how coaching has impacted their effectiveness in teaching and student learning (7.c.2).
- The Compass Academy Governing Board displays a great deal of professionalism and has built a very strong network of partners. The board understands its role and adheres to its legal obligations as a public entity (9.c).
- The Compass Academy Governing Board, along with the School Design Division, provides solid data through test scores and other academic assessments to drive decision making (9.e).
- The Compass Academy Governing Board and school understands and complies with reporting requirements of the state and charter authorizer (10.e).
- The Compass Academy Governing Board and lead administrator are very familiar with the school's financials; they hold themselves responsible for the financial stability and integrity of Compass Academy (10.g).


## Part II: Landscape Report

The Compass Academy Detailed Report Standards 1-10 document displays the rating and supporting evidence for each standard, indicator, and subindicator. The Landscape Report is a summary of the detailed report and provides the school with a "quick view" of ratings for each standard. The landscape report grounds the school in the strong foundations on which is can build as well as focused areas for improvement.

## Colorado's Standards \& Indicators for Continuous School Improvement: Compass Academy Landscape Report

Standard 1: Standards and Instructional Planning. The school implements a curriculum that is aligned to Colorado Academic Standards and ensures rigorous, effective instructional planning.
1.a. Standards-Based Focus.
1.b. Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum.
1.c. Instructional Planning

Standard 2: Best First Instruction. Instructional staff members provide aligned, integrated, and research-based instruction that engages students cognitively and ensures that students learn to mastery.
2.a. Standards-Based Instruction
2.b. Instructional Context.
2.c. Instructional Practices.
2.d. Meeting Individual Needs
2.e. Students as Learners.

Standard 3: Assessment Practices. The school uses multiple measures and assessment strategies to continuously inform instruction to meet student needs, measure student progress toward and mastery of grade-level expectations, and improve instruction.
3.a. Use of Assessment and Data.
3.b. Assessment for Learning
3.c. Assessment of Learning.

Standard 4: Tiered Support. The school implements a comprehensive system of tiered academic and behavioral support to enable students to master grade-level expectations.
4.a. System of Tiered Supports.
4.b. Multiple Learning Opportunities.
4.c. Family and Community Partnerships.

Standard 5: Leadership. School leadership ensures the school functions as a learning organization focused on shared responsibility for student success and a rigorous cycle of teaching and learning.
5.a. Expectations for Excellence.
5.b. Instructional Leadership.
5.c. School Efficiency and Effectiveness.
5.d. Capacity Building.
5.e. Knowledge and Skills.

Standard 6: Culture and Climate. The school functions as an effective learning community and supports a climate conducive to performance excellence for students and staff.

## 6.a. Academic Expectations.

## 6.b Inclusive Learning Environment.

6.c. Safe and Orderly Environment.

## 6.d. Trust and Respect.

Standard 7: Effective Educator. School leadership actively develops a high quality professional staff through professional learning, supervision, evaluation, and commitment to continuous improvement.
7.a. High Quality Staff.
7.b. Supervision and Evaluation.
7.c. Professional Learning.

Standard 8: Continuous Improvement. The school implements a mission-driven cycle of continuous improvement that optimizes learning and ensures organizational effectiveness.
8.a. School Mission and Goals.
8.b. Cycle of Continuous Improvement.
8.c. Improvement Planning

Standard 9 - Strong Board Governance. The school board demonstrates strong leadership through its procedures to promote the school's mission, strategic planning, current knowledge of legislative issues, policy development, commitment to professional development, provision of resources, oversight/support of administrator, ability to build effective committees, and establishing networked community relationships.
9.a. Vision/Mission and Governance Structure
9.b. Strategic Planning
9.c. Policies and Legal
9.d. Professional Development
9.e. Program Assessment and Renewal
9.f. Administrator Hiring and Evaluation
9.g. Meetings and Committees
9.h. Stakeholder Management

Standard 10-Sound Fiscal Management. The school board demonstrates strong fiscal management and school practices demonstrate current and future financial health.
10.a. Budget
10.b. Revenue and Program Costs
10.c. Stable Programming
10.d. Additional Revenue Resources

## 10.e. Report Requirements

10.f. Reserves
10.g. Board Responsibilities
10.h Policies
10.i. Board Operations

## Part III: Identified Focus Area(s) for Standards 1-8

## Focus Area 1: Standard 6 Culture and Climate

Culture and Climate: The school functions as an effective learning community and supports a climate conducive to performance excellence for students and staff.

Standard 6: Culture and Climate: The school functions as an effective learning community and supports a climate conducive to performance excellence for
students and staff.

| Indicators: | Level 4: Fully <br> Implemented | Level 3: Generally <br> Implemented | Level 2: Partially <br> Implemented | Level 1: Minimally <br> Implemented |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.a. Academic Expectations. School leadership and staff <br> demonstrate the belief that all students can learn at high <br> levels. |  |  | x |  |
| 6.b. Inclusive Learning Environment. Support for the <br> physical, cultural, and socio-economic needs of all students <br> reflects a commitment to equity and an appreciation of <br> diversity. |  | x |  |  |
| 6.c. Safe and Orderly Environment. The physical condition of <br> the school and a schoolwide understanding of behavioral <br> expectations ensure students and staff experience a safe, <br> orderly, and supportive environment. |  |  |  |  |
| 6.d. Trust and Respect. The school demonstrates an inclusive <br> culture of mutual trust, respect, and positive attitudes that <br> supports the personal growth of students and adults. |  | x |  |  |

## Positive Foundations on Which to Build:

## 6.a. Academic Expectations

- Leaders and teachers demonstrate an understanding of their school performance framework and the urgency needed to improve student outcomes on the state PARCC assessment.


## 6.b. Inclusive Learning Environment

- All stakeholders demonstrate a commitment to equity in that all students regardless of culture, ability, socioeconomic status or primary language are expected to master grade-level expectations.


## 6.c. Safe and Orderly Environment

- Compass Academy has established clearly defined behavioral expectations, a classroom discipline ladder, and a PBIS system that includes layered opportunities for positive behavioral reinforcement and supports.
- Compass Academy has allocated significant resources to students' emotional safety and support that includes a developed Wellness Team (school social worker, school psychologist, and school counselor).
- School leadership regularly uses environmental data to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of school culture and climate; adjustments include routines and procedures for classroom entrance/exit, lunch, and recess.


## 6.d. Trust and Respect

- A culture of collaboration is evidence throughout the school; teachers routinely work together and with leadership to problem solve and improve school culture.
- All stakeholders reported a deep respect and regard for each other and the students and community they serve.


## Key Area(s) for Improvement:

## 6.a. Academic Expectations

- While all stakeholders articulated a desire to create a culture of high-expectations, this culture was not consistently observed across classrooms and grade levels; in approximately $50 \%$ of classrooms, students routinely opted out of academic expectations.
6.b. Inclusive Learning Environment
- The school has not yet engaged in specific professional development on culturally responsive classroom management and instructional strategies.


## 6.c. Safe and Orderly Environment

- While there are structures in place to ensure a safe and orderly environment, behavioral expectations were reinforced in approximately $50 \%$ of classroom observations which led to significantly impacted learning environments. Teachers are not consistently using the discipline ladder and schoolwide PBIS system to ensure a conducive learning environment.
- While student safety has been a strong focus area and stakeholders reported significant improvements in school culture, students are not always physically and emotionally safe in classrooms and hallways.


## Detailed Findings

Compass Academy is a mission-driven organization with a deep commitment to serving the "whole child." The school's mission components include a set of Learner and Leader competencies that are designed to develop students' academic, affective, and $21^{\text {st }}$ first century skills. There are several structures embedded into school programming that support this mission including personalized Learner and Leader plans, Monday Circles, and a robust Wellness Team of mental health providers. Stakeholders consistently reported a current initiative to improve students' physical and emotional safety; this initiative has included the development of procedures for lunch and recess, a structure for classroom entrance/exit, a clear classroom-based
discipline ladder, and a well-developed schoolwide PBIS plan. Per the PBIS Plan, students can earn positive points for meeting behavioral expectations and displaying the Learner and Leader competencies in the Kickboard data system; students collect these positive points to earn trips to the school store, VIP lunches, and participation in "Monday Funday" activities. Stakeholders reported that these structures, many of which were developed when the Middle School Director on-boarded in October 2016, have resulted in a significant improvement in schoolwide safety and behavioral expectations. Specifically, incidents of physical altercations between students and the use of profanity have declined. However, ensuring high expectations across all classrooms remains an area for attention. Classroom observations ( $n=15$ ) revealed that the environment is conducive to learning in approximately $50 \%$ of classrooms. In classrooms where the environment was not conducive to learning, many students opted out of academic expectations, behaviors were highly disruptive and sometimes unsafe, and teachers were not observed effectively redirecting misbehaviors. Generally, teachers were not observed implementing the schoolwide discipline ladder or PBIS system. In addition to holding teachers accountable to using current structures with fidelity through ongoing instructional coaching, the CSSI team encourages school leadership to focus on the following strategies for improving the schoolwide culture and climate: creating procedures for hallway culture, developing parameters for the use of physical space in the classrooms, implementing a select set of management strategies from Doug Lemov's Teach Like a Champion (TLAC) ${ }^{1}$, leveraging teacher capacity, and focusing professional development on culturally responsive management and engagement strategies. The CSSI team believes that these focus areas will support the school's mission of creating a learner-centered community, and that ultimately a stronger school culture and climate will support the school it reaching its goals.

The CSSI team regularly observed loud, disruptive, and rowdy behaviors in the hallways during classroom transitions; these behaviors sometimes resulted in safety concerns. Hallway culture transfers to the classroom, therefore it is an important area to consider. School leaders should create specific criteria for hallway culture that norm how students should speak and behave during these unstructured times. These expectations should be posted throughout the hallways and time should be dedicated to teaching/practicing them with students. To reinforce these expectations, adults need to flood the hallways before and after school and during class transitions. School leadership can consider assigning adults to specific points throughout the hallways during these times. Environmental data can be collected by the adults on duty and used in the schoolwide PBIS system to provide positive reinforcements for improving hallway culture. In addition to considering hallway spaces, teachers are strongly encouraged to consider their use of space within the classroom. The classrooms at Compass Academy are very large, and students were routinely observed sitting off in the margins and in the very far back of the classrooms. Challenging behaviors typically occurred in these areas. Having students spread widely across a large space makes proximity and classroom management more difficult. Teachers should create seating charts or seating expectations that require students to sit in the center and towards the front of the room. This will provide a strong first step to monitoring student engagement and reinforcing behavior expectations more closely.

The CSSI team also recommends that leadership focus on selecting, developing and holding teachers accountable to a set of TLAC management strategies. The CSSI team is aware that some educators perceive these strategies as triggers for rigidity, and recommending practices that are not in

[^0]alignment with the school's vision and mission is not the intention. These strategies are meant to harness a classroom culture of engagement not mere compliance. Because classroom culture is highly correlated to student achievement, the team believes that implementing a few of these basic practices will serve the mission and student body. In fact, several of the teachers that successfully managed their classrooms and demanded cognitive engagement at Compass Academy were observed using these strategies, which may or may not be intentional. Additionally, most teachers were observed providing positive narration to students meeting expectations, which should remain a hallmark practice. The recommended TLAC strategies for implementation are: What to Do, Do Not Talk Over/Self-Interrupt, Firm Calm Finesse, and Art of the Consequence. The "What to Do" technique is a simple strategy that ensures that teachers give directions in a format that clearly defines what they want in concrete terms. The goal is to tell students exactly what they should be doing as opposed to telling them what not to do. Teachers were observed telling students to "Stop that," "You should not be talking to your friend right now," or sometimes asking them "Is that what you should be doing?" Telling students exactly what they should be doing presumes positive intent and instead of scolding students for not meeting expectations it gives them another opportunity to understand the expectations. "What to Do" directions should be accompanied with the "Do Not Talk Over/Self-Interrupt" technique. In this strategy, teachers are pushed to not talk over students who are off task while they are teaching. In Compass Academy classrooms with challenging behaviors, teachers were consistently observed talking over students and continuing with their instruction while students talked to each other or caused overt disruptions within the environment. If the teacher continues with the lesson while students are misbehaving, it sends the message that their engagement is not necessary and important. Students need to know that they are so well respected that the lesson will not continue without them. Teachers are encouraged to interrupt themselves and provide the positive narration/positive points and consequences that are necessary to bring all students' attention back to the lesson. This leads us to the "Firm Calm Finesse" technique which encourages teachers to find a balance between being strict and warm. During classroom observations, some teachers never used a strong or firm tone when providing students with expectations, while other teachers were observed raising their voices when frustrated. The "Firm Calm Finesse" technique is broken down into multiple strategies that provide teachers with concrete ways to strike the balance. These strategies will lead teachers to valuing purpose over power, providing ample positive narration, and using a strong and firm tone while keeping their poise when control is necessary for ensuring a safe and orderly classroom. Last, the "Art of the Consequence" provides teachers with essential tips for delivering consequences and deploying the discipline ladder when positive narration is not effectively redirecting challenging behaviors. These tips include being quick, incremental, consistent, and depersonalized. The TLAC book provides descriptions, scenarios, and videos of each of these techniques. Implementation will be most effective if teachers are provided opportunities to watch and practice the techniques consistently. Additionally, as previously mentioned, some teachers at Compass Academy are using effective classroom management strategies that result in the cognitive engagement of all students. Often, the same students and groups of students that were displaying significantly challenging behaviors with one teacher were on-task and engaged with another teacher. The CSSI team recommends that leadership leverage this teacher capacity by providing struggling teachers the opportunity to observe effective teachers, and giving effective teachers the opportunity to engage in observation/feedback rounds with struggling teachers.

Last, the CSSI team recommends that school leadership ground their school culture and climate improvement efforts in professional development on culturally relevant management and teaching strategies. A paramount component of culturally responsive teaching is holding relentlessly high expectations for students of color- behavioral and academic. Therefore, it is of the upmost importance that school leadership ensure that classrooms
are honoring students by requiring their engagement. Suggested resources are Franita Ware's hallmark article "The Warm Demander Pedagogy" ${ }^{2}$ and Laura Pinto's book From Discipline to Culturally Responsive Engagement: 45 Classroom Management Strategies. ${ }^{3}$ In addition to providing strategies for management, these resources will impress the need for teachers to provide opportunities for students to engage in discourse on culturally relevant topics. When there are opportunities for discourse and higher order thinking through culturally relevant topics, challenging behaviors are minimized. Observations and interviews revealed that several teachers are working to include culturally relevant topics of studies, however even in these examples, students were not engaged in lively discussions that provided them opportunities to share and build upon their experiences and identities. Also, when questions requiring higher order thinking were posed, only a few students had the opportunity to answer them and the discussion typically stayed between teacher and student as opposed to student and student. Instructional formats that promote this discourse include Socratic Seminar, Buzz Groups, and Debate Panels. ${ }^{4}$ School leadership is also encouraged to engage families and community members in building and reinforcing the culture and climate of the school. These stakeholders can be invited in to conduct walkthroughs and observations and provide critical feedback and input in the problem-solving process.

[^1]
## Focus Area 2: Standard 1 Standards and Instructional Planning

Standards and Instructional Planning: The school implements a curriculum that is aligned to Colorado Academic Standards and ensures rigorous, effective instructional planning.

Standard 1: Standards and Instructional Planning. The school implements a curriculum that is aligned to Colorado Academic Standards and ensures
rigorous, effective instructional planning.

| Indicators: Level 4: Fully <br> Implemented Level 3: Generally <br> Implemented Level 2: Partially <br> Implemented <br> 1.a. Standards-Based Focus. Teachers plan instruction based <br> on the district's curriculum aligned with Colorado Academic <br> Implemented    <br> Standards and grade-level expectations.    |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.b. Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum. Teachers <br> consistently plan instruction to ensure a guaranteed and <br> viable curriculum is provided. |  | X |  |
| 1.c. Instructional Planning. Instructional planning is <br> frequently collaborative and leads to instruction that is <br> coherent and focused on student learning. |  |  |  |

## Positive Foundations on Which to Build:

## 1.a. Standards-Based Focus

- Teachers are implementing instructional programs that are aligned to Common Core State Standards such as Agile Minds for math and Expeditionary Learning for English Language Arts.
- Teachers create standards-aligned unit plans that are monitored by school leadership.
- Standards-based grading focuses teachers', students', and families' attention on Colorado Academic Standards (CAS).


## 1.b. Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum

- School programming promotes $21^{\text {st }}$ century skills and use of information technology.
- School leadership ensures that teachers have sufficient time, materials, and instructional tools to teach.


## 1.c. Instructional Planning

- Teachers use common lesson plan formats that promote backwards design.
- School leadership spends a significant amount of time developing teachers in instructional planning through lesson planning clinics, ongoing coaching, and review/feedback loops.
- School leadership embeds time in the master calendar for teachers to engage in collaborative planning
- Lesson plan review revealed that many teachers are planning instructional activities that promote high-levels of rigor.
- The school has an embedded an ongoing data-dialogue structure through the Early Warning Indicators (EWI) practice.


## Key Area(s) for Improvement:

## 1.a. Standards Bases Focus

- Leaders and teachers do not yet share a common vision for the standards-based teaching and learning cycle.
- Teachers engaged in one training on standards-based grading at the beginning of the year; otherwise, professional development structures have not been used to analyze CAS.
- Teachers do not yet consistently use indicators of mastery such as evidence outcomes and graduation competencies to describe and norm the performance expected at each grade level.


## 1.b. Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum

- Teachers and leaders have not yet engaged in a gap analysis of procured instructional programs to identify gaps that may exist between the programs and CAS.


## 1.c. Instructional Planning

- While teachers do create unit plans, many reported implementing their standards-aligned instructional programs with fidelity as opposed to being engaged in an ongoing standards-based teaching and learning cycle.
- Teachers do not yet use standards-based curricular documents in their instructional planning such as curriculum maps, scope and sequences, and pacing guides that display how the depth and complexity of standards are taught throughout a school year.
- Teachers are not yet engaged in a routine practice of using classroom based assessments such as formative measures and daily mastery checks to drive their instructional planning. The EWI data dialogue is limited to annual state assessments and NWEA MAP benchmark tests.


## Detailed Findings

Compass Academy is committed to providing students a standards-based education as evidenced by leader and teacher interviews and their standardsbased grading practices. Leaders and most teachers understand that standards-based practices entail more than implementing a text book or instructional program that purports to be Common Core aligned. However, when asked to describe the standards-based teaching and learning cycle, stakeholders did not report common practices that encompass all components of a well-developed cycle. Most stakeholders reported on their unit and lesson planning only. There has been a considerable amount of professional development in these areas including lesson plan review/feedback loops, lesson planning clinics, and ongoing instructional coaching. Leader and teacher interviews revealed that teachers are focusing mostly on how they are going to teach content, with less emphasis on what they are going to teach, what student mastery looks like, and how they will reflect on student learning. The CSSI team encourages leadership to further develop all components of the standards-based teaching and learning cycle: 1) what students need to know/be able to do to achieve mastery, 2) how teachers will teach the content to ensure mastery, 3) reflection on student learning and 4)
revision of instructional practices to respond to students that have not yet achieved mastery. Ensuring a rigorous and ongoing standards-based teaching and learning cycle is the strongest lever for improving student growth and proficiency.

Teacher and leader interviews and document review revealed that determining what concepts and skills need to be taught and norming the mastery indicators for those concepts and skills is a current gap in the teaching and learning cycle at Compass Academy. First, teachers jump right into unit and lesson planning using their procured instructional programs (e.g. Agile Minds and Expeditionary Learning Education) to drive the content as opposed to using prerequisite curriculum guides such as curriculum maps, scope and sequences and pacing guides. These curriculum guides would organize CAS concepts and skills and ensure increasing depth and complexity within a school year and between grade-levels. Schools are not encouraged to trust their procured instructional programs to provide this strategic organization, they must create their own or use the work completed at the district level; it is this organization that Robert Marzano calls a "guaranteed and viable curriculum" (2003). ${ }^{5}$ These curriculum guides would organize concepts and skills with Depth of Knowledge (DoK) descriptors, provide general times frames that have embedded flexibility for revising and re-teaching, and provide teachers with example instructional strategies and common assessments. Compass Academy leadership should provide teachers with increased opportunities to analyze and unpack CAS, digging into evidence outcomes, $21^{\text {st }}$ century skills, and graduation competencies for each standard. This should translate into the creation and norming of named curriculum guides. Leaders are highly encouraged to lead the charge-providing teacher teams with templates, examples, and ongoing monitoring to ensure quality. Once CAS-based curriculum maps and scope and sequences are finalized, teachers can use existing instructional programs to implement the curriculum as opposed to viewing these programs as curriculum within themselves. Teacher teams can complete a gap analysis between their new curriculum guides and existing instructional programs in order identify additional and supplemental instructional resources that are needed to implement the guaranteed and viable curriculum.

A critical part of creating curriculum guides will be describing what mastery looks and sounds like for each concept and skill at each grade level. Some leaders and teachers reported this as an area of need and next step for the staff in their planning. The strongest evidence supporting that the school needs to focus on describing student mastery is the discrepancies between standards-based grading data and NWEA MAP data (i.e. grading often shows that students have mastered skills and concepts while NWEA MAP does not corroborate that). Indicators of mastery should consistently be identified by teachers and provided to students through rubrics, written exemplars, scoring guides, models, etc.; ultimately students should be able to describe what is expected of them to achieve mastery. Leader and teacher interviews and classroom observations revealed that the school has paid some attention to developing mastery indicators. Teachers are using PARCC released rubrics for writing. Also, some teachers provided students with not only learning targets but mastery statements such as "I know I will have met the learning goal when ..." However, these statements regularly lacked precision. For example, the statement for an ELA goal may have read "I know I met the target when I have used text evidence to support my claim," as opposed to a precise descriptor that reads "I know I met the target when I have selected 2 of the best pieces of evidence from the text to support my claim, and I provided at least 3 sentences for each piece of evidence that explain how they support/demonstrate my claim." The latter descriptor

[^2]should then be supported with a detailed rubric or scripted exemplar. This not only provides an end goal, but precisely defines that end goal upon which to backwards plan. Additionally, developing common CAS and curriculum aligned assessments and scripting out exemplar responses on these assessments can operationalize mastery and support backwards planning. When teachers plan with precise mastery indicators in mind, the overall rigor of the classroom is automatically ramped up in that teachers have set a bar that will drive their modeling and aggressive monitoring to get all students to reach that bar. This practice can easily be rolled into existing unit and lesson planning structures that exist (i.e. the second component of the teaching and learning cycle in which teachers focus on how they are going teach a concept or skill to mastery).

This brings us to the third and fourth part of the teaching and learning cycle: reflection and revision. Leader and teacher interviews revealed that teachers are not yet using formative assessments to reflect on student learning and drive instruction; the data dialogue has mostly focused on state assessments and NWEA MAP benchmarks that are implemented three times per year. The CSSI team recommends that school leadership provide teachers with assessment expectations that include weekly/daily formative assessments that track student mastery (e.g. exit tickets, tracked checks for understanding, mastery checks, etc.). Leadership can provide teachers with templates and examples for these assessments and require teachers to input and track results in the Kickboard data infrastructure. This data can then be used in the ongoing Early Warning Indicators (EWI) data dialogue to bring more relevant classroom based assessments into the practice; teachers can also use this data individually, in content teams, and with their instructional coaches to reflect on student learning and create re-teach action plans. Additionally, the student work that results from these formative assessments can be used in the 1:1 data dialogue with coaches. Instructional leaders can use the Uncommon Charter Schools/Relay Graduate of Education student work analysis protocol as a guide (template attached to report). In this protocol, the teacher brings their scripted student exemplar and their actual student work artifacts to the meeting. The first step in the protocol is to ensure the scripted student exemplar meets the rigor identified in the CAS. The teacher and coach both share their scripted student exemplar for the named concept/skill, and if they are not aligned, the next step is to identify the gaps between the teacher and coach exemplars. Once an exemplar is normed, the next steps are to sort student work into three piles (unsatisfactory, partially proficient, and proficient), reflect on instructional practices used to teach the concept/skill, and then design an action step for re-teaching to ensure that all students reach mastery. The goal of the coach is to enable teachers to internalize this practice of reflection and revision, thus closing the loop on the continuous improvement cycle.

The recommendations provided are meant to strengthen each component of the standards-based teaching and learning cycle at CA and are intended to be implemented over a 1-3-year period. School leadership has the knowledge and skills necessary to scope and sequence this work over an implementation period that they believe is most appropriate. Intentional professional development that includes modeling, practicing, and monitoring will be required at all levels of implementation. The CSSI team recommends a few resources for supporting this work. First, leaders should tether this work to the "Standards-Based Teaching and Learning Cycle" manual that was developed by the Colorado Coalition of Standards-Based Education. ${ }^{6}$ Also, the research and work of Robert Marzano would be highly useful in guiding and supporting this work. Their Critical Concepts Project provides schools with a focused set of critical concepts and skills for K-12 in ELA, Math, and Science that can be leveraged as parameters for creating curriculum maps

[^3]and scope and sequences. They can be downloaded for free. ${ }^{7}$ Additionally, Marzano Research provides a free bank of proficiency scales that can be downloaded and customized to support the creation of mastery indicators ${ }^{8}$ While it would be of cost to the school, school leadership can also procure training from the Marzano Research to support leadership in their development and implementation of a rigorous standards-based teaching and learning cycle. Ultimately, the goal is for Compass Academy to develop a curriculum that is independent of the instructional programs that they land on procuring and embedding; these programs are not curriculum within themselves but should be used to implement the school's guaranteed and viable curriculum.

[^4]
## Part IV: Identified Focus Area(s) for Standards 9-10

## Focus Area 1: Standard 9 Strong Board Governance

Strong Board Governance: The school board demonstrates strong leadership through its procedures to promote the school's mission, strategic planning, current knowledge of legislative issues, policy development, commitment to professional development, provisions of resources, oversight/support of administrator, ability to build effective committees, and establish networked community relationships.

| Indicators: | Level 4: Fully Implemented | Level 3: Generally Implemented | Level 2: Partially Implemented | Level 1: Minimally Implemented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.a The Governing Board promotes the vision and mission of the school through a strong governance structure. |  | X |  |  |
| 9.b The Governing Board promotes the vision of the school through strategic planning. |  | x |  |  |
| 9.c The Governing Board policies adhere to legal obligations as a public entity. |  | X |  |  |
| 9.d The Governing Board engages in quality, needs-based professional development. |  |  | x |  |
| 9.e The Governing Board provides guidance in program assessment and renewal processes. |  | x |  |  |
| 9.f The Governing Board has a clear plan for hiring, retaining, supporting, and evaluating the lead administrator. |  |  | x |  |
| 9.g The Governing Board demonstrates effective use of meetings and committees. |  | x |  |  |
| 9.h The Governing Board supports the mission/vision of the school by securing strong relationships with internal and external stakeholders. |  |  | X |  |

## Focus Area 1: Standard 10 Sound Fiscal Management

Sound Fiscal Management: The school board demonstrates strong fiscal management and school practices demonstrate current and future financial health.

| Indicators: | Level 4: Fully Implemented | Level 3: Generally Implemented | Level 2: Partially Implemented | Level 1: Minimally Implemented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.a Governing Board and administration gather input to develop short-term and long-term budgets and make effective use of sound budgeting practices. |  | X |  |  |
| 10.b Leadership uses realistic revenue and program costs in the budget. |  | X |  |  |
| 10.c The school has enough revenue to ensure stable programming. |  |  | X |  |
| 10.d The school has developed other resources to achieve additional strategic priorities and can increase revenue if a shortfall occurs. |  | X |  |  |
| 10.e The school understands and complies with reporting requirements of the state and charter authorizer. | X |  |  |  |
| 10.f The school has access to reserves or can raise cash if a budget shortfall occurs or to finance growth. |  |  | X |  |
| 10.g The Governing Board and administrators hold themselves responsible for the school's financial stability and integrity. | X |  |  |  |
| 10.h The Governing Board has adopted policies that ensure financial health and a strong system for the timely, accurate tracking and recording of all financial data and transactions. |  | X |  |  |
| 10.i The school has established and maintains procedures for accurate and appropriate business operations. |  | X |  |  |

## Positive Foundations on Which to Build:

## 9.a The Governing Board promotes the vision and mission of the school through a strong governance structure.

- Apart from one current board member, all directors are founding board members and are very committed to seeing Compass Academy successfully achieve its mission and vision.
- The board sees the importance of a holistic approach to student learning, focused on both social-emotional learning as well as individualized attention from teachers and City Year Corps Members. Members are consistent with articulating how this approach is implemented through the school and its programs.
9.b The Governing Board promotes the vision of the school through strategic planning.
- The Board reviews the key priorities laid out in the Strategic Action Plan on a regular basis and continually examines how this plan aligns with the vision of the school and where adjustments need to be made.


## 9.c The Governing Board policies adhere to legal obligations as a public entity.

- All policies align with federal and state laws and district policies.
- Board policies reflect expectations for professionalism, requiring board members to lead with integrity.
- There is a conflict of interest policy in place, which all board members are required to sign.


## 9.e The Governing Board provides guidance in program assessment and renewal processes.

- Because of the strong partnership with Johns Hopkins University and City Year, the School Design Division can inform the board of decisions based on evidence it receives through student assessments.
- The board takes feedback it receives from its authorizer (through annual review and meetings with thought partners) very seriously and knows where it is not meeting expectations and where improvements are needed.


## 10.e The school understands and complies with reporting requirements of the state and charter authorizer.

- There was a clean audit the first year.
- The school is in full compliance with the Financial Transparency Act.


## 10.g The Governing Board and administrators hold themselves responsible for the school's financial stability and integrity.

- Board members understand the school's current and future financial status.
- The board treasurer, while new, has a strong financial background; evidence demonstrates that she and other board members pay very close attention to the school's finances.
- Lead administrator and board have great relationship with $3^{\text {rd }}$ party consultants ( $G \& G$ ) and there is a mutual respect between the entities involved in ensuring strong financial health of the school.


## 10.i The school has established and maintains procedures for accurate and appropriate business operations.

- Accounting is handled appropriately; lead administrator and operations manager work closely with $3^{\text {rd }}$ party consultants to ensure smooth and timely business operations.
- School has a written plan and/or checklist that is used to monitor and maintain HR best practices that includes CBI background checks, reference checks, I-9, separate health record files, new hire reporting and current at-will contracts.


## Key Area(s) for Improvement:

## 9.d The Governing Board engages in quality, needs-based professional development.

- It is very clear that the founding board members remain committed to Compass Academy and its long-term success. While board members do not need professional development as it relates specifically to their roles and responsibilities, the review team did recognize that members have different comfort levels when it comes to having a deep understanding of the charter, the key priorities of the school and expectations and goals for each committee.
- If the board intends to become a truly effective governing board, it is recommended that the board continues to engage in professional development, especially as new board members join, bringing in resources from the CO League of Charter Schools and the local community.


## 9.f The Governing Board has a clear plan for hiring, retaining, supporting, and evaluating the lead administrator.

- There is no succession plan currently in place for the lead administrator. A formal succession plan, along with a formal hiring process should be undertaken by the board and lead administrator as soon as possible.
- Necessary improvements have been made from the previously used school leader evaluation to the one that is planned for this year. With a variety of partners involved in the makeup of the board, SDD, leadership team and staff at Compass Academy, it is critical to receive input from all stakeholders.


## 9.h The Governing Board supports the mission/vision of the school by securing strong relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

- There is much feedback from partners at JHU and City Year that supports the mission/vision of the school. It is recommended that the Service Level Agreement (SLA) between parties is continually reviewed by an outside party on an annual basis to ensure relationships continue to be supportive and continue to avoid conflicts of interests.
- Broader parent and community engagement was something the review team heard about frequently from stakeholders who were interviewed. While there is, a high-level perspective coming from external partners (such as JHU and City Year), it is recommended that parents and community members/organizations from Southwest Denver are engaged to offer more of a local voice and perspective to the school. This could be beneficial in many forms: from helping to develop the school's UIP and key priorities based on the school's student body, to financially supporting the school, and by offering needed resources to the students.


## 10.c The school has enough revenue to ensure stable programming.

- While Compass Academy's PPR is above average due to its at-risk student demographic, PPR alone is not adequate to cover all expenses, especially as the school continues to grow.
- The school has struggled to engage the larger Southwest Denver community for support (financial and otherwise); a solid community engagement strategy could lead to increased revenue for the school.
- While a Development Fund committee technically falls under the Finance Committee at Compass Academy, no formal goals have been set for this committee. The school has done a fair job of garnering some foundation funds. It is recommended that a strong financial strategy and fund committee be developed to help lead this effort forward.


## 10.f The school has access to reserves or can raise cash if a budget shortfall occurs or to finance growth.

- Compass Academy does have the adequate Tabor reserve required by law. However, evidence showed that the school only has 50 days of cash on hand, where it should have at least two months or more to cover operating expenses.


## Detailed Findings

Almost all founding board members of Compass Academy remain a part of the board and are very committed to supporting the school's mission and vision. There is one board member who recently stepped off the board and a new member who came on within the last month. The board is actively recruiting one other board member now and still has some room to grow and diversify. More than half of the members have strong education backgrounds; there is some expertise, but it is more limited in the areas of finance, legal and community perspectives. The board realizes they need to bring in more of a community voice and are looking at different ways to do this, through recruiting additional directors, engaging parents differently, and leveraging resources that exist in the local community.

The partnership that the founding board members designed is unique and unlike any other charter school in Denver Public Schools. The "brainchild" of City Year Inc. and the Center for Social Organization of Schools at Johns Hopkins University, Compass Academy takes a holistic approach to educating students by focusing both on academics as well as social-emotional learning. A School Design Division (SDD) was put into place to develop a school design which is continually evolving as it assesses student growth and learning and looks at data to support the decisions it makes. The SDD worked with the executive committee of the board to develop the key priorities in the strategic action plan. The School Performance Framework indicates that Compass Academy has not met expectations in several areas. The board and leadership team treat the Action Plan as a living and breathing document through which they are continuously trying to address deficiencies and make necessary improvements.

After start-up funding allowed Compass Academy to hire a Director of Operations and Principal, it was soon realized that the board wanted to take a different direction and shifted to a model where an Executive Director and Chief Academic Officer were hired. Recently, a director of Middle School
was brought on, which stakeholders who were interviewed expressed great support for, indicating she has put structures into place that have greatly improved overall student behaviors as well as some basic operations of the school. Some board, staff and Corps members who were interviewed indicated that because there are many moving parts ("a lot of cooks in the kitchen") with the structure of the leadership team, City Year Corps Members, staff and directors on the board, it would be helpful to know exactly what everyone is responsible for and what their role is. Professional development at the board level could be increased not only to fill in some of these missing pieces and give board members a greater sense of how school design is truly being implemented, it could also help all board members to gain a renewed understanding of the charter, the key priorities of the school and expectations and goals of each committee.

There is a clear understanding by the board of what their primary responsibilities are, and they take these very seriously. They know they are not responsible for the day-to-day operations of the school, but rather concentrate on data-driven decision making to support the school's vision and their fiduciary responsibility. Evidence shows the board adheres to its legal obligations as a public entity. Between very strong board treasurers (past and present), a lead administrator who truly understands the moving parts to the school's budget and finances and a strong partnership with G \& G Consulting Group, the board's understanding of the school's financials is very strong. While enrollment goals were not met this past year, numbers are looking good for the coming year with 104 students projected and a wait list of close to 30 students. These numbers, for $6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$ and soon to be $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, will bring in adequate PPR to align with forecasted budget projections. PPR at Compass Academy is at an increased level (which brings it to above the national average) due to the Mill Levy dollars the school receives for its at-risk population. To conclude, the review team believes the board demonstrates sound fiscal management.

As far as next steps for the Governing Board at Compass Academy, members of the board made it clear that they want to focus on getting the academic and social-emotional supports in place for the $6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$ and next year's $8^{\text {th }}$ graders to succeed before making any solid plans to expand the school to include grades 9-12. The board is very supportive of the work the lead administrator and leadership team is doing to "get it right" before taking on anything else. Interviews indicated there does appear to be a strong relationship between the ED and the board. However, the review team recommends the board review the ED's job description as well as continue to have an outside party review the SLA and Scope of Work that are in place with City Year and Johns Hopkins University on an annual basis, to ensure integrity of the partnerships. The board indicated they would like to get a better sense of how they can best support the lead administrator and her team. While City Year board members indicated there is a desire to always have a strong presence of Corps Members in the school, they feel that some of the operations that the School Design Division currently takes on (hiring, fundraising, staffing/supporting board meetings, etc.) should ultimately get shifted to internal staff, as they see this as more of a responsibility of the ED and Operations manager

## Part V: Conclusion

The CSSI team extends its assurances that the information obtained through the evaluation process will remain confidential. If your authorizer requests a copy of the report, it will be provided. We also provide assurances that the team strived for objectivity in its findings. Most importantly, we commend Compass Academy for its willingness to undergo an evaluation and for its desire to grow and become a great school. Once the school has reviewed and discussed this report in detail, as questions arise, please feel free to contact Brian Martin at the CDE Schools of Choice Unit:
Martin_B@cde.state.co.us.

## Resources that may help as you continue your conversations:

- The Colorado Department of Education's Webpage has various resources:
- Schools of Choice Unit: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdechart
- CDE MTSS Information: http://www.cde.state.co.us/mtss
- Educator Effectiveness: http://www.cde.state.co.us/educatoreffectiveness
- Standards and Instruction: http://www.cde.state.co.us/standardsandinstruction
- Special Education: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped
- The League of Charter Schools: http://coloradoleague.org/


## COMPASS ACADEMY GENERAL FUND SUMMARY Quarter Ended March 31, 2017

Beginning Assigned Fund Balance Beginning TABOR Fund Balance Beginning Unrestricted Fund Balance FY17 Total Beginning Fund Balance

Income
Expenses
Net Income

Ending Assigned Fund Balance
Ending TABOR Fund Balance
Ending Unassigned Fund Balance
FY17 Ending Fund Balance

|  |  | Percentage <br> Actual to <br> Budget | Percentage <br> Projected Year- <br> End | Projected to <br> Budget |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{3 / 3 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | FY 16 Budgeted | - | $0.00 \%$ | $10,151.00$ |
| 10,151 | $46,445.00$ | $103.35 \%$ | $48,000.00$ | $100 \%$ |
| 48,000 | $41,799.00$ | $380.49 \%$ | $159,040.00$ | $100 \%$ |
| 159,040 | $88,244.00$ | $246.13 \%$ | $217,191.00$ | $100 \%$ |
| $217,191.00$ |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |


| $1,858,649.71$ | $2,722,472.00$ | $68.27 \%$ | $2,722,472.00$ | $100 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,736,476.59$ | $2,707,453.00$ | $64.14 \%$ | $2,707,453.00$ | $100 \%$ |
| $122,173.12$ | $15,019.00$ | $813.46 \%$ | $15,019.00$ | $100 \%$ |


| $10,151.00$ | - | $0.00 \%$ | $10,151.00$ | $100 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $48,000.00$ | $67,895.00$ | $70.70 \%$ | $48,000.00$ | $100 \%$ |
| $281,213.12$ | $35,368.00$ | $795.11 \%$ | $281,213.12$ | $100 \%$ |
| $339,364.12$ | $103,263.00$ | $328.64 \%$ | $329,213.12$ | $103 \%$ |

# Balance Sheet Prev Year Comparison 

ASSETS
Current Assets
Checking/Savings

> 8101a • Petty Cash
> 8101 • First Bank

Total Checking/Savings
Accounts Receivable
8153 • Accounts Receivable
8142 - Grants Receivable
Total Accounts Receivable
Other Current Assets
8181 - Prepaid Expense
Total Other Current Assets
Total Current Assets
Other Assets
8105 TABOR Reserve Held by DPS
Total Other Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
Liabilities
Current Liabilities
Accounts Payable
7421 • Accounts Payable
Total Accounts Payable
Other Current Liabilities
2110 - Direct Deposit Liabilities
7461 • YE Payroll Liabilities
7471 • Payroll Liabilities
al Other Current Liabilities

Total Other Current Liabilities
Total Current Liabilities
Total Liabilities
Equity
6710 - Non-Spendable Fund Balance
6721 - TABOR 3\% Emergency Reserve
6770 - Unassigned Fund Balance
Net Income
Total Equity
TOTAL LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
Mar 31, $17 \quad$ Mar 31, $16 \quad$ \$ Change $\quad$ \% Change


| 393.26 | 5,940.36 | -5,547.10 | -93.38\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 393.26 | 5,940.36 | -5,547.10 | -93.38\% |
| -1,768.19 | 0.00 | -1,768.19 | -100.0\% |
| 24,697.47 | 0.00 | 24,697.47 | 100.0\% |
| -1,682.54 | 2,981.58 | -4,664.12 | -156.43\% |
| 21,246.74 | 2,981.58 | 18,265.16 | 612.6\% |
| 21,640.00 | 8,921.94 | 12,718.06 | 142.55\% |
| 21,640.00 | 8,921.94 | 12,718.06 | 142.55\% |
| 10,151.00 | 0.00 | 10,151.00 | 100.0\% |
| 48,000.00 | 0.00 | 48,000.00 | 100.0\% |
| 159,039.82 | 92,714.81 | 66,325.01 | 71.54\% |
| 122,173.12 | 280,210.38 | -158,037.26 | -56.4\% |
| 339,363.94 | 372,925.19 | -33,561.25 | -9.0\% |
| 361,003.94 | 381,847.13 | -20,843.19 | -5.46\% |


|  | FY16 |  |  |  | FY17 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jul '15-Mar 16 | Budget | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget | Jul '16-Mar 17 | Budget | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 Local Revenue Source | 477,402.11 | 567,554.00 | -90,151.89 | 84.12\% | 329,495.56 | 573,743.00 | -244,247.44 | 57.43\% |
| 3000 State Revenue | 11,658.26 | 12,871.00 | -1,212.74 | 90.58\% | 64,467.97 | 79,171.00 | -14,703.03 | 81.43\% |
| 4000 . Federal Revenue | 105,569.07 | 290,782.00 | -185,212.93 | 36.31\% | 169,871.29 | 337,333.00 | -167,461.71 | 50.36\% |
| 5700 - PPR | 973,985.75 | 967,744.00 | 6,241.75 | 100.65\% | 1,294,814.89 | 1,732,225.00 | -437,410.11 | 74.75\% |
| Total Income | 1,568,615.19 | 1,838,951.00 | -270,335.81 | 85.3\% | 1,858,649.71 | 2,722,472.00 | -863,822.29 | 68.27\% |
| Gross Profit | 1,568,615.19 | 1,838,951.00 | -270,335.81 | 85.3\% | 1,858,649.71 | 2,722,472.00 | -863,822.29 | 68.27\% |
| Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0100 - Salaries | 545,796.79 | 707,000.00 | -161,203.21 | 77.2\% | 865,547.67 | 1,292,604.00 | -427,056.33 | 66.96\% |
| 0200 - Employee Benefits | 87,138.86 | 167,856.00 | -80,717.14 | 51.91\% | 171,054.63 | 273,521.00 | -102,466.37 | 62.54\% |
| 0300 - Purchased Profess and Tech Serv | 83,551.24 | 118,404.00 | -34,852.76 | 70.57\% | 177,724.27 | 365,050.00 | -187,325.73 | 48.69\% |
| 0400 - Purchased Prop. Services | 3,054.41 | 103,395.00 | -100,340.59 | 2.95\% | 9,201.78 | 11,200.00 | -1,998.22 | 82.16\% |
| 0430 - Repairs and Maint | 944.80 | 0.00 | 944.80 | 100.0\% | 1,582.41 | 0.00 | 1,582.41 | 100.0\% |
| 0500 - Other Purchased Services | 14,061.55 | 33,243.00 | -19,181.45 | 42.3\% | 39,725.82 | 60,613.00 | -20,887.18 | 65.54\% |
| 0511 - To \& From School Transportation | 0.00 | 9,928.00 | -9,928.00 | 0.0\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 0513 . Contracted Field Trips | 1,109.00 | 8,400.00 | -7,291.00 | 13.2\% | 2,817.33 | 15,000.00 | -12,182.67 | 18.78\% |
| 0520 - Insurance Premiums | 14,416.69 | 19,436.00 | -5,019.31 | 74.18\% | 22,598.38 | 26,984.00 | -4,385.62 | 83.75\% |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | 22,617.03 | 26,699.00 | -4,081.97 | 84.71\% | 16,958.29 | 28,000.00 | -11,041.71 | 60.57\% |
| 0594 - District Purchased Services | 187,851.36 | 84,238.00 | 103,613.36 | 223.0\% | 231,435.76 | 320,518.00 | -89,082.24 | 72.21\% |
| 0595 - Denver Overhead Costs | 32,909.51 | 33,871.00 | -961.49 | 97.16\% | 41,871.79 | 78,416.00 | -36,544.21 | 53.4\% |
| 0596 . Charter Food Authority | 0.00 | 472.00 | -472.00 | 0.0\% | 0.00 | 472.00 | -472.00 | 0.0\% |
| 0600 Supplies | 79,225.43 | 120,578.00 | -41,352.57 | 65.71\% | 100,989.58 | 114,964.00 | -13,974.42 | 87.85\% |
| 0640 - Books and Materials | 13,573.51 | 20,000.00 | -6,426.49 | 67.87\% | 17,790.83 | 26,028.00 | -8,237.17 | 68.35\% |
| 0700 - Property | 101,504.86 | 165,054.00 | -63,549.14 | 61.5\% | 32,945.30 | 91,322.00 | $-58,376.70$ | 36.08\% |
| 0721 - Leasehold Improvements | 0.00 | 3,000.00 | -3,000.00 | 0.0\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 0800 - Other Objects | 1,683.74 | 30,031.00 | -28,347.26 | 5.61\% | 349.35 | 661.00 | -311.65 | 52.85\% |
| 0810 - Dues and Fees | 1,333.62 | 879.00 | 454.62 | 151.72\% | 3,883.40 | 2,100.00 | 1,783.40 | 184.92\% |
| 0830 Interest | 2,409.71 | 3,000.00 | -590.29 | 80.32\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| 0910 - Redemption of Principal | 95,222.70 | 95,223.00 | -0.30 | 100.0\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total Expense | 1,288,404.81 | 1,750,707.00 | -462,302.19 | 73.59\% | 1,736,476.59 | 2,707,453.00 | -970,976.41 | 64.14\% |
| Net Income | 280,210.38 | 88,244.00 | 191,966.38 | 317.54\% | 122,173.12 | 15,019.00 | 107,154.12 | 813.46\% |

Compass Academy
7421 - Accounts Payable
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill
Bill
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt
Bill Pmeck -Check
Bill
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill Pmt-Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill
Deposit
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill Pmt -Check
Bill
Bill
Bill
Bill

Total 7421 • Accounts Payable total

Accounts Payable Check Register

| Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 25,885.77 |
| 2,200.00 | 28,085.77 |
| 175.00 | 28,260.77 |
| 5,172.50 | 33,433.27 |
| 1,000.00 | 34,433.27 |
| 98.00 | 34,531.27 |
| -175.00 | 34,356.27 |
| -22,500.00 | 11,856.27 |
| -276.25 | 11,580.02 |
| -5,172.50 | 6,407.52 |
| -2,200.00 | 4,207.52 |
| -894.00 | 3,313.52 |
| -90.95 | 3,222.57 |
| -2,667.94 | 554.63 |
| 291.00 | 845.63 |
| 1,506.70 | 2,352.33 |
| -145.20 | 2,207.13 |
| 90.95 | 2,298.08 |
| 15.50 | 2,313.58 |
| 3,400.00 | 5,713.58 |
| 2,500.00 | 8,213.58 |
| 2,000.00 | 10,213.58 |
| 2,300.00 | 12,513.58 |
| -2,300.00 | 10,213.58 |
| -3,400.00 | 6,813.58 |
| -2,500.00 | 4,313.58 |
| -2,000.00 | 2,313.58 |
| 2,000.00 | 4,313.58 |
| -2,000.00 | 2,313.58 |
| 2,150.00 | 4,463.58 |
| -2,150.00 | 2,313.58 |
| -291.00 | 2,022.58 |
| -1,000.00 | 1,022.58 |
| -1,506.70 | -484.12 |
| -90.95 | -575.07 |
| 633.56 | 58.49 |
| 688.57 | 747.06 |
| 0.00 | 747.06 |
| -2,200.00 | -1,452.94 |
| 100.75 | -1,352.19 |
| 75.45 | -1,276.74 |
| 1,595.00 | 318.26 |
| 75.00 | 393.26 |
| -25,492.51 | 393.26 |
| -25,492.51 | 393.26 |


| Type | Date | Num | Name | Memo | Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8101 - First Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  | 394,895.69 |
| Check | 03/01/2017 | DBT | Eon |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -14.55 | 394,881.14 |
| Check | 03/01/2017 | DBT | Eon |  | 0610. General Supplies | -27.13 | 394,854.01 |
| Check | 03/01/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -20.55 | 394,833.46 |
| Check | 03/01/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -5.99 | 394,827.47 |
| Check | 03/01/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -9.40 | 394,818.07 |
| Check | 03/02/2017 | 1673 | Kathryn Reynolds |  | 0610 General Supplies | -30.00 | 394,788.07 |
| Liability Check | 03/02/2017 | 1674 | Unum Life Insurance Company | 0632604-001 2 | -SPLIT- | -29.70 | 394,758.37 |
| Check | 03/02/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0640 - Books and Materials | -122.10 | 394,636.27 |
| Liability Check | 03/02/2017 | 1675 | Kaiser Permanente | 36551, 36551-01-16 | -SPLIT- | -10,243.05 | 384,393.22 |
| Liability Check | 03/02/2017 | 1676 | HealthSmart Benefit Solutions, Inc | 208838 | -SPLIT- | -214.67 | 384,178.55 |
| Check | 03/02/2017 | 1677 | Moralez, Rena R. | 10010 | 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -150.00 | 384,028.55 |
| Check | 03/02/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0640 - Books and Materials | -489.40 | 383,539.15 |
| Check | 03/02/2017 | DBT | Barnes \& Noble |  | -SPLIT- | -82.22 | 383,456.93 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/02/2017 | 1678 | Charter Substitute Teacher Network |  | 7421 - Accounts Payable | -175.00 | 383,281.93 |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 03/02/2017 | 1679 | City Year, Inc |  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -22,500.00 | 360,781.93 |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 03/02/2017 | 1681 | Denver Public Schools |  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -276.25 | 360,505.68 |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 03/02/2017 | 1680 | G\&G Consulting Group |  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -5,172.50 | 355,333.18 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/02/2017 | 1683 | Grace Technology Systems |  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -2,200.00 | 353,133.18 |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 03/02/2017 | 1682 | Pinnacol Assurance |  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -894.00 | 352,239.18 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/02/2017 | 1684 | T-Mobile | Acct number 955238103 | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -90.95 | 352,148.23 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/02/2017 | 1685 | Wells Fargo Vendor Financial Services LLC | Acct Number 1579856-3538979 | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -2,667.94 | 349,480.29 |
| Check | 03/02/2017 | 1686 | Kathryn Reynolds |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -60.00 | 349,420.29 |
| Check | 03/03/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610. General Supplies | -20.97 | 349,399.32 |
| Check | 03/06/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -10.89 | 349,388.43 |
| Check | 03/06/2017 | DBT | Parking Lot |  | 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -12.00 | 349,376.43 |
| Check | 03/06/2017 | DBT | Barnes \& Noble |  | -SPLIT- | -82.32 | 349,294.11 |
| Check | 03/07/2017 | DBT | Eon |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -276.00 | 349,018.11 |
| Check | 03/07/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -97.90 | 348,920.21 |
| Check | 03/07/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0640 - Books and Materials | -44.52 | 348,875.69 |
| Check | 03/08/2017 | 1688 | Kathryn Reynolds |  | Office Supplies | -40.98 | 348,834.71 |
| Check | 03/08/2017 | 1687 | William Wallace |  | 0630 Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -87.44 | 348,747.27 |
| Liability Check | 03/08/2017 | ACH | Delta Dental of Colorado | 000141307 | -SPLIT- | -700.26 | 348,047.01 |
| Liability Check | 03/08/2017 | 1689 | City and County of Denver |  | Denver OPT Liability | -138.00 | 347,909.01 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/08/2017 | 1690 | Eldorado Artesian Springs, Inc |  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -145.20 | 347,763.81 |
| Check | 03/08/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0640 - Books and Materials | -50.20 | 347,713.61 |
| Deposit | 03/09/2017 |  |  | Deposit | -SPLIT- | 750.57 | 348,464.18 |
| Deposit | 03/09/2017 |  |  | Deposit | 0890 - Bad Debt | 4.64 | 348,468.82 |
| Check | 03/09/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610. General Supplies | -11.14 | 348,457.68 |
| Check | 03/09/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0610 General Supplies | -28.48 | 348,429.20 |
| Check | 03/10/2017 | DBT | TIG |  | 0340 - Technical Services | -175.00 | 348,254.20 |
| Check | 03/10/2017 | DBT | 1800 Flowers |  | -SPLIT- | -93.69 | 348,160.51 |
| Check | 03/10/2017 | DBT | Eon |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -266.41 | 347,894.10 |
| Check | 03/12/2017 | DBT | United |  | 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -4.99 | 347,889.11 |
| Check | 03/13/2017 | DBT | Home Depot |  | 0610. General Supplies | -80.40 | 347,808.71 |
| Liability Check | 03/14/2017 |  | QuickBooks Payroll Service | Created by Payroll Service on 03/13/2017 | -SPLIT- | -86,472.68 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |


| Type | Date | Num | Name | Memo | Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll ltem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll ltem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll lem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll lem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll ltem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll lem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll lem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll ltem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll ltem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll lem | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | VOID: Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item | Direct Deposit | -SPLIT- | 0.00 | 261,336.03 |
| Liability Check | 03/15/2017 | ACH | Internal Revenue Service | 47-1698243 | -SPLIT- | -14,981.74 | 246,354.29 |
| Liability Check | 03/15/2017 | ACH | Colorado Department of Revenue |  | Colorado State Withholding | -3,645.00 | 242,709.29 |
| Paycheck | 03/15/2017 | DD | Confidential Payroll Item |  | -SPLIT- | -2,373.13 | 240,336.16 |
| Check | 03/15/2017 | DBT | Sam's Club |  | 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -447.00 | 239,889.16 |
| Check | 03/15/2017 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | 0640 - Books and Materials | -44.22 | 239,844.94 |
| Check | 03/16/2017 | DBT | Teachers Pay Teachers |  | 0610 - General Supplies | -4.25 | 239,840.69 |
| Check | 03/16/2017 | DBT | Survey Monkey |  | 0534 . Online Services | -300.00 | 239,540.69 |
| Check | 03/16/2017 | 1698 | Kathryn Reynolds |  | 0540 - Advertising | -148.26 | 239,392.43 |
| Liability Check | 03/16/2017 | 1699 | HealthSmart Benefit Solutions, Inc | 208838 | -SPLIT- | -122.05 | 239,270.38 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1693 | Katheen Symons |  | 7421 - Accounts Payable | -2,300.00 | 236,970.38 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1696 | Ami Desai \& Associates, LLC |  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -3,400.00 | 233,570.38 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1695 | Sabrina Hodges |  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -2,500.00 | 231,070.38 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1692 | James Stephens |  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -2,000.00 | 229,070.38 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1694 | Mascoll Financial Consultory |  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -2,000.00 | 227,070.38 |
| Check | 03/16/2017 | 1691 |  | VOID: | 0334 - Consultant | 0.00 | 227,070.38 |
| Liability Check | 03/16/2017 | 1700 | Unum Life Insurance Company | 0632604-001 2 | -SPLIT- | -27.00 | 227,043.38 |
| Check | 03/16/2017 | 1697 | Garza Armstrong, Rudionna | 10006 | 2110 - Direct Deposit Liabilities | -4,121.84 | 222,921.54 |
| Liability Check | 03/16/2017 | ACH | Delta Dental of Colorado | 000141307 | -SPLIT- | -700.26 | 222,221.28 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1701 | Charter Substitute Teacher Network |  | 7421 Accounts Payable | -2,150.00 | 220,071.28 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1702 | Comeast | Account Number 8497303242780576 | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -291.00 | 219,780.28 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1703 | Denver Charter Athletic League |  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -1,000.00 | 218,780.28 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 03/16/2017 | 1704 | Hanover | Customer Number 1513303637-001-000 | 7421 Accounts Payable | -1,506.70 | 217,273.58 |

$\frac{\text { Type }}{\text { Bill Pmt -Check }}$

Liability Check
Deposit
Liability Check Check 03/17/2017 Check 03/17/2017 Check 03/17/2017 Check 03/17/2017 Check Check Check Deposit Deposit Check 03/22/2017 Check 03/22/2017 Check Bill Pmt -Check Bill Pmt -Check Check Check Check Check Check Check Check Check
Check Check Deposit Total 8101 • First Bank total

| Memo | Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acct number 955238103 | 7421 Accounts Payable | -90.95 | 217,182.63 |
| 488 | -SPLIT- | -14,627.33 | 202,555.30 |
| Deposit | 3113 - Capital Construction Fund | 2,113.09 | 204,668.39 |
|  | -SPLIT- | -11,195.09 | 193,473.30 |
|  | 0610 - General Supplies | -13.63 | 193,459.67 |
|  | 0640 - Books and Materials | -237.25 | 193,222.42 |
|  | 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -389.00 | 192,833.42 |
|  | 0640 - Books and Materials | -47.45 | 192,785.97 |
|  | 0810 - Dues and Fees | -150.00 | 192,635.97 |
| 10006 | 0610 - General Supplies | -27.59 | 192,608.38 |
|  | 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -72.40 | 192,535.98 |
| Deposit | 2110 - Direct Deposit Liabilities | 4,121.84 | 196,657.82 |
| Deposit | 2110 - Direct Deposit Liabilities | 604.14 | 197,261.96 |
| Deposit | -SPLIT- | 2,860.19 | 200,122.15 |
|  | 0610 - General Supplies | -699.98 | 199,422.17 |
|  | 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -17.27 | 199,404.90 |
|  | 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -6.25 | 199,398.65 |
|  | 0534 - Online Services | -2.07 | 199,396.58 |
| 36551, 36551-01-16 | -SPLIT- | -7,856.67 | 191,539.91 |
| QuickBooks generated zero amount transaction for | 7421 . Accounts Payable | 0.00 | 191,539.91 |
|  | 7421 . Accounts Payable | -2,200.00 | 189,339.91 |
| void: | 0610 - General Supplies | 0.00 | 189,339.91 |
|  | 0610 - General Supplies | -147.90 | 189,192.01 |
|  | Office Supplies | -115.38 | 189,076.63 |
|  | Office Supplies | -85.49 | 188,991.14 |
|  | 0610 - General Supplies | -201.56 | 188,789.58 |
|  | 0610. General Supplies | -125.50 | 188,664.08 |
|  | 0540 - Advertising | -125.00 | 188,539.08 |
|  | 0540 - Advertising | -90.00 | 188,449.08 |
|  | 0540 - Advertising | -149.00 | 188,300.08 |
| Service Charge | 0313a • Bank Fees | -4.00 | 188,296.08 |
| Interest | $1500 \cdot$ Interest Income | 2.42 | 188,298.50 |
|  |  | -206,597.19 | 188,298.50 |
|  |  | $\underline{\underline{-206,597.19}}$ | $\underline{ }$ |
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APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION

Be it resolved by the Board of Education of COMPASS ACADEMY
located in Denver Public School District in Denver County
that the amounts shown in the following schedule be appropriated to each fund as specified in the PROPOSED BUDGET for the ensuing fiscal year beginning July 1, 2017 and ending June 30, 2018

| FUND | APPROPRIATION |  | EXPENDITURES + APPROPRIATED RESERVES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. General Fund | 1 | 3,205,713 | 3,205,713 |
| 1a. Charter Schools | 1a. | - | - |
| 1b. Insurance Reserve Fund | 1b. | - | - |
| 1c. Pre-School Fund | 1c. | - | - |
| Special Revenue Funds: |  |  |  |
| 2. Capital Reserve Special Revenue Fund | 2 | - | - |
| 3. Governmental Designated-Purpose Grants Fund | 3 | - | - |
| 4. Pupil Activity Special Revenue Fund | 4 | - | - |
| 5. Full Day Kindergarten Mill Levy Override Fund | 5 | - | - |
| 6. Transportation Fund | 6 | - | - |
| 7. Other Special Revenue Funds | 7 | - | - |
| 7. Bond Redemption Fund Capital Projects Funds: |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9. Building Fund | 9 | - | - |
| 10. Special Building and Technology Fund | 10 | - | - |
| 11. Capital Reserve Capital Projects Fund | 11 | - | - |
| Enterprise Funds: |  |  |  |
| 12. Food Service Fund | 12 | - | - |
| 13. Other Enterprise Funds | 13 | - | - |
| Internal Service Funds: |  |  |  |
| 14. Risk-Related Activity Fund | 14 | - | - |
| 15. Other Internal Service Funds | 15 | - | - |
| Trust/Agency Funds: |  |  |  |
| 16. Fiduciary Fund | 16 | - | - |
| 17. Private Purpose Trust Funds | 17 | - | - |
| 18. Agency Fund | 18 | - | - |
| 19. Pupil Activity Agency Fund | 19 | - | - |
| 20. Foundations | 20 | - | - |
| 21. Component Units | 21 | - | - |
| TOTAL APPROPRIATION | 22 | 3,205,713 | 3,205,713 |

COMPASS ACADEMY
2017-2018 PROPOSED BUDGET with Prior Year Information


2017-2018 COMPASS ACADEMY SUMMARY BUDGET

| SCHOOL NAME COMPASS ACADEMY | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SCHOOL } \\ \text { LOCATION } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | Total Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budgeted Pupil Count | 320.0 |  |
| BEGINNING FUND BALANCE (Includes ALL Reserves) |  | 186,030 |
|  | Object/ Source |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |
| Local Sources | 1000-1999 | 533,912 |
| Intermediate Sources | 2000-2999 | - |
| State Sources | 3000-3999 | 151,058 |
| Federal Sources | 4000-4999 | 156,240 |
| TOTAL REVENUES |  | 841,210 |
| TOTAL BEGINNING FUNDBALANCE \& REVENUES |  |  |
|  |  | 1,027,240 |
| TOTAL ALLOCATIONS TO/FROM OTHER FUNDS | $\begin{array}{r} 5600,5700, \\ 5800 \end{array}$ | 2,589,923 |
| TRANSFERS TO/FROM OTHER FUNDS5200-5300 |  |  |
|  |  | - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5100,5400, \\ & 5500,5900, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Other Sources | 5990, 5991 | - |
| AVAILABLE BEGINNING FUND <br> BALANCE \& REVENUES (Plus or <br> Minus (if Revenue) Allocations and <br> Transfers) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 3,617,163 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |
| Instruction - Program 0010 to 2099 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | 1,235,585 |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | 287,201 |
|  | 0300,0400, |  |
| Purchased Services | 0500 | 142,640 |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | 91,900 |
| Property | 0700 | 52,000 |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | 11,000 |
| Total Instruction |  | 1,820,326 |
| Supporting Services |  |  |
| Students - Program 2100 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | 115,300 |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | 25,714 |
|  | 0300,0400, |  |
| Purchased Services | 0500 | 117,352 |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property | 0700 | - |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total Students |  | 258,366 |
| Instructional Staff - Program 2200 |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | - |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |
|  | 0300,0400, |  |
| Purchased Services | 0500 | 37,850 |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property Other | $\begin{array}{r} 0700 \\ 0800,0900 \end{array}$ | - |
| Total Instructional Staff |  | 37,850 |
| General Administration - Program 2300, including Program 2303 and 2304 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Salaries | 0100 | - |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | - |
|  | 0300,0400, |  |
| Purchased Services | 0500 | 12,000 |
| Supplies and Materials | 0600 | - |
| Property | 0700 | - |
| Other | 0800, 0900 | - |
| Total School Administration |  | 12,000 |
| School Administration - Program$2400$ |  |  |
|  |  | 268,728 |
| Employee Benefits | 0200 | 54,508 |

2017-2018 COMPASS ACADEMY SUMMARY BUDGET


2017-2018 COMPASS ACADEMY SUMMARY BUDGET


COMPASS ACADEMY
FY18 Budget Snapshot
Budget Composition

## BUDGET COMPOSITION BY OBJECT

- Salaries
- Purchased Property Services
- Property
- Employee Benefits
- Other Purchased Services
- Other Objects

- Purchased Professional and Technical Services
- Supplies
- Other Uses of Funds

BUDGET
COMPOSITION BY
PROGRAM TYPE


## BUDGET COMPOSITION OVER 3 YEARS (FY16-FY18)



Key Operating Metrics

| Key Operating Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY16 Actuals | FY17 Est'd YE Actuals | FY18 <br> Proposed Budget | FY19 <br> Forecast | FY20 <br> Forecast | FY21 <br> Forecast | FY22 <br> Forecast |
| 4.74\% | -0.77\% | 0.08\% | 20.63\% | 31.92\% | 38.95\% | 44.71\% |
| 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 0.07\% | 0.00\% | 2.08\% | 2.01\% | 1.95\% | 1.90\% | 1.85\% |
| NA | NA | 12.80 | 17.92 | 22.40 | 26.88 | 31.36 |
| -2.09\% | 4.17\% | 2.64\% | 27.48\% | 71.88\% | 130.81\% | 203.70\% |

## BUDGET COMPOSITION

by Object Code
0100 Salaries
0200 Employee Benefits
0300 Purchased Professional and Technical Services
0400 Purchased Property Services
0500 Other Purchased Services
0600 Supplies
0700 Property
0800 Other Objects
0900 Other Uses of Funds

## by Program Type

Instruction
Support
TOTAL

| FY16 Actuals |  | FY17 Est'd YE Actuals |  | FY18 Proposed Budget |  | FY19 Forecast |  | FY20 Forecast |  | FY21 Forecast |  | FY22 Forecast |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% | \$ | \% |
| 767,480 | 43\% | 1,292,604 | 52\% | 1,678,008 | 49\% | 1,728,348 | 51\% | 1,780,199 | 49\% | 1,833,605 | 48\% | 1,888,613 | 46\% |
| 126,811 | 7\% | 273,522 | 11\% | 380,405 | 11\% | 412,679 | 12\% | 434,839 | 12\% | 455,433 | 12\% | 476,538 | 12\% |
| 135,115 | 8\% | 130,050 | 5\% | 422,412 | 12\% | 115,989 | 3\% | 116,569 | 3\% | 117,152 | 3\% | 117,738 | 3\% |
| 5,591 | 0\% | 11,200 | 0\% | 11,000 | 0\% | 11,055 | 0\% | 11,110 | 0\% | 11,166 | 0\% | 11,222 | 0\% |
| 306,912 | 17\% | 540,003 | 22\% | 759,128 | 22\% | 927,199 | 27\% | 1,088,667 | 30\% | 1,251,727 | 33\% | 1,416,390 | 35\% |
| 181,220 | 10\% | 167,020 | 7\% | 99,940 | 3\% | 100,440 | 3\% | 100,942 | 3\% | 101,447 | 3\% | 101,954 | 2\% |
| 145,436 | 8\% | 91,322 | 4\% | 64,200 | 2\% | 64,521 | 2\% | 64,844 | 2\% | 65,168 | 2\% | 65,494 | 2\% |
| 5,898 | 0\% | 2,761 | 0\% | 13,374 | 0\% | 13,441 | 0\% | 13,508 | 0\% | 13,576 | 0\% | 13,643 | 0\% |
| 95,223 | 5\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| 1,083,305 | 61\% | 1,700,823 | 68\% | 2,127,326 | 62\% | 2,231,994 | 66\% | 2,325,680 | 64\% | 2,419,750 | 63\% | 2,515,769 | 61\% |
| 686,381 | 39\% | 1,042,659 | 42\% | 1,301,140 | 38\% | 1,464,283 | 43\% | 1,609,216 | 45\% | 1,755,362 | 46\% | 1,903,290 | 47\% |
| 1,769,686 |  | 2,508,482 |  | 3,428,466 |  | 3,373,672 |  | 3,610,678 |  | 3,849,272 |  | 4,091,591 |  |
| 5\% |  | -0.77\% |  | 0.08\% |  | 20.63\% |  | 31.92\% |  | 38.95\% |  | 44.71\% |  |
| 25.51\% |  | 8.87\% |  | 0.73\% |  | 3.65\% |  | 3.43\% |  | 3.24\% |  | 3.06\% |  |
| NA |  | NA |  | 12.80 |  | 17.92 |  | 22.40 |  | 26.88 |  | 31.36 |  |
| 2.42 |  | 6.97 |  | 10.32 |  | 14.45 |  | 18.06 |  | 21.68 |  | 25.29 |  |
| NA |  | 77.05\% |  | 48.15\% |  | 40.00\% |  | 25.00\% |  | 20.00\% |  | 16.67\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 48,635 |  | 50,094 |  | 51,597 |  | 53,145 |  | 54,740 |  |
| 16.52\% |  | 21.16\% |  | 22.67\% |  | 23.88\% |  | 24.43\% |  | 24.84\% |  | 25.23\% |  |
| 48.14\% |  | 57.53\% |  | 59.99\% |  | 45.97\% |  | 38.32\% |  | 33.47\% |  | 29.59\% |  |
| 61\% |  | 68\% |  | 62\% |  | 66\% |  | 64\% |  | 63\% |  | 61\% |  |
| 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |
| 0.07\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 2.08\% |  | 2.01\% |  | 1.95\% |  | 1.90\% |  | 1.85\% |  |
| -2\% |  | 4\% |  | 3\% |  | 27\% |  | 72\% |  | 131\% |  | 204\% |  |
| (0.25) |  | 0.50 |  | 0.32 |  | 3.30 |  | 8.63 |  | 15.70 |  | 24.44 |  |
| NA |  | 111.40\% |  | 1\% |  | 509\% |  | 161\% |  | 89\% |  | 63\% |  |
| 5\% |  | 7\% |  | 5\% |  | 25\% |  | 52\% |  | 83\% |  | 115\% |  |
| 19.03 |  | \#DIV/0! |  | \#DIV/0! |  | \#DIV/0! |  | \#DIV/0! |  | \#DIV/0! |  | \#DIV/0! |  |

## AUTHORIZER FRAMEWORKS

DPS Framework (income statement ratios only)

| \% Change in Enrollment from Prior Year | NA |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Private Contributions as \% of Total Expense |  | $77.05 \%$ |
| Total Occupancy as \% of Budget | $0.07 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Operating Margin | $4.74 \%$ | $-0.77 \%$ |
| Instructional Expense as \% of Total Expense | $61.21 \%$ | $67.80 \%$ |
| Months of Unassigned Fund Balance on Hand | $(0.25)$ | 0.50 |


| $48.15 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2.08 \%$ | $2.01 \%$ | $1.95 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ |
| $0.08 \%$ | $20.63 \%$ | $31.92 \%$ | $38.95 \%$ | $44.71 \%$ |
| $62.05 \%$ | $66.16 \%$ | $64.41 \%$ | $62.86 \%$ | $61.49 \%$ |
| 0.32 | 3.30 | 8.63 | 15.70 | 24.44 |



| PPR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPR | 7,998.66 | 8,019.56 | 8,019.56 | 8,093.51 |
| Change in PPR |  | 0.26\% | 0.26\% | 1.00\% |
| Local Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Tuition from Individuals | - | - | - | - |
| CCAP | - | - | - | - |
| DPP | - | - | - | - |
| Earnings on Investments | 31.00 | - | - | - |
| Food Service Revenue from Students | - | - | - | - |
| Student Activity and Other Fees | 9.20 | - | - | - |
| Fundraisers | - | - | - | - |
| Gifts and Contributions from Pupil Activities:Uniforms | 16,151.00 | 13,000.00 | 13,000.00 | 13,000.00 |
| Other Pupil Activities | - | 13,000.00 | 13,000.00 | - |
| Rentals and Leases | - | - | - | - |
| Contributions and Donations from Private Sources | 451,500.00 | 241,418.00 | 241,418.00 | 13,000.00 |
| Contributions and Donations from Private Sources | - | - | - | - |
| Contributions and Donations from Private Sources | - | - | - | 12,000.00 |
| Instructional Material Fees | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | 231.00 | - | - | - |
| District Growth Rate |  |  |  | 1.00\% |
| 1998 MLO Literacy | 127.69 | 126.00 | 126.00 | 125.19 |
| 1998 MLO Technology | 34.83 | 34.36 | 34.36 | 34.14 |
| 2003 MLO Academic Achievement | 29.02 | 28.64 | 28.64 | 28.45 |
| 2003 MLO HS Graduation | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 MLO Elementary Arts | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 MLO Textbooks | 40.63 | 40.09 | 40.09 | 39.83 |
| 2003 MLO Kindergarten | - | - | - | - |
| 2012 MLO Secondary Arts | 137.88 | 139.14 | 139.14 | 139.71 |
| 2012 MLO Enrichment and Student Support | 122.92 | 120.12 | 120.12 | 123.97 |
| 2012 MLO Tutoring - FRL | 274.06 | 235.19 | 235.19 | 232.83 |
| 2012 MLO Technology | 61.78 | 60.84 | 60.84 | 63.23 |
| 2012 MLO Textbooks | 61.78 | 60.84 | 60.84 | 63.23 |
| 2012 MLO Kindergarten | - | - | - | - |
| 2016 MLO Early Literacy | - | - | - | - |
| 2016 MLO College and Career Readiness | - | - | - | - |
| 2016 MLO Technology | - | - | - | 85.44 |
| 2016 MLO Great Teachers | - | - | - | 187.72 |
| 2016 MLO Whole Child | - | - | - | 194.18 |
| FY17-19 Tech Bond | - | - | - | 28,728.00 |
| MLO Equalization | - | 106.52 | 106.52 | 142.03 |
| State Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| Change in State Revenue |  |  |  | -1.00\% |
| Capital Construction | 129.25 | 105.50 | 105.50 | 137.99 |
| Supplemental At-Risk Aid | - | - | - | - |
| ELPA PD | - | 17,843.00 | 17,843.00 | 17,843.00 |
| ECEA - SPED | - | - | - | - |
| ELPA | - | 12,415.00 | 12,415.00 | 12,415.00 |
| ECARE/CPP | - | - | - | - |
| Gifted and Talented | - | - | - | - |
| State Transportation | - | - | - | - |
| Start Smart Nutrition | - | - | - | - |
| Child Nutrition | - | - | - | - |
| Expelled and At Risk Students | - | - | - | - |
| School Counselor Grant | - | 26,125.00 | 26,125.00 | 76,643.00 |

READ Act: Formula Distribution
State Grants to Libraries
Stipends for National Board Certified Educators
Additional At-Risk Aid
Federal Revenue
Change in Federal Revenue
Title I
IDEA Part B
IDEA Part B - Preschool
Title II
Title III
School Breakfast Program
National School Lunch Program

Federal Fresh Fruit \& Vegetable Program
Charter Credit
CCSP Start-Up
ied Educators

| - |
| :--- |
| - |
| - |
| - |

Transfers
Fund Transfers
Expense Assumptions
Salaries and Benefits

| Annual Salary Increase |  |  |  | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medicare |  | 1.45\% | 1.45\% | 1.45\% |
| PERA |  |  |  | 5.59\% |
| PCOPs |  |  |  | 9.60\% |
| Health Benefit Rate Increase |  |  |  | 5\% |
| Benefit Participation Rate |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Health |  |  | 3,264 | 3,264 |
| Dental |  | - | - | - |
| Vision |  | - | - | - |
| Other Benefits |  | - | - | - |
| Other Expense Assumptions |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expense Increase |  |  |  | 0.50\% |
| Purchased Professional and Technical Services |  |  |  |  |
| Banking Service Fees | 343.00 | 2,400.00 | 2,400.00 | 2,412 |
| Professional-Educational Services | 55,697.00 | - | - | - |
| Contracted Services | - | 192,000.00 | 192,000.00 | 262,000 |
| Substitutes | - | 18,000.00 | 18,000.00 | 15,000 |
| Whole Child Enrichment | - | 25,000.00 | 25,000.00 | 30,000 |
| Legal Services | - | 1,500.00 | 1,500.00 | 5,000 |
| Audit Services | 3,500.00 | 7,500.00 | 7,500.00 | 7,000 |
| Negotiations Services | - | - | - | - |
| Consultant Services - Support Services for Instructional Staff | 7,500.00 | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | - |
| Consultant Services - Support Services for Administration | - | - | - | - |
| Consultant Services - Business | - | 11,450.00 | 11,450.00 | - |
| Medical Services | - | - | - | - |
| Other Professional Services - SPED | - | - | - | - |
| Other Professional Services - Support Services for Students | - | - | - | - |
| Other Professional Services - Business | 38,688.00 | 57,500.00 | 57,500.00 | 65,000 |
| Technical Services - Central | 25,503.00 | 44,700.00 | 44,700.00 | 36,000 |
| Technical Services - Facilities Acq and Construction | - | - | - | - |
| Other Purchased Professional and Technical Services | 3,884.00 | - | - | - |
| Purchased Property Services |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Services | - | - | - | - |
| Water/Sewage | - | - | - | - |

Disposal Services
Snow Removal Services
Custodial Services
Lawn Care
Repairs and Maintenance Services - Operations and Maintenance
Repairs and Maintenance Services - Facilities Acq and Construction
Rentals
Rental of Land and Buildings
Copier Rental
Contractor Services
Other Purchased Services
Student Transportation
Contracted Field Trips
Liability Insurance
Unemployment Compensation Insurance
Workers' Compensation Insurance
District Multiple-Coverage Insurance
District Student Insurance
Phone
Postage Machine Rental
Postage
Online Services
Advertising
Student Recruitment
Staff Recruitment
Printing and Binding
Concurrent Enrollment
Travel, Registration, and Entrance
Staff Food
District Purchased Service: Nurse/Psych/Social Worker
Authorizer Admin Fee
Authorizer SpEd Fee
District Shuttle Fee
District Facility Use
SFA Purchased Services
Supplies
Instructional Supplies
Office Supplies
Custodial Supplies
Natural Gas
Electricity
Motor Vehicle Fuels
Food
Books and Periodicals
Electronic Media Materials
Other Supplies
Property
Land and Improvements
Buildings
New Construction
Equipment
Vehicles
Furniture and Fixtures - Instructional
Furniture and Fixtures - Non-Instructional

| $29,074.00$ | 375.00 | 375.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 720.00 | 720.00 |

22,400.00
20,000.00
1,500.00

| Technology Equipment - Instructional | 115,913.00 | 89,227.00 | 89,227.00 | 52,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology Equipment - Non-Instructional | - | 1,000.00 | 1,000.00 | 12,200.00 |
| Non-Capital Equipment - Instructional | 449.00 | - | - | - |
| Non-Capital Equipment - Non-Instructional | - | - | - | - |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Dues and Fees | 1,345.00 | 2,100.00 | 2,100.00 | 2,374.00 |
| Interest | 2,410.00 | - | - | - |
| Contingency | - | 211.00 | 211.00 | 10,000 |
| Indirect Costs | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | 2,143.00 | 450.00 | 450.00 | 1,000 |
| Other Uses of Funds | - | - | - | - |
| Redemption of Principal | 95,223.00 | - | - | - |


| fill in these cells as needed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formulas: DO NOT TOUCH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DO NOT SORT; ONLY FILTER | FY16 Actuals | FY17 <br> Adopted <br> Budget | FY17 Est'd <br> YE Actuals | General | Grants | Total |
|  |  |  |  | FY18 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | FY18 <br> Proposed Budget | Total FY18 <br> Proposed <br> Budget |
| BEGINNING FUND BALANCE | - | 207,042 | 207,042 | 186,030 | - | 186,030 |
| Tuition from Individuals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| CCAP |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| DPP |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Earnings on Investments | 31 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Food Services | - |  |  | - |  | - |
| Fees | 1,122 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Fund Raisers | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Gifts and Contributions from Pupil Activities: Uniforms | 16,151 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 |  | 13,000 |
| Other Pupil Activities Income | - | 13,000 | 13,000 | - |  | - |
| Rentals/Leases | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Contributions and Donations from Private Sources | 451,500 | 241,418 | 241,418 | 13,000 |  | 13,000 |
| School Design Division | - | - |  |  | - | - |
| Walton Anonymous | - | - |  |  | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Instructional Material Fees | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 2012 Mill Levy Secondary Arts | 16,821 | 30,054 | 30,054 |  | 44,707 | 44,707 |
| 2012 Mill Levy Other Enrichment \& Student Supports | 14,996 | 25,946 | 25,946 |  | 39,670 | 39,670 |
| 2012 Mill Levy Instructional Support | 33,435 | 50,800 | 50,800 |  | 74,507 | 74,507 |
| 2012 Mill Levy Technology | 7,537 | 13,141 | 13,141 |  | 20,234 | 20,234 |
| 2012 Mill Levy Curricular Materials | 7,537 | 13,141 | 13,141 |  | 20,234 | 20,234 |
| 2012 Mill Levy Extended Day Kindergarten |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 1998 Mill Levy Student Literacy | 15,578 | 27,216 | 27,216 |  | 40,061 | 40,061 |
| 1998 Mill Levy Technology | 4,249 | 7,422 | 7,422 |  | 10,925 | 10,925 |
| 2003 Mill Levy Improving HS Graduation Rates |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 2003 Mill Levy Improving Academic Achievement | 3,540 | 6,186 | 6,186 |  | 9,104 | 9,104 |
| 2003 Mill Levy Elementary Arts |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 2003 Mill Levy Textbooks | 4,957 | 8,659 | 8,659 |  | 12,746 | 12,746 |
| 2003 Mill Levy Kindergarten/ECE | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| 2016 Early Literacy | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| 2016 College and Career Readiness | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| 2016 Technology | - | 18,422 | 18,422 |  | 27,340 | 27,340 |
| 2016 Great Teachers |  | 40,469 | 40,469 |  | 60,071 | 60,071 |
| 2016 Whole Child | - | 41,858 | 41,858 |  | 62,138 | 62,138 |
| FY17-19 Tech Bond | - | - | - |  | 28,728 | 28,728 |
| MLO Equalization | - | 23,009 | 23,009 |  | 45,450 | 45,450 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | 231 |  |  | - |  | - |
| Capital Construction - Charter Schools | 15,768 | 22,788 | 22,788 |  | 44,157 | 44,157 |
| At Risk Supplemental Aid | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| ELPA-Professional Development and Student Support | - | 17,843 | 17,843 |  | 17,843 | 17,843 |
| State ECEA (Special Education) | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| State ELPA | - | 12,415 | 12,415 |  | 12,415 | 12,415 |
| E-Care | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| State Gifted and Talented | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| State Transportation | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Start Smart Nutrition | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Child Nutrition: School Lunch Protection Program: Free and Reduce | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Expelled and At Risk Students | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| School Counselor Grant | - | 26,125 | 26,125 |  | 76,643 | 76,643 |
| READ Act: Formula Distribution | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| State Grants to Libraries | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Stipends for National Board Certifies Educators | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Additional At-Risk Aid | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| No Child Left Behind, Title I, Part A: Improving Basic Programs Oper | 288,397 | 87,118 | 87,118 |  | 116,800 | 116,800 |
| Special Education: Grants to States IDEA Part B (formula driven) CF[ | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Part B (IDEA): Special Edı | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| No Child Left Behind: Title III Part A: English Language Acquisition: L | - | 8,418 | 8,418 |  | 8,418 | 8,418 |


| No Child Left Behind, Title II, Part A. Teacher and Principal Training : |  | 10,654 | 10,654 |  | 15,040 | 15,040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Breakfast Program CFDA\# 10.553 | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| National School Lunch Program CFDA\# 10.555 | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Federal Fresh Fruit \& Vegetable Program CFDA\# 10.582 | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| Charter Credit | - | 16,143 | 16,143 |  | 15,982 | 15,982 |
| No Child Left Behind, Title V, Part B. Public Charter School Grant CF | - | 215,000 | 215,000 |  | - | - |
| Fund Transfers | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Per Pupil Funding | 975,836 | 1,732,225 | 1,732,225 | 2,589,923 |  | 2,589,923 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 1,857,686 | 2,722,470 | 2,722,470 | 2,615,923 | 815,210 | 3,431,133 |
| Salaries: Administrator | 230,653 | 277,360 | 277,360 | 221,308 |  | 221,308 |
| Salaries: Administrator |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Salaries: Administrator |  |  |  |  | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Salaries: Teachers | 426,926 | 923,524 | 923,524 | 680,032 |  | 680,032 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 17,843 | 17,843 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 7,157 | 7,157 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 71,682 | 71,682 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 8,418 | 8,418 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 15,040 | 15,040 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 38,000 | 38,000 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 39,760 | 39,760 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 74,507 | 74,507 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 40,061 | 40,061 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 9,104 | 9,104 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 60,071 | 60,071 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 32,138 | 32,138 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  |  | 15,982 | 15,982 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  | 106,090 |  | 106,090 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  | - | - | 65,000 |  | 65,000 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Salaries: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Salaries: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Salaries: Teachers |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Other Professionals |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Other Professionals | 75,800 | 56,600 | 56,600 | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 58,195 |  | 58,195 |
| Salaries: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Paraprofessionals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Clerical | 34,101 | 35,120 | 35,120 | 35,020 |  | 35,020 |
| Salaries: Clerical |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Custodial | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Salaries of Temporary Employees: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Administrator |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Administrator |  | - | - |  | 300 | 300 |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 19,500 | 19,500 |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 200 | 200 |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 300 | 300 |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |


| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Other Professionals |  | - | - |  | 100 | 100 |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Other Professionals |  | - | - |  | 100 | 100 |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Clerical |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Clerical |  | - | - |  | 100 | 100 |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Clerical |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Additional/Extra Duty Pay/Stipend: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Administrator | 3,344 | 4,022 | 4,022 | 3,387 |  | 3,387 |
| Medicare: Teachers | 5,909 | 11,757 | 11,757 | 16,375 |  | 16,375 |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - | 1,541 |  | 1,541 |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | 1,539 | 1,539 | 947 |  | 947 |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 725 | 725 |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Medicare: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Other Professionals | 1,230 | 821 | 821 | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 845 |  | 845 |
| Medicare: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 1 |  | 1 |
| Medicare: Paraprofessionals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Clerical | 439 | 509 | 509 | 509 |  | 509 |
| Medicare: Clerical |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Custodial | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Medicare: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Administrator | 6,790 | 12,052 | 12,052 | 13,059 |  | 13,059 |
| PERA: Administrator |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| PERA: Teachers | 12,040 | 35,270 | 35,270 | 59,810 |  | 59,810 |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 1,418 | 1,418 |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - | 5,942 |  | 5,942 |
| PERA: Teachers |  | 4,608 | 4,608 | 3,650 |  | 3,650 |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 2,795 | 2,795 |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PERA: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Other Professionals | 2,736 | 2,458 | 2,458 | - |  | - |


| PERA: Other Professionals |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERA: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 3,259 |  | 3,259 |
| PERA: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 6 |  | 6 |
| PERA: Paraprofessionals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Clerical | 1,018 | 1,523 | 1,523 | 1,963 |  | 1,963 |
| PERA: Clerical |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Custodial | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| PERA: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Administrator | 22,552 | 27,761 | 27,761 | 22,426 |  | 22,426 |
| PCOPs: Administrator |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers | 41,511 | 81,243 | 81,243 | 100,912 |  | 100,912 |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 3,700 | 3,700 |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - | 10,204 |  | 10,204 |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | 10,613 | 10,613 | 6,269 |  | 6,269 |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 4,800 | 4,800 |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| PCOPs: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Other Professionals | 6,383 | 5,661 | 5,661 | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 5,596 |  | 5,596 |
| PCOPs: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 10 |  | 10 |
| PCOPs: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Paraprofessionals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Clerical | 3,383 | 3,509 | 3,509 | 3,372 |  | 3,372 |
| PCOPs: Clerical |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| PCOPs: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Administrator | 4,229 | 9,792 | 9,792 | 6,528 | - | 6,528 |
| Health: Administrator | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Health: Teachers | 11,681 | 52,224 | 52,224 | 75,072 |  | 75,072 |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - | 6,528 |  | 6,528 |
| Health: Teachers |  | 4,896 | 4,896 | 3,264 |  | 3,264 |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  | 3,264 | 3,264 |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Health: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Other Professionals | 3,566 | 3,264 | 3,264 | - |  | - |
| Health: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Other Professionals |  | - | - | 3,264 |  | 3,264 |
| Health: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Paraprofessionals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Health: Paraprofessionals |  |  |  | - |  | - |



| Other Employee Benefits: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Employee Benefits: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Teachers |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Teachers |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Other Professionals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Other Professionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Paraprofessionals | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Paraprofessionals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Clerical | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Clerical |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Custodial | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Employee Benefits: Custodial |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Banking Service Fees | 343 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,412 |  | 2,412 |
| Professional-Educational Services | 55,697 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Contracted Services | - | 192,000 | 192,000 | 222,000 |  | 222,000 |
| Contracted Services | - | - | - |  | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Substitutes | - | 18,000 | 18,000 | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |
| Whole Child Enrichment-Afterschool support | - | 25,000 | 25,000 | - |  | - |
| Whole Child Enrichment-Afterschool support | - |  |  |  | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Legal Services | - | 1,500 | 1,500 | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |
| Audit Services | 3,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |
| Negotiations Services |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Consultant Services | 7,500 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  | - |
| Consultant Services |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Consultant Services |  | 11,450 | 11,450 | - |  | - |
| Medical Services |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Professional Services - G\&G |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Professional Services - G\&G |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Professional Services - G\&G | 38,688 | 57,500 | 57,500 | 65,000 |  | 65,000 |
| Technical Services | 25,503 | 44,700 | 44,700 | 15,766 |  | 15,766 |
| Technical Services |  |  |  |  | 20,234 | 20,234 |
| Technical Services |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other Purchased Professional and Technical Services | 3,884 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Utility Services | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Water/Sewage | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Disposal Services | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Snow Removal Services | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Custodial Services | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Lawn Care | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Repairs and Maintenance Services | 1,196 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Repairs and Maintenance Services |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Rentals |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Rental of Land and Buildings | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Rental of Land and Buildings |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Rental of Equipment | 4,395 | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,000 |  | 11,000 |
| Contractor Services |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Student Transportation |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Contracted Field Trips | 5,959 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |
| Liability Insurance | 11,695 | 13,024 | 13,024 | 13,517 |  | 13,517 |
| Unemployment Compensation Insurance | 2,275 | 3,878 | 3,878 | 5,601 |  | 5,601 |
| Workers' Compensation Insurance | 5,611 | 10,082 | 10,082 | 14,563 |  | 14,563 |
| District Multiple-Coverage Insurance |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| District Student Insurance |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Phone | - | 10,000 | 10,000 | 3,600 |  | 3,600 |
| Phone | - | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 14,000 | 14,000 |


| Postage machine rental |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postage | 2,477 | 2,213 | 2,213 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |
| Online services | 14,411 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 3,508 |  | 3,508 |
| Online services | - | - | - |  | 5,258 | 5,258 |
| Online services | - | - | - |  | 20,234 | 20,234 |
| Advertising | 13,358 | 10,000 | 10,000 | - |  | - |
| Student Recruitment |  |  |  | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |
| Staff Recruitment |  |  |  | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |
| Printing and Binding | 1,416 | 3,400 | 3,400 | - |  | - |
| Tuition - Other |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Travel, Registration, and Entrance | 28,949 | 28,000 | 28,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |
| Travel, Registration, and Entrance |  |  |  |  | 10,850 | 10,850 |
| Travel, Registration, and Entrance |  |  |  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| Staff Food | - | - | - | 4,000 |  | 4,000 |
| District Purchased Services | 187,851 | 60,709 | 60,709 | 100,640 |  | 100,640 |
| District Purchased Services | - | 86,521 | 86,521 | 117,352 |  | 117,352 |
| District Purchased Services | - | 17,604 | 17,604 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |
| District Purchased Services | - | 178,260 | 178,260 | 203,168 |  | 203,168 |
| District Purchased Services | - | - | - |  | 44,157 | 44,157 |
| District Admin Overhead | 32,910 | 55,839 | 55,839 | 95,680 |  | 95,680 |
| SFA Purchased Services |  | 472 | 472 | - |  | - |
| General Supplies | 74,650 | 84,500 | 84,500 | 33,009 |  | 33,009 |
| General Supplies |  |  |  |  | 1,007 | 1,007 |
| General Supplies |  |  |  |  | 5,925 | 5,925 |
| General Supplies |  |  |  |  | 8,059 | 8,059 |
| Office Supplies | 9,808 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,040 |  | 8,040 |
| General Supplies | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Natural Gas | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Electricity | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Motor Vehicle Fuels |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Food | 5,227 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 22,400 |  | 22,400 |
| Books and Periodicals | 36,473 | 26,028 | 26,028 | 7,254 |  | 7,254 |
| Books and Periodicals | 36,473 | 26,028 | 26,028 |  | 12,746 | 12,746 |
| Electronic Media Materials | 11,740 | 964 | 964 | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |
| Other Supplies | 6,849 | 13,500 | 13,500 | - |  | - |
| Land and Improvements |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Buildings |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| New Construction |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Equipment |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Vehicles |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 29,074 | 375 | 375 | - |  | - |
| Furniture and Fixtures |  | 720 | 720 | - |  | - |
| Technology Equipment | 115,913 | 89,227 | 89,227 | 932 |  | 932 |
| Technology Equipment |  |  |  |  | 22,340 | 22,340 |
| Technology Equipment |  |  |  |  | 28,728 | 28,728 |
| Technology Equipment |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 2,200 |  | 2,200 |
| Technology Equipment |  |  |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Technology Equipment |  |  |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Non-Capital Equipment | 449 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Non-Capital Equipment |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Dues and Fees | 1,345 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,374 |  | 2,374 |
| Interest | 2,410 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Contingency |  | 211 | 211 | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |
| Contingency |  | - | - |  |  | - |
| Indirect Costs |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | 2,143 | 450 | 450 | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |
| Other Uses of Funds |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Redemption of Principal | 95,223 | - | - | - |  | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 1,769,686 | 2,743,482 | 2,743,482 | 2,613,164 | 815,303 | 3,428,466 |
| NET INCOME | 88,000 | $(21,012)$ | $(21,012)$ | 2,760 | (93) | 2,667 |
| TABOR RESERVE | 125,000 | 71,554 | 71,554 |  |  | 98,247 |

# Board Meeting Executive Director Update 

April 2017

| TOTAL STAFF POSITIONS AND HIRING STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POSITIONS (given intent to returns and new positions) |  |  |  |  | Total Applicants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current } \\ \text { Candidates } \\ \text { Pool } \end{gathered}$ | NOTES |
|  | Refilling | New | Total | Hired |  |  |  |
| ELA | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 5 | Refilling includes one current staff moved to new Interventionist position. Hires include a CY Alumni |
| Math | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 3 | Potential second hire with potential internal shifting |
| Science | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 11 | Closed |  |
| Bilingual Social Studies | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | Interviewing 2 candidates this week |
| Spanish | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | Closed |  |
| ELD | 2 | NA | 2 |  | 12 | 6 | 1 offer out, 4 candidates in the pipeline |
| ELD Coordinator | 1 | NA | 1 |  | 5 | 3 | Strong candidates in the pool |
| Dean of Culture \& Community | 1 |  | 1 |  | 9 | 2 | Current pool consist of two internal candidates |
| Trauma Specialist | NA | 1 | 1 |  | 6 | 3 | Pausing on hiring until post-testing. Strong Candidates in the Pool |
| Physical Education | 1 (. 5 FTE) | 1 (1 FTE) | 1 |  | 9 | 5 | Interviewing 2 candidates this week |
| Art | 1 (.5 FTE) | 1 (1 FTE) | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Intervention Specialist | NA | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | Hires include a current City Year AmeriCorps member |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STUDENT |  | 6th |  | 7 |  | 8th | TOTAL |
| RECRUITMENT |  | 112 |  | 9 |  | $122+14$ wa | tlisted $332+14$ Waitlisted |
| "New to Compass Academy" family event had over 40\% in attendance! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FY18 DRAFT BUDGET PRIORITIES

- Ensure all Assumptions are correct (PPR, inflation, PERA, Pcops)
- Salaries and benefits are competitive (Language Program, cell reimbursement, stipends)
- We staff the model - (see Organization Chart)
- SLA Agreement and City Year Contract are fully funded
- Curriculum and Assessment tools (HSA, MAP, Interims) meet the needs of the school
- Technology needs met: Computers (student and teacher), Promethean, Documentation Cameras
- Platforms: Kickboard, Pathbrite (or other tool), Ed Reflect, Slack, Talent Ed, Swivel
- Professional Development needs for curriculum and capacity building are met for the year
- Maintain relationship with G\&G
- Monday Early Dismissal/Afterschool programming support (Community Center, City Year Programming)
- Fund Fieldwork


## What to Consider With Additional Funding?

- May need additional Special Educator - depending on level of need (\$60K)
- Trauma Specialist - grow mental health supports (\$60K)
- More fieldwork funding (\$20K)
- Additional advertising funds (\$10K)
- Build the SDD on-site positions into the budget over time
- City Year Budget for incentives and programming (\$5K)
- School Vans (\$50K - \$60K each - plus insurance, service, etc.)
- Athletic Program (\$25K)
- Parent Engagement Programming - partnership funding (Community in Schools, Taxes, Language, and Reading Partners Program)


## NOTE ON STATE TESTING

- April 18, 19, 20 - make-ups to follow
- Test Fest on April 21 - for students who completed testing
- Modified schedule and early dismissal


## ACTION PLAN UPDATE

Priority \#1 - Instruction

- Saturday School Program is complete! We served over $40 \%$ of Compass Students during the Saturday School program with preparation for CMAS.
- All teachers received formal evaluations with a focus on Questioning, Modeling, and Pacing.
- NewsELA has become a consistent program used in L\&L Spaces, and corps members have lead the way on Library barcoding to allow students to check out books.
- Final test preparation: teachers have adjusted their unit plans to review their most critical content in preparation for testing. We've also covered key social/emotional strategies for testing, taken a test "dress rehearsal", and created posters and letters of encouragement for testing.


## Priority \#2 - Follow the Evidence

- Seminars: Test Prep - students were placed based on their data
- EWIs were moved to Mondays b/c of extra teacher support needed in 7th grade SS block shifting after Spring Break
- Monday PDs have also had a focus on testing - mandatory district trainings and prep

Priority \#3-Culture

- Additional Teacher Support- intensive teacher coaching on behavior
- Teachers have done an excellent job stacking hands in response to an administrative shift (see Pablo's letter)
- All structures and systems are running at a high level with less staffing support
- Test Fest - celebration for all students who have completed testing
- Boys and Girls Soccer teams are up and running


Compass Academy Teaching Staff SY2017-2018


Psych/Social/Counsel
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- We staff the model - (see Organization Chart)
- SLA Agreement and City Year Contract are fully funded
- Curriculum and Assessment tools (HSA, MAP, Interims) meet the needs of the school
- Technology needs met: Computers (student and teacher), Promethean, Documentation Cameras
- Platforms: Kickboard, Pathbrite (or other tool), Ed Reflect, Slack, Talent Ed, Swivel
- Professional Development needs for curriculum and capacity building are met for the year
- Maintain relationship with G\&G
- Monday Early Dismissal/Afterschool programming support (Community Center, City Year Programming)
- Fund Fieldwork


## What to Consider With Additional Funding?

- May need additional Special Educator - depending on level of need (\$60K)
- Trauma Specialist - grow mental health supports (\$60K)
- More fieldwork funding (\$20K)
- Additional advertising funds (\$10K)
- Build the SDD on-site positions into the budget over time
- City Year Budget for incentives and programming (\$5K)
- School Vans (\$50K - \$60K each - plus insurance, service, etc.)
- Athletic Program (\$25K)
- Parent Engagement Programming - partnership funding (Community in Schools, Taxes, Language, and Reading Partners Program)


## NOTE ON STATE TESTING

- April 18, 19, 20 - make-ups to follow
- Test Fest on April 21 - for students who completed testing
- Modified schedule and early dismissal


## ACTION PLAN UPDATE

Priority \#1 - Instruction

- Saturday School Program is complete! We served over $40 \%$ of Compass Students during the Saturday School program with preparation for CMAS.
- All teachers received formal evaluations with a focus on Questioning, Modeling, and Pacing.
- NewsELA has become a consistent program used in L\&L Spaces, and corps members have lead the way on Library barcoding to allow students to check out books.
- Final test preparation: teachers have adjusted their unit plans to review their most critical content in preparation for testing. We've also covered key social/emotional strategies for testing, taken a test "dress rehearsal", and created posters and letters of encouragement for testing.


## Priority \#2 - Follow the Evidence

- Seminars: Test Prep - students were placed based on their data
- EWIs were moved to Mondays b/c of extra teacher support needed in 7th grade SS block shifting after Spring Break
- Monday PDs have also had a focus on testing - mandatory district trainings and prep

Priority \#3-Culture

- Additional Teacher Support- intensive teacher coaching on behavior
- Teachers have done an excellent job stacking hands in response to an administrative shift (see Pablo's letter)
- All structures and systems are running at a high level with less staffing support
- Test Fest - celebration for all students who have completed testing
- Boys and Girls Soccer teams are up and running


Compass Academy Teaching Staff SY2017-2018


Psych/Social/Counsel



COMPASS ACADEMY
Where Learners \& Leaders Grow

## Board Meeting HIGH SCHOOL CONSIDERATIONS

789 Sherman St \#400, Denver, CO 80203

## Immediate Considerations

1. Determination of submitting a HS plan to DPS by the Sept 12017 deadline (as specified in original Compass charter)
2. The need to determine alignment for what the first phase of Compass Academy HS would consist of. For example, are we building from an established HS model (i.e. Chicago Talent Development HS) or building the XQ model from the ground up?
3. Need to gauge the political climate of DPS and implications of the Sept 1, HS design submission

## Overall Readiness Criteria for Consideration

- High School Design
- Performance and Accountability
- Determine Co-curricular and afterschool programming (service projects, etc.)
- Determine Behavioral Norms and Expectations for HS
- Academics: determine curriculum, assessments and schedule
- Enrollment
- Facilities
- Finance: Set/Adapt accounting policies and procedures (G\&G)
- Governance
- HS Start Up Funding
- Human Resources
- Services
- Technology needs

High level scenarios and timeline considerations:

|  | Aug Sept 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & \text { Nov } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Aug Sept 2018 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & \text { Nov } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Ja2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expansion at current facilities | SPF <br> Results |  |  | New School Application | BOE Decision |  |  |  | Opening |
| Opening in 2018 <br> at a private facility | HS update approval request | BOE <br> Decision | Choice process |  |  | 9th Grade opens in a private facility |  |  |  |
| Opening in 2019 <br> in a private facility - or potential District availability |  |  |  |  |  | HS Update Approval | BOE <br> Decision | Choice Process | $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade opens in a private facility - or potential District availability |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lemov, Doug. Teach Like a Champion 2.0. San Francisco, CA: Joseey-Bass, 2015. http://teachlikeachampion.com/books/

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ware, F. "The Warm Demander Pedagogy: Culturally Responsive Teaching that Supports a Culture of Achievement for African American Students," Journal of Urban Education. Vol. 41. No. 4. 2016 427-456. http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0042085906289710
    ${ }^{3}$ Pinto, Laura. From Discipline to Culturally Responsive Engagement: 45 Classroom Management Techniques. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, 2013.
    ${ }^{4}$ https://www.cte.cornell.edu/documents/Classroom Structures.pdf

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Marzano, R. What works in schools: Translating research into Action. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, 2003

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdechart/standards-basedteachingandlearningcycle

[^4]:    ${ }_{8}^{7}$ http://www.marzanoresearch.com/educational-services/critical-concepts
    ${ }^{8}$ http://www.marzanoresearch.com/resources/proficiency-scale-bank

